

National Center for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis

2001-2007

Report to the National Science Foundation

NCEAS Final Report on NSF Award DEB-0072909 2001-2007

NCEAS Final Report on NSF Award DEB-0072909 2001-2007	1
Introduction	1
The Center's Mission	3
Evidence of Progress	5
Overview and Highlights of Science Activities	6
1. Highlighted Research - Ecological Effects of Climate Change	6
2. Highlighted Research - Ecology of Infectious Disease	8
3. Highlighted Research – Economics and Ecology	10
4. Highlighted Research - Marine Ecology and Conservation	11
Overview of NCEAS Strengths	13
1. Time	13
2. Interactions	13
3. Flexibility	14
Other Important Features of NCEAS	14
Significance of NCEAS	17
Appendix 1 – Projects, People, Publications, and Products 2001-2007	18
Working Groups	18
Sabbatical Fellows	82
Postdoctoral Associates	86
Visiting Scientists	92
Publications – Journal Articles, Books and Book Chapters	96
NCEAS Related Data Sets registered or uploaded to NCEAS Data Repository	195
Appendix 2 – Figures and tables	203
Figure 1. Proposals submitted and supported.	203
Figure 2. Percent of First Time Visitors by Year	204
Figure 3. Frequency of Visits by Participants	205
Figure 4. Number of Publications	206
Figure 5. Gender Balance	207
Table 1. Jobs for Former Postdocs	208
Table 2. Breadth of Journals	209
Table 3. Home Institutions for Visitors	212
Table 4. Scientific Societies to which NCEAS participants belong	224
Table 5. International Participation since 1995.	231
Table 6. International Participation 2001-2007.	232

Introduction

Ecologists seek to understand complex, dynamic biotic and abiotic interactions across many scales. Such understanding is fundamental to our ability to sustain, manage

and restore healthy, functioning ecological systems in the face of unprecedented human modifications and environmental change (Green et al. 2005, BioScience 55: 501-510). Although considerable progress has been made in advancing ecological knowledge, vast amounts of relevant information about ecological patterns and processes remain largely inaccessible because they exist in widely disparate locations and formats and the culture of synthesis is not widespread (Jones et al., 2006, Ann. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst., 37: 519-544).

Recognizing the potential value of existing complex data sets and the need for new approaches to assembling, accessing and synthesizing this information, the ecological community rallied around the notion of creating a synthesis center - a unique facility to promote access to ecological information, analytical tools, and collaborations among ecological scientists. In 1994, NSF initiated a special competition for a center for ecological analysis and synthesis. After extensive review, the award was made to the University of California, Santa Barbara. The National Center for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis (NCEAS) began operation May 1, 1995, under the guidance of the original PIs William Murdoch (who served as interim Director for the first year) and Michael Goodchild. NCEAS began with a specific mission and set of goals articulated by the ecological community through a series of national workshops. In just ten years, NCEAS has surpassed expectations for the number of people who would be directly influenced by NCEAS - for example, the original NCEAS proposal projected that NCEAS would receive 1,100 visitors by the end of this reporting period, and we have now surpassed 4,100 total unique visitors. The ISI Essential Science Indicators reported in 2005 that NCEAS placed #22 out of 38,000 institutions worldwide in terms of publication impact in ecology and environmental science, based on the large number of high-impact journal articles from NCEAS scientists (Appendix 1). The success of the postdoctoral program has been evident, with 90-95% placement of postdoctoral associates into career positions (Table 1) and multiple "young investigator" awards and high-profile publications. In this very short time, relative to the history of the discipline, NCEAS has enabled ecologists to overcome many intellectual and technical barriers to synthesis and has dramatically altered the culture of ecological research.

The vision for NCEAS has been to catalyze a new culture of synthesis. The NCEAS research model mixes junior and senior ecologists with scientists from other disciplines and resource managers in small, interactive groups. This model for collaboration has been particularly successful with 1) interdisciplinary syntheses that bring together people who have not collaborated before to focus on novel questions and approaches and 2) intensive, sustained investigations to make progress in core areas of ecology. Over the next 5 years we plan to continue to serve the ecological community by cultivating a new generation of ecologists who merge interdisciplinary interactions, intensive analysis or modeling, and the technology and culture of information management with their inherent intellectual curiosity and commitment to yield important, scholarly research.

Below, we provide information about the array of activities at the Center. We concentrate on the major features of the Center's research activities. A comprehensive list of NCEAS projects (Appendix 1), and other documentation and ancillary information are available in appendices and on the web (www.nceas.ucsb.edu/). All figures and tables cited in the text are provided in Appendix 2.

The Center's Mission

The Mission for the Center is quite broad and, as the name implies, its primary objectives are analysis and synthesis. Analysis includes the examination of large data sets, analytical work on ecological patterns and processes, computer models and simulations, and the development of theory. Synthesis includes the amalgamation of data and the integration of ideas. The nature of the Center's activities, which promote planned and serendipitous interactions, significantly increases the opportunities for novel solutions to important questions.

The Mission of NCEAS is to:

- Advance the state of ecological knowledge through the search for general patterns and principles in existing data
- Organize and synthesize ecological information in a manner useful to researchers, resource managers, and policy makers addressing important environmental issues
- Influence the way ecological research is conducted and promote a culture of synthesis, collaboration, and data sharing

This third aspect of the Mission was added to our website and documents at the end of the last reporting period. It may have been presumptuous to propose this when NCEAS began, but it now appears that NCEAS is having such an influence. Graduate students, interns, and Postdoctoral Associates are learning the skills of true synthesis and senior scientists are adopting readily the NCEAS model of collaboration. It is virtually impossible to convey in writing what may be the essence of NCEAS – that intense spark of insight and scholarship that can emerge when individuals from disparate disciplines focus on a question (Pickett, 1999, Oikos 87: 479-487). Indeed, across the sciences, teams increasingly dominate over solo authors in the production of highly cited research, suggesting that fundamental changes in knowledge production are taking place, and that synthetic work is increasingly valued (Wuchty et al., 2007, Scinece 316: 1036-1039).

NCEAS operates under five goals established early in the Center's development:

1. *Develop opportunities that accelerate and initiate paradigms* – it is difficult to identify *a priori* those areas or topics that will yield the next important advance in a discipline or ensure that advances will actually be made. However, an effective approach is to employ an operational model, establish an intellectual atmosphere and provide a physical setting that maximize the possibility that important research will be conducted. The caliber of research conducted by visitors to the Center, as revealed by publications and other products, provides evidence of the value of these unique opportunities.

2. *Make NCEAS the facility where scientists look to support analysis and synthesis of existing ecological information using creative approaches* – Over 4,100 scientists from 49 states and 49 countries have participated in NCEAS research activities. The Center now receives 100 or more proposals annually, 19% of which are supported (Figure 1). While the trend in Figure 1 is familiar to funding agencies – increasing proposals with a steady funding rate – NCEAS trends may suggest a slightly different interpretation; NCEAS does not support individuals' research programs (e.g., salaries, laboratory supplies, While it is difficult to assess who actually knows about NCEAS and who contemplates research at the Center, the increasing number of proposals submitted (Figure 1) , the increasing number of unique visitors (Figures 2 and 3), the breadth of the disciplines represented (Table 2, 3) across the increasing number of publications (Figure 4), and the range of their home institutions and countries (Tables 4, 5, and 6) suggest that the Center is broadly known and utilized. The Center continually strives to increase diversity of participants and to maintain gender balance (Figure 5).

3. *Maintain a Center with a national character and broad interests* – In addition to the breadth of participation, research at the Center spans all of ecology and many adjacent disciplines, from genetics to evolutionary ecology and ecological economics and 21% of the visitors are international. Every effort has been made to keep NCEAS from succumbing to one approach, sub-discipline, or view of ecology, and the Center has broad geographic, institutional, and intellectual representation.

4. *Provide information to scientists, managers, and policy makers* – By its very nature, NCEAS research depends on large amounts of data. Because ecological data are inherently complex and heterogeneous the Center has developed skills and tools to address many components of information management. Initially, this was done project-by-project and we expect this customized support to continue. Since the last reporting period, we have greatly expanded our efforts to facilitate data synthesis through the Ecoinformatics program at NCEAS. Along with several collaborating institutions, we are developing generic solutions to managing complex ecological information. The goal is to make all aspects of the data stream as coherent and convenient as possible by technologically and culturally promoting data access. In addition, the Center has supported several efforts to facilitate access to that information by managers and policy makers.

5. *Maintain a Center that provides flexible, convenient support for visiting scientists* – The Center is flexible both operationally (scheduling and supporting meetings and resident scientists and providing customized computing support) and scientifically (allowing projects to evolve toward newly discovered questions and approaches). This flexibility promotes an interest and willingness to take on a major research effort, and leads to effective collaboration.

Evidence of Progress

NCEAS should be judged by the scope and significance of the research it supports, and by the degree to which these activities influence the way we conduct our science. By traditional metrics, NCEAS has been a resounding success.

• In 2005, ISI's In-Cites contacted NCEAS for an interview with the Director, as they were reporting that NCEAS had reached the top 1% of institutions worldwide working in ecology and the environment (38,000 institutions in total) in terms of number of citations per articles, and that NCEAS was thus ranked #22 out of these 38,000 international institutions in publication impact in ecology and the environment. These numbers are very conservative, because they are based on institutional affiliations, rather than acknowledgements. Only 40% of NCEAS products have NCEAS listed as the institutional affiliation for one or more of the authors.

(http://www.in-cites.com/institutions/NatCenterEcoAnalSynNCEAS.html)

• The 4,100+ scientists participating in NCEAS research activities since the Center's establishment have produced more than 1,100 peer-reviewed papers (Figure 3) in over 200 journals, including many leading publications.

• NCEAS continues to foster productive research collaborations – during this reporting period, 2,565 scientist participated in 124 Working Groups, 5 Distributed Graduate Seminars, 59 Postdoctoral Fellowships, and 45 Sabbatical Fellowships.

• NCEAS participants belong to more than 489 different scientific societies

• In addition to results from specific projects, numerous anticipated but unpredictable interactions have taken place between and among resident and visiting scientists, resulting in significant new research collaborations;

• A number of projects have developed major synthetic data sets that will have significance to the broader ecological community (Appendix 1);

• During this reporting period, Postdoctoral Associates have accepted positions at a variety of vibrant academic and non-academic institutions (Table 1);

• NCEAS has become a major participant in emerging informatics research efforts – for example, awards for which NCEAS Ecoinformatics has had significant involvement total approximately \$25M;

• NCEAS' resident scientists are involved in the science curriculum of the Santa Barbara School District and the Center serves as the "database manager" for the 5th grade science classes;

• The results of Center research have received recognition in local, regional, and national press including SCIENCE, NATURE, the NY Times, LA Times, National Public Radio, Public Television, and other national television programs. The press release for a recent project on marine biodiversity (Worm et al., 2006, Science 314: 787-790) was the most downloaded press release from the NSF website for all of 2006, and possibly the most downloaded press release of all time for NSF;

• NCEAS projects have influenced public policy and resource management in many ways, from testimony before Congress to the development of analytical tools. Within the reporting period, Working Groups and resident scientists contributed to

California's planning process for establishing the Channel Islands Marine Protected Areas, and a Working Group's timely publication on pollinators' ecosystem services was used by the Congressional Research Service (Johnson, CRS Report for Congress: Recent Honeybee Declines, 31 May 2007) to inform lawmakers about Colony Collapse Disorder in 2007.

Overview and Highlights of Science Activities

Research Projects - NCEAS supports several types of research activities. The most distinctive are Working Groups – small groups that meet for several days to weeks multiple times a year. Unlike workshops, Working Groups actually conduct research at the Center. NCEAS also supports 4-6 Center Fellows (sabbatical visitors), 10-15 Postdoctoral Associates, and several graduate interns each year. A list of working groups, sabbatical, and postdoctoral research projects is provided in Appendix 1. Unlike the initial 5 years at NCEAS, in which the SAB requested up to 40% of the proposals submitted, nearly all of the proposals are submitted without the intervention of the SAB or NCEAS staff. The proposals are reviewed by the SAB and recommendations are made to the Director and Deputy Director.

Research at NCEAS stretches from genes to the biosphere and includes all levels of organization in between.

Here we highlight a few areas of research in which NCEAS groups have provided a great deal insight – these areas of research are also currently highlighted on our new website. Of course, these areas of research provide only a glimpse of the large breadth and body of work produced through NCEAS activities.

1. Highlighted Research - Ecological Effects of Climate Change

Scientists at NCEAS have been studying the ecological effects of climate change in-depth since the Center's establishment in 1995. NCEAS scientists are examining environmental, economic and social impacts of modern changes in temperature (global warming), atmospheric gases, precipitation, wind patterns, and the severity of storms.

The NCEAS approach is particularly useful in climate change research, because:

- analysis and synthesis of existing data allow researchers to understand the largescale ecological responses to climate change that have already occurred, and to improve predictions of future change
- climate change topics are necessarily interdisciplinary, and benefit from the highly collaborative discourse among physical, biological and social scientists that can be facilitated by NCEAS
- Ecoinformatics principles and tools are especially useful for organizing and analyzing the large-scale, heterogeneous data used in climate change research

NCEAS scientists examine effects of climate change on plants and animals, and on important ecological processes like the flow of nutrients and gases through ecosystems. Scientists at NCEAS have used experimental data and long-term survey records, while also comparing recent climate change to the biological dynamics seen in "deep time" as represented by the fossil record. For example, NCEAS scientists have examined such diverse topics as:

- the expansion or shift of animal ranges in response to temperature changes (1)
- plant and soil responses to interactions of changing temperature, precipitation and CO2 (2,3)
- temperature and wind effects on ocean currents and marine life (4, 5)
- coral reef vulnerability to the severity of storms (6), direct effects of warming, and diseases that are favored by climate change (7)

The study of disease ecology has been a special area of research at NCEAS, particularly with respect to predicting disease dynamics under climate change scenarios.

Conservation biologists and natural resource managers increasingly want to know how climate change should factor into their management decisions. For example, NCEAS scientists have provided input for reserve design (8, 9) and wildlife management (10, 11). Many NCEAS researchers have integrated economic and sociopolitical concerns into ecological climate change studies, recognizing that management decisions must be based on many factors that are not strictly related to the natural sciences.

Databases pertinent to climate change research that has been done at NCEAS are freely available through the NCEAS Data Registry and Repository. For example:

- Greenhouse gas flux between the land and atmosphere for major regions of the world
- Carbon and nitrogen response to elevated CO2 in terrestrial systems compilation of experimental results
- Hydrodynamics of vernal pools in central California

Selected Climate Change Citations

- 1. C. Parmesan et al., Nature 399, 579 (Jun 10, 1999).
- 2. G. R. Shaver et al., Bioscience 50, 871 (Oct, 2000).
- 3. B. A. Hungate et al., Science 302, 1512 (Nov 28, 2003).
- 4. G. M. Watters et al., Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 60, 1161 (Sep, 2003).
- 5. M. I. O'Connor et al., Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences in press (2007).
- 6. J. S. Madin et al., Nature 444, 477 (2006).
- 7. C. D. Harvell et al., Science 296, 2158 (Jun 21, 2002).
- 8. C. R. Pyke et al., Biological Conservation 125, 1 (Sep, 2005).
- 9. B. Halpern, in 10th International Coral Reef Symposium. (Okinawa, Japan, 2004).

- 10. N. Owen-Smith et al., in National Symposium on Global Change and Regional Sustainability in South Africa. (Cape Town, South Africa, 2003).
- 11. S. J. Martell, in 41st Annual Meeting of the Canadian Zoological Association. (Lethbridge, Canada, 2002)

2. Highlighted Research - Ecology of Infectious Disease

NCEAS has been a natural nucleus for disease ecology research as ecologists, evolutionary biologists, medical researchers, and social scientists increasingly seek crossdisciplinary collaboration to understand the interplay of disease, humans, and their environments. Many of these projects present challenges in data management since they frequently consider information as varied as disease reports, species interactions, and genetic data. Our Ecoinformatics team has worked closely with these groups to arrive at efficient solutions to data management, analysis and synthesis.

Human diseases

Many disease organisms that threaten humans worldwide have complex life histories that are affected by both human and non-human attributes of the ecosystems in which they occur. For example,

- Lyme disease is carried by ticks that move about on mammal hosts such as deer and mice - environmental parameters that affect these non-human hosts have implications for human exposure to Lyme disease (1)
- Rabies is a disease that is contracted by wildlife and can be passed on to humans. NCEAS researchers have assembled and analyzed an extensive database documenting rabid raccoons, refining predictions of rabies dynamics (2, 3)
- The bacterium causing the gastrointestinal disease cholera is waterborne and associated with microscopic crustaceans climatic and environmental factors that affect hydrodynamics and the ecology of aquatic food webs can influence the dynamics of cholera (4-6)
- The brain parasite that causes toxoplasmosis is passed among rats, cats, and humans; in humans, infection is associated with lifelong personality changes that may influence human culture (7)
- NCEAS ecologists have formulated and applied cutting edge approaches in analysis and synthesis of human disease scenarios in recent years, improving our understanding of human disease risk (5, 8, 9)

Disease and ecosystems

Ecologists have a growing awareness of the importance of pathogens and parasites in the evolution and ecology of natural systems. Researchers at NCEAS have examined the evolutionary relationships between disease organisms and their hosts, as well as more modern alterations of pathogen and parasite dynamics by humans (10). For example:

- Introduced species have escaped many of the parasites of their native ranges (11, 12);
- The removal of predators that normally select sick individuals from prey groups may increase pathogen transmission among their populations, when diseased individuals continue living within groups (13);
- Primate researchers have examined the role of social and feeding behavior in moderating infection by sexually transmitted diseases and parasites in non-human primates (14-16);
- Disease is considered to be among the most significant causes of the modern coral reef decline, and warmer temperatures encourage some of the most common diseases on coral reefs (17);
- Environmental warming and human activities, such as fishing, may have complex disease effects as warm temperatures seem to favor some pathogens and parasites, while decreasing the prevalence or severity of others (18), and human activities alter host abundance, behavior and environment (19).

Climate change has been a special area of research at NCEAS as well, and several important projects have addressed the interactions of disease and climate change.

Databases related to pathogen and parasite ecology are freely available through the NCEAS Data Registry and Repository. For example:

- Prevalence of toxoplasmosis and associated personality traits in human populations
- The Global Mammal Parasite Database is a compilation of published parasite data for mammalian hosts

Selected Ecology of Infectious Disease Citations

- 1. B. J. Goodwin et al., Vector-Borne and Zoonotic Diseases 1, 129 (2001).
- 2. J. E. Childs et al., Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 97, 13666 (Dec 5, 2000).
- 3. C. Russell et al., Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 271, 21 (2004).
- 4. K. Koelle et al., Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 272, 971 (2005).
- 5. V. Guernier et al., PLoS Biology 2, e141 (June 01, 2004, 2004).
- 6. K. Koelle et al., American Naturalist 163, 901 (Jun, 2004).
- 7. K. D. Lafferty, Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences 273, 2749 (Nov 7, 2006).
- 8. J. M. Drake, PLoS Medicine 3, e3 (January 01, 2006, 2006).
- 9. K. F. Smith et al., Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 3, 29 (Feb, 2005).
- 10. S. Altizer et al., Trends in Ecology & Evolution 18, 589 (Nov, 2003).
- 11. M. E. Torchin et al., Nature 421, 628 (Feb 6, 2003).
- 12. C. E. Mitchell et al., Nature 421, 625 (Feb 6, 2003).
- 13. C. Packer et al., Ecology Letters 6, 797 (2003).
- 14. C. L. Nunn, Animal Behaviour 66, 37 (Jul, 2003).

- 15. C. L. Nunn et al., American Naturalist 162, 597 (Nov, 2003).
- 16. C. L. Nunn et al., Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences 270, 347 (Feb 22, 2003).
- 17. K. D. Lafferty et al., Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 35, 31 (2004).
- 18. C. D. Harvell et al., Science 296, 2158 (Jun 21, 2002).
- 19. J. R. Ward et al., PLoS Biology 2, 542 (Apr, 2004).

3. Highlighted Research – Economics and Ecology

Ecologists benefit from interactions with economists in at least two distinct ways: 1) ecology has historically borrowed and adapted analytical modeling approaches from economics, and 2) many of the environmental issue that ecologists work with are explicitly influenced by economics.

By bringing economists to work with ecologists and environmental scientists at NCEAS, we gain both analytical expertise and knowledge of the economic factors that play an important role in conservation and management decisions.

Together ecologists and economists collaborate to better understand human interactions with ecosystems. Natural environments provide important services to humans that may be lost when those systems are degraded. For example, coastal wetlands provide critical habitat for animals that are harvested, reduce shoreline erosion, filter water before it enters the ocean, and can buffer inland communities against storm surge. Ecologists and economists work together to identify and place values on such services for society. Where multiple management and conservation actions are being considered, economic expertise helps to identify the approach that achieves desired conservation and management goals while minimizing societal costs. Alternatively, economics provides a decision-making framework within which to maximize conservation benefits of an environmental policy given a fixed allocation of resources.

To catalyze greater collaboration among ecologists and economists, interdisciplinary teams of NCEAS researchers have engaged both research communities, publishing in the economics literature (1) and in the ecological literature (2). The fruits of such innovative cross-pollination are increasingly evident. For example:

- Large-scale management plans are evaluated in terms of both ecological and economic costs and benefits (3-5)
- Satellite imagery has been used to make large-scale estimates of the relative contributions of marketed products and ecosystem services to national economies, globally(6)
- A model has been developed to describe how declines of pollinators may affect markets for crops that require insect pollination(7)

- Alternative methods for extracting resources while minimizing environmental impacts can be evaluated with simultaneous consideration of ecological and economic factors(8)
- The value of a single species performing an ecosystem service, such as pest control in agriculture (9), can be calculated and compared to alternative methods of performing that service
- Applying ecosystem-based management in the oceans presents new challenges in ecology, economics and governance as the spatial scale at which we govern systems frequently does not match the spatial scale that is relevant to the organisms we manage(10)

This discourse between ecologists and economists has been invigorating for researchers at a fundamental level, as they break new ground in their respective fields, and provides management and conservation professionals with critical tools for decision making.

Selected Economics and Ecology Citations

- 1. Resource and Energy Economics 26 (Jun, 2004).
- 2. P. R. Armsworth et al., Trends in Ecology & Evolution 16, 229 (May, 2001).
- 3. S. Farber et al., Bioscience 56, 121 (Feb, 2006).
- 4. F. W. Davis et al., Ecology and Society 11 (Jun, 2006).
- 5. A. S. P. Pfaff et al., Ecological Economics 35, 203 (Nov, 2000).
- 6. P. C. Sutton et al., Ecological Economics 41, 509 (Jun, 2002).
- 7. P. G. Kevan et al., Conservation Ecology 5, art. no. (Jun, 2001).
- 8. J. F. Kitchell et al., Bulletin of Marine Science 74, 607 (May, 2004).
- 9. C. J. Cleveland et al., Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 5, 238 (Jun, 2006).
- 10. L. B. Crowder et al., Science 313, 617 (August 4, 2006, 2006).

4. Highlighted Research - Marine Ecology and Conservation

Marine studies at NCEAS address fundamental questions about ecological and evolutionary processes, and provide information to resource management and conservation professionals. Hundreds of publications and presentations have been produced from NCEAS work in marine systems.

From the land to the deep sea

The rich marine habitats near the coast – such as estuaries (1), kelp forests (2), seagrass beds (3) and coral reefs (4) – provide important services to people, such as shoreline protection, water filtration, and key habitat for many organisms that are of commercial and cultural importance to humans. One concern where the land and fresh waters meet the ocean is the introduction of excess nutrients, which can lead to eutrophication of coastal waters and potentially to low oxygen levels, such as has happened in the "dead zone" of the Gulf of Mexico (5). Such connections increase the need for coordinated land and ocean conservation strategies (6). Fishing pressure in rich coastal habitats and in areas further offshore also can be substantial – the impacts of overfishing have been

examined at NCEAS through contemporary fishing records as well as historical and fossil records (7, 8).

At NCEAS, collaborations among ecological and social scientists (e.g., economists, political scientists, etc.) have used existing data to evaluate strategies that increase sustainability of marine fisheries (9, 10) and reduce unintentional fishing impacts on the environment, such as bycatch (11). Marine reserves have been proposed and established in many areas around the world with the aim of preserving biodiversity and ecosystem processes and potentially increasing local fish recruitment. A tremendous amount of research has been done at NCEAS to determine how the effectiveness of reserves can be maximized (12-14).

Deep sea research also has a home at NCEAS. The deep sea is the area of the ocean where no light penetrates. Once thought to be devoid of life, these remote ecosystems are surprisingly diverse, and NCEAS researchers have been synthesizing historical and emerging information and perspectives on these environments (15, 16). Many creatures in the deep sea are ancient, providing opportunities for rich evolutionary insights.

Similarly, the ocean floor holds vast records of past evolutionary patterns and ecological dynamics in the form of fossils. For example, by synthesizing available data from the marine fossil records, NCEAS researchers have found evidence for environmental controls on evolutionary rates (17) and intriguing patterns in the emergence of dominant and widespread biota following mass extinctions (18).

Marine species of concern

NCEAS has hosted many projects that examine the status of marine organisms of concern, from seagrasses to whales. The NCEAS research model brings together diverse researchers equipped with different data sources and perspectives, to examine threats to and recovery potential for marine species of management and conservation interest. For example, sophisticated population modeling of sea otters (19) allowed the comparison of likely results for several proposed management actions. In a retrospective analysis NCEAS researchers found that the removal of the North Pacific gray whale from the Endangered Species list, following its successful reestablishment, required a surprisingly modest economic investment from a managing agency (20), providing guidance to future conservation and management efforts.

Many marine studies at NCEAS have incorporated climate change scenarios and the dynamics of disease, and are discussed further in NCEAS research sections on climate change and ecology of disease.

Databases related to marine studies can be accessed through the NCEAS Data Registry and Repository. For example:

- Genetic data for foraminifera fossils
- Food web of Carpinteria Salt Marsh
- Marine disease and temperature

• Marine response to experimental nutrient enrichment

Selected Marine Ecology and Conservation Citations

- 1. M. W. Beck et al., Bioscience 51, 633 (August 01, 2001, 2001).
- 2. B. S. Halpern et al., Science 312, 1230 (May 26, 2006).
- 3. J. E. Duffy, Marine Ecology-Progress Series 311, 233 (2006).
- 4. J. M. Pandolfi et al., Science 301, 955 (Aug 15, 2003).
- 5. J. K. Craig et al., Marine Ecology-Progress Series 294, 79 (2005).
- 6. D. M. Stoms et al., Frontiers In Ecology And The Environment 3, 429 (Oct, 2005).
- 7. B. Worm et al., Science 314, 787 (Nov 3, 2006).
- 8. J. B. C. Jackson et al., Science 293, 629 (July 27, 2001, 2001).
- 9. J. F. Kitchell et al., Ecosystems 5, 202 (Mar, 2002).
- 10. D. E. Schindler et al., Ecological Applications 12, 735 (Jun, 2002).
- 11. J. F. Kitchell et al., Bulletin Of Marine Science 74, 607 (May, 2004).
- 12. Ecological Applications S13, 4 (February, 2003).
- 13. A. Hastings et al., Science 284, 1537 (May 28, 1999).
- 14. F. Micheli et al., Bulletin Of Marine Science 74, 653 (May, 2004).
- 15. R. J. Etter et al., Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers 46, 1095 (Jun, 1999).
- 16. L. A. Levin et al., Annual Review Of Ecology And Systematics 32, 51 (2001).
- 17. A. P. Allen et al., Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 103, 9130 (Jun 13, 2006).
- 18. A. I. Miller et al., Science 302, 1030 (November 7, 2003, 2003).
- 19. L. R. Gerber et al., Ecological Applications 14, 1554 (Oct, 2004).
- 20. L. R. Gerber et al., Conservation Biology 13, 1215 (1999).

Overview of NCEAS Strengths

The NCEAS model provides three distinctive benefits:

1. Time – Productive scientists are extremely busy, and there is the sense that considerable time is being spent on unproductive activities at their home institutions. It is very difficult to find time to concentrate on intensive research for even a few hours without interruptions and various obligations. Visits to the Center isolate scientists from these responsibilities, allowing them to focus on research in creative, productive bursts of intellectual activity. Even the location of the Center allows scientists to optimize their time by moving between lodging, restaurants, and the meeting rooms on their own schedules, which often includes evenings and weekends.

2. Interactions – two types of interactions occur at NCEAS: organized and unanticipated interactions. While organized interactions through Working Groups represent the formal purpose of a NCEAS visit, the meetings are often loosely structured and most evolve rapidly toward productive aspects of the research question. Perhaps even more important than organized interactions are the anticipated but unpredictable interactions that occur simply because people with overlapping interests are in the same place at the same time. This intellectual by-catch results when individuals

have coffee or lunch together and discover they have a shared interest in a topic that may not be associated with the purpose for which either came to NCEAS. In summer 2006, the NCEAS staff was delighted to find that 2 concurrent Working Groups were spontaneously conducting a "meta-Working-Group" meeting in the lounge, and the staff quickly organized their technical and hospitality support to facilitate this unexpected brain-storming session. These chance meetings can spin off into distinct projects and lasting collaborations, generating complex phylogenies of ideas and results.

3. Flexibility – an important characteristic of NCEAS research projects is that they rarely end up as proposed. The projects often move laterally as the investigators pursue the most pertinent and productive elements of their research questions. In the intellectually open atmosphere engendered at NCEAS, scientists naturally move toward the most interesting areas of inquiry. Some have wondered whether supporting risky or innovative projects would lead to high "failure" rates – projects that attempt truly new approaches should be more prone to failure than redundant research. The flexibility provided to NCEAS projects reduces the chance of true failures and actually fosters innovation and creativity. In addition, the Center often supports changes in the direction of a group by funding an additional participant(s) when the group discovers that one area of expertise is missing or supporting additional meetings. In a recent Distributed Graduate Seminar (De Clerck et al.), native Spanish-speaking students in Costa Rica began to fall behind in the weekly chat sessions conducted in English; NCEAS was able to reimburse payments for a translator to facilitate the chat sessions in Costa Rica. Because of the high involvement of Spanish-speaking participants in Central America, this group was able to synthesize both Spanish and English language literature, and has submitted their first publication in a Spanish language journal, with English language contributions to follow.

Other Important Features of NCEAS

Research Scope - Research results represent the most important aspect of NCEAS' activities. The evaluation of research presented above indicates that the Center's scientists have made major intellectual contributions that are broadly representative in terms of topic, geography, gender, and institutions represented.

Postdoctoral Research - One measure of the effectiveness of NCEAS is reflected by the Postdoctoral Associates. Their extraordinary success (in terms of publications and positions accepted) no doubt is due both to the quality of postdoctoral applicants and their scholarship once they arrive at NCEAS. Initially there was some concern that the NCEAS postdoctoral associates were "mentorless". Accordingly, we provide funds to allow them to visit a mentoring scientist or bring them to the Center for short visits. However, this has not been a problem and the Associates essentially have access to dozens of possible mentors from among the hundreds of visitors to NCEAS. This innovative postdoctoral program was highlighted in a recent Nature feature (Powell, 2007, Nature 446: 226-228).

Graduate Interns have been much more important to the Center than was originally imagined. Interns often develop and maintain data sets for Working Groups, and participate in their intellectual activity. Interns have come from a variety of

Departments on the UCSB campus. In addition, we sometimes support Interns at the home institutions of the Group Leaders. This is advantageous because it uses less space at NCEAS and provides effective local supervision.

Outreach – The major outreach activity at NCEAS involves scientific publications and presentations at scientific meetings. The Center is almost entirely web-based, and we receive contacts from numerous domains through the web. In addition, the Center is involved in a myriad of outreach activities to other audiences. Perhaps the most intriguing involves the Kids Do Ecology program, in which NCEAS scientists contribute to the science curriculum for the 5th grade in the local school district. The Center's scientists work with classes to develop experiments and observations, to foster enthusiasm for ecology and to understand the scientific process. Data gathered in the projects, as well as other community projects for kids (e.g., classifying and quantifying trash on beaches) are hosted on our web site under Kids Do Ecology.

Relationship with Campus - NCEAS has broad support from scientists and the administration on the UCSB campus. Regular contact is maintained with campus scientists, 281 of whom have participated in NCEAS research activities between 2001 and 2007. In addition, NCEAS scientists provide a substantial portion of the seminar speakers for the Ecology, Evolution, and Marine Biology Department and hold weekly EcoLunch seminars at NCEAS that are open to the scientific community. During the reporting period, NCEAS hosted approximately 200 EcoLunch Seminars that were attended by UCSB and other local scientists (e.g., National Marine Sanctuary). With hundreds of visiting scientists passing through each year, NCEAS is in a unique position to showcase diverse research efforts in these seminars; the Postdoctoral Associates have extended invitations to speakers ranging from renowned ecological statisticians (e.g., Pierre Legendre) to experimental ecologists (e.g., Sebastian Diehl, Sally Hacker) and theoretical ecology (e.g., Pablo Marquet) to those working on social dimensions of science (e.g., Chris Costello, Ed Hackett). The Director and Deputy Director organize career development discussions and analytical workshops, primarily for Postdoctoral Associates, to which UCSB and other local scientists are invited. The university's administration has assisted the Center in many ways and frequently acknowledges the Center's contributions to campus and the University of California.

The Science Advisory Board, whose 19 members are drawn from appropriate disciplines and organizations, plays a major role in the success of the Center (Table 7). The SAB provides advice on specific proposals and research activities, and on more strategic matters. The SAB is independent from the Director, and nominates and elects its own members. The SAB makes an explicit effort to include non-ecologists (e.g., economists) and agency scientists in addition to academic ecologists.

Education of senior scientists – a number of senior scientists highlight their learning experiences in NCEAS research activities. Specifically, they note that while short term visits with scientists and listening to seminars are beneficial, participation in a working group or spending a sabbatical visit at the Center provides a much deeper learning experience. Thus, unlike workshops that rely on combined wisdom and expert opinion,

the activities at NCEAS seem to promote true learning and new understanding, even among the experts.

Management and conservation oriented research activities – Conservation and Resource Management projects are clearly a rapidly increasing component of the NCEAS research and training portfolio. External funding for these projects has increased to the extent that NCEAS has created a special Conservation and Resource Management program to manage these projects, while continuing to support such applied work through the NSF funding.

The traditional model of information transfer involves scientists handing off the results of a study to resource managers for application. Many attempts have been made by agencies and institutions to promote this type of transfer, but logistic and cultural characteristics of the entities and individuals involved make it difficult. Rather than employing the typical information transfer format, Center research results and recommendations have been passed on through less traditional routes, often with scientists getting directly involved.

Training – Although the original NCEAS proposal contained training workshops, a majority of the training efforts have been less traditional (e.g., the Distributed Graduate Seminars). Graduate interns are full collaborators in Working Groups, gaining insights into research and its culture that cannot be obtained in a traditional setting. Postdoctoral Associates also develop collaborative skills, and the recognition that synthesis is a valid form of research. As noted earlier, senior scientists often gain new insights and skills at NCEAS rather than simply learning new facts. In addition to these less formal training activities, NCEAS does provide training workshops in response to requests by resident scientists – primarily postdocs – on topics such as statistics, modeling, and career development. Occasionally, sabbaticals initiate workshops by offering to teach a short workshop on a topic in which they have distinctive knowledge, such as ecological modeling or scientific writing or publishing.

Location – NCEAS was initially located off campus in downtown Santa Barbara because space was not available on campus, and there was interest in developing it as a national rather than university-specific center. Many imagined that NCEAS would move to campus as soon as space was available. In the meantime however, the downtown location has become an important part of the NCEAS model. At one level, the convenience and ambiance of the location promotes a productive atmosphere. Visitors can walk from their hotels to the Center, and meals are available at all hours, unlike locations near campus. More importantly, visitors often state that by being off campus the Center has a different "feel" – that coming to this location promotes the sense that something distinctive is happening. Even scientists on campus now seem to appreciate the opportunity to come to the center and get away from their daily responsibilities. Originally there was concern about reduced access to campus resources such as the library. With electronic library resources in much higher demand than hardcopy, proximity to such campus resources became essentially a "non-issue" during this reporting period. In any event, visiting scientists do not use library material as much as we originally imagined, since the NCEAS Working Group participants often deal with

data rather than publications. We also provide a courier service that will obtain articles and books as needed and resident scientists are given full library privileges.

Several aspects of NCEAS' activities need improvement. In a few cases, chronic situations have been difficult to resolve, such as involvement of underrepresented groups and release of data to the public by NCEAS scientists. Further, we and others recognize untapped potential for greater synergy between the Ecoinformatics research group and core research activities at the Center. These issues and appropriate plans for action that are being undertaken under the present award (i.e., 2007 - 2012) are described in much greater detail in the NCEAS renewal proposal submitted for Award #DEB-0553768.

Significance of NCEAS

Evidence suggests that the National Center for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis is meeting its mission and has exceeded the goals imagined for such a Center. Numerous scientific contributions have been published in major journals, over four thousand scientists have visited NCEAS, the Postdoctoral Associates are having remarkable success securing good academic positions, and non-traditional approaches are being employed for research, education, and outreach. Visitors appreciate the opportunities provided by the NCEAS model, and testimonials abound as to the effectiveness of this approach.

It is reasonable to ask whether the results of NCEAS projects could have happened in the absence of the Center. In some cases, the answer clearly is no. In other cases, where projects could have happened under other circumstances, the real question is, would they have? At the very least, it appears that synthetic efforts occur sooner and more efficiently with the opportunities at NCEAS and that the Center promotes a new way of conducting scientific research in our discipline and of generating distinctive, significant results.

As important as the research conducted at Center is, a longer lasting legacy may come from the nature of the research process at NCEAS. The essence of this approach is to bring together individuals who have much to learn from each other and facilitate their opportunities to interact intellectually. This is actually a very simple model that provides time, flexibility and opportunities for interactions. While most scientists probably imagined these would be aspects of the intellectual life they were joining, these elements are difficult to secure in circumstances filled with many ancillary obligations that impinge on scholarship. Scientists need a place like NCEAS that is dedicated to these intellectual ideals.

Appendix 1 – Projects, People, Publications, and Products 2001-2007

Project descriptions, NCEAS scientists, and major products from NCEAS research.

Working Groups

Primary Working Group leader, titles, and abstracts for Working Groups supported between 2001 and 2007.

Abrams, Peter Extending, synthesizing, and applying recent advances in competition theory

Theoretical work on interspecific competition over the past 15 years has been characterized by the inclusion of an increasing amount of detail regarding the mechanism of population interaction. In comparison with previous work, recent theory has included more explicit descriptions of: (1) spatial and temporal variability; (2) adaptive behavior and/or evolution; and (3) between-individual differences in characteristics affecting competition. These studies have been undertaken largely independently, and many have been based on specific systems or assumptions. This proposal has two goals: (1) to explore the relationships between, and the interaction of these three components of the mechanism of competition; and (2) to determine if there is empirical evidence for the more general predictions uncovered by work related to the first goal. The second goal will also encompass plans for future empirical studies if evidence is lacking in past work. The working group will include investigators who have been actively involved in developing recent theory as well as empirical biologists who have had experience in meta-analysis of published experiments. The tangible results of the working group will be several joint theoretical papers and meta-analyses of published work, and proposals for empirical studies to apply the theory developed here.

Altizer, Sonia

Understanding the ecology and evolution of infectious diseases in mammalian mating and social systems

Variation in animal mating and social behavior has important consequences for the origin and persistence of infectious diseases. These behavioral processes determine local host density and govern the type and frequency of contacts that occur within and among groups of animals. Ecologists have made great progress in understanding infectious disease dynamics operating on ecological time scales, yet next to nothing is known about patterns of disease at broad evolutionary scales. Given the increasing availability of information on socio- ecological parameters and disease in wild populations, along with robust phylogenies, the time is right to integrate efforts across these levels of analysis. Thus, our interdisciplinary working group will coordinate empirical and theoretical approaches to investigate how host social organization and mating behavior affect the maintenance and spread of infectious diseases in mammals. We will use large datasets and phylogenetically controlled comparative studies and formulate predictive models of the consequences of variation in socio-ecological parameters for disease risk. Simultaneously, we will use computer simulations and population modeling techniques to generate predictions that can be examined with the comparative data. By filling the enormous gaps in our knowledge regarding the links between disease and mating and social systems, our study will identify key factors responsible for the dynamics and evolution of infectious diseases in animal populations.

Alroy, John Paleobiology Database (Hosted by NCEAS)

Our picture of global diversification and extinction on long time scales is mostly based on generalized data for Phanerozoic marine macroinvertebrates. While every effort was made to guarantee the comprehensiveness of this data set, the community has been aware that sampling artifacts may contribute to the observed trends. Until now, we have been unable to remove these effects. Several robust methods for doing this are now available, but these methods use locality-specific data that are not a part of the existing, more generalized compilations. In order to confirm the reality of the major observed patterns, a collaborative data compilation project needs to be initiated. We wish to form a working group to do this. As a first step, we propose a workshop this August involving workers who have specialized in analyzing paleontological diversity data. This workshop will determine the scope, goals, structure, and time table of a database project. Immediately after the workshop, a post-doc who will serve as project coordinator will begin a twoyear residency at NCEAS. Over the following two years, experts specializing on particular parts of the fossil record will meet at NCEAS to guide the data collection process. A final meeting will focus on preparing collaborative publications showing how these data influence our picture of marine diversification and extinction.

Altizer, Sonia

Understanding the role of infectious disease in mammalian mating and social systems (Extended)

This meeting will focus on (1) integrating the various datasets that are being compiled by individual members of the group, which is necessary for distributing these data over the WWW, (2) finalizing statistical results that are being conducted currently by individuals or subgroups of the working group, and (3) finishing manuscripts that are co-authored by

three or more members of the working group. We have made great progress on compiling records of parasites and infectious diseases in over 100 species of wild primates, with the first round of results from our analyses nearly finalized. In addition to examining the effects of host social and mating behavior, two interesting patterns that have emerged in repeated comparative tests are the importance of host density and host diversification rates in explaining parasite community diversity within species. Members of our working group have begun compiling similar data on carnivores and ungulates, while others are building databases of parasite species traits (including host specificity and transmission mode). We have already produced four published (or in press) papers that acknowledge NCEAS support, initiated a second effort to collaborate with Conservation International in applying these data to conservation issues, and received NSF funds to support components of this project that lie outside the realm of NCEAS funding. At this stage, the bulk of our remaining research can be accomplished individually and within subgroups over email. However, a final meeting is necessary to integrate these currently independent datasets and spearhead our efforts to disseminate the data and results over the WWW and through journal publications. Merging multiple datasets on hosts and parasites requires the expertise of both veterinary parasitologists and comparative biologists examining the data simultaneously. At the meeting in June, 2003, we would also like to work with Mark Schildhauer to develop a format for data presentation over the WWW. Moreover, during the past two meetings theoreticians in our group have developed an innovative individual-based model for varying social and mating systems to examine the consequences for the spread and evolution of infectious disease. The conceptual framework for this model required three full meetings to develop, and was surprisingly advanced by input from nearly everyone in the working group. Because this model will be coded and tested during the next 10 months, it will be essential to obtain feedback and criticism from behavioral ecologists and parasite specialists following their initial series of simulations.

Andelman, Sandy Review of Forest Service Viability Assessment Processes

The regulations implementing the National Forest Management Act (NFMA) direct the National Forests to provide habitat that will support viable populations of native and desired non-native vertebrate species well-distributed across National Forest lands. A proposed revision of these regulations would extend this requirement to additional species in the plant and animal kingdoms, but provide for significant qualifications in the way the requirement is applied to many species in recognition of the natural history and existing condition of those species and their habitat. Since the existing regulation went into effect in 1982, a variety of approaches has been used to meet the viability requirement. Approaches have ranged from opinon-based assessments of individual resource specialists to detailed habitat and demographic modeling. many Forest Plans have been challenged on either the adequacy of the management guidelines for species, or the adequacy of the process used to demonstrate that viability requirements have been met. The Forest Service is now making a renewed effort to provide consistent and high

quality input to forest plans related to viability. The results of this external review will be used in the development of guidance to National Forests for addressing species viability in land and resource management plans.

Andelman, Sandy Kruger National Park and NCEAS collaboration on the KNB (Hosted by NCEAS)

Ashman, Tia-Lynn Beyond hand-pollinations: Linking pollen limitation to plant population biology

Pollen sufficiency is an important determinant of plant reproductive success, and thus a major driver in plant ecology and evolution. Despite hundreds of empirical studies addressing the causes and consequences of pollen limitation, we lack a quantitative synthesis. Our working group will bring together evolutionary biologists, pollination ecologists, plant demographers, and theoreticians to produce new insight into the ecological and evolutionary significance of pollen limitation. We will 1) use contemporary theory as a framework for synthesis (via meta-analysis) of published and unpublished empirical data to determine the ecological attributes that are generally associated with pollen limitation, and 2) develop new theory that integrates pollen limitation with plant modularity, resource allocation and perenniality, as well as with plant demography, population growth rates and time to extinction.

Aukema, Juliann Economic impacts of non-native forest pests and pathogens in North America (TNC)

The ecological effects of many non-native forest pests and pathogens in North America have been well documented. The economic costs of these effects, however, have not been estimated credibly. NCEAS will synthesize ecological data on forest invaders and conduct complementary economic analyses. This will allow us to inform decisions regarding alternative strategies for controlling forest invaders. The project will examine the extent to which current knowledge allows credible prediction of the effects of emerging pests and pathogens. We also will investigate the economic impacts of non-native forest insects and diseases on ecosystem services provided by forests. Integration of ecological and economic data will lead to development of least cost / greatest benefit approaches that can be implemented by practitioners.

Beck, Michael

Evaluation of the nursery role of wetlands and seagrasses for better conservation and management

Wetland (herein, estuarine marsh and mangrove) and seagrass habitats are extraordinarily productive, and are believed to play a nursery role in which juvenile fish and shellfish occur at high densities, avoid predation, grow quickly, and then migrate offshore to appropriate adult habitat. The nursery role of these habitats is a pervasive concept, and it is important in research, conservation, and management. There is, however, growing recognition that the evidence that supports this paradigm is not cohesive and sometimes weak or contradictory. A clear understanding of the importance of seagrass and wetland habitats in the life cycles of marine species is urgently needed, because these habitats are declining rapidly worldwide. In the USA, the recently revised Magnuson Fishery Conservation and Management Act requires all eight Regional Fishery Management Councils to define, protect, and restore "Essential Fish Habitat". If seagrasses and wetlands are nursery grounds then new measures can and should be directed towards their conservation as Essential Fish Habitat.

Our goal is to form three small working groups to critically evaluate the nursery role concept for seagrass and wetland habitats. We will determine the extent to which the density, survival, and growth of animals are greater in these habitats than elsewhere and examine the characteristics of these habitats that most affect secondary productivity. We will also use bioenergetic simulation models to estimate the contribution of seagrasses and wetlands to offshore secondary production and examine the predicted effects of the continued loss of these habitats. We plan to synthesize and make these results useful not only to scientists but also to NGO's, and state and government agencies to better inform management and conservation of coastal environments.

Bohannan, Brendan Patterns in microbial biodiversity

Microorganisms represent the vast majority of Earth's biodiversity and they play a crucial role in nearly every process of environmental importance. However we know very little about how microbial diversity is generated and maintained. Our ignorance is due in part to the isolation of microbial diversity studies from the general study of biodiversity. The proposed working group will bring together microbial biologists who are gathering microbial diversity data and ecologists who study biodiversity, to share tools and approaches, to look for patterns in microbial diversity data, and to propose future directions for microbial biodiversity research.

Bohannan, Brendan Patterns in microbial biodiversity (Extended) Microorganisms represent the vast majority of Earth's biodiversity and they play a crucial role in nearly every process of environmental importance. However we know very little about how microbial diversity is generated and maintained. Our ignorance is due in part to the isolation of microbial diversity studies from the general study of biodiversity. The proposed working group will bring together microbial biologists who are gathering microbial diversity data and ecologists who study biodiversity, to share tools and approaches, to look for patterns in microbial diversity data, and to propose future directions for microbial biodiversity research.

Brooks, Thomas

Synthesis of the biodiversity knowledge base: Towards a global database of terrestrial vertebrate distributions

We propose a working group of ecologists, species experts and NGO representatives to establish a synthesis of global terrestrial vertebrate distributions. Distributions of vertebrates have been mapped by various authors and institutions at different taxonomic and geographic extent, resolution and accuracy. The amount of information and the techniques to handle large quantities of spatial data have now reached a level, which would allow data synthesis for this group at a global scale. The aims of the proposed working group are to facilitate accessibility of currently non-public datasets, to identify and act on filling the remaining gaps of distributional knowledge, and to analyze the resulting databases. The benefits are many-fold. The synthesis will enable standardized quantification of threat of extinction from small range size alone for all terrestrial vertebrates. It will boost efficiency of large-scale conservation priority setting by an unbiased identification of patterns of between- site complementarity in species representation. It will allow rapid assessment of vertebrate diversity of regions under threat of change. It will act as a coarse-resolution basis for deductive modeling of species' fine-scale distribution. Furthermore it will allow methodologically rigorous scrutiny of traditional hypotheses of determinants of species distributions and is likely to trigger significant advancement of the field of large-scale ecology. The proposed working group shall act as a base for both the necessary data synthesis and subsequent collaborative analysis and dissemination of the compiled data.

Brown, Gardner Biggest Bang for the Buck: Really melding demographic theory with economics

Because society has limited resources, the recovery of endangered species must contend with scarcity. Ecologists may be able to identify a host of beneficial management actions, but economics resources (and political will) are rarely sufficient to pursue all of those actions. An important question to address, then, is the following: Among the many possible actions that can aid the recovery of a species, which ones should be given higher priority? In practice, these decisions are informed by an ad hoc mixture of biological data, demographic theory, economic information, and politics. Although we cannot improve the political process, there is room for substantial improvements in how the economics and ecology are combined when addressing the issue of priorities.

First it is worth noting that both ecologists and economists often feel they do address priorities within the context of their own disciplines. For example, when ecologists calculate so-called elasticities in demographic matrix models the implication is that in doing so, they are identifying those opportunities for management that will yield the largest improvements in annual rate of population growth (1) per unit increase in survival. The prominence (and limitation) of this ecological theory aimed at prioritysetting is highlighted by a recent collection of papers in Ecology (Heppell et al. 2000). Unfortunately, examinations that focus only on demographic parameters and do not explicitly include economics really do not get at the question of "biggest bang for the buck" (BBB). Conversely, economists make eminently reasonable-sounding recommendations about conservation priorities using cost-benefit analyses, or perhaps even formal economic optimization algorithms. Unfortunately, these purely economic analyses, which consider costs and benefits without regard to demographic responses, cannot really provide an apt description of net ecological benefits. A gap needs to be closed. We intend to bring together a small group of economists and ecologists to develop formal analytical tools that combine ecological and demographic processes into the same framework, and form the question "where do we get the biggest bang for the buck?" in the way we think it should be asked. To ground the theory in the real world we will apply our analyses to two important ecological case studies: managing for the recovery of loggerhead sea turtles in southeastern United States, and managing for the recovery of chinook salmon in Northwestern United States. Our project will provide both practical results for real-world decisions, and the development of methods and theory that combine demographic matrix theory with economic approaches.

Burgman, Mark

Developing and testing methods for classifying species conservation status and estimating risk

Decisions about species conservation status have critical implications for allocation of public and private funding, land use planning decisions, and regulatory actions. Currently, a broad range of methods is used to classify species conservation status at a variety of geographic scales (e.g., local, national, international). Different methods produce very different results, yet there is no rationale or benchmark for judging their adequacy or appropriateness. Existing systems also are incomplete because they lack rules that allow decisions to be made when the data are uncertain. No systematic testing of any such system has been undertaken. In this project, we will synthesize and evaluate existing protocols for classifying species conservation status applied in the United States, Australia, and internationally. We will measure their performance in three ways: first, by comparing the classifications resulting from individual protocols with assessments of extinction risk from detailed population and metapopulation studies for specific species;

second, by comparing classifications with simulations of hypothetical species for which "true" underlying dynamic processes are known; and third, by comparing classifications with the conservation outcomes for a large number of existing species, for which some populations have gone extinct. The synthesis of these lines of evidence will allow us to evaluate critically the current techniques, and to recommend new approaches and testing procedures.

Burgman, Mark

Setting priorities and making decisions for conservation risk management

Risk-based decisions are made routinely in medicine, toxicology, engineering, psychology, insurance and finance. The development of methods in these fields has been rapid but the paths followed and the tools developed have been different. To some extent the differences reflect the kinds of data and the range of problems people need to solve. In part, the differences are because methods have grown in relative isolation. A common problem facing practitioners in conservation biology is to identify priorities that discriminate among a suite of alternative actions. Biologists make decisions on a routine basis, but with little understanding of the techniques for decisions involving risk. The kinds of questions conservation biologists are obliged to answer will benefit substantially from advances in decision analysis and risk assessment made in other disciplines. This project will develop and expand the toolkit for problem solving available to conservation biologists by reviewing risk-based, priority setting methods in different fields, and bringing together people from different disciplines to examine the problems confronting conservation biologists, resulting in new approaches to finding solutions to priority setting and decision making problems.

Callaway, Ragan

Facilitation and competition on alpine elevation gradients: A global experiment on the organization of plant communities

We propose to organize three meetings, each 7-10 days, in order to analyze data and develop conceptual, empirical, and mathematical models with a working group that has been loosely organized and conducting experiments on interactions in alpine plant communities around the world. Results from one of the nine sites for which data have been collected, the French Alps, and other preliminary analyses indicate that interactions shift from highly competitive in low elevation alpine meadows to highly facilitative in communities 11000m higher and near the physical limits of plant growth. These competitive and facilitative interactions also appear to be coupled with community properties such as biomass, diversity, evenness, and the relative abundance of target species. Furthermore, shifts in species interactions and the intensity of species interactions along elevation gradients appear to correlate with community characteristics and regional climate. We are requesting funds from NCEAS to solve the problems

inherent to such a large group of investigators from different parts of the world with a large data set. Funding will allow us to work cohesively as a group on statistical analyses of experimental data, to integrate experimental results with community properties in innovative ways, and to develop empirical and conceptual models for the relationship between climate, biogeography, and plant interactions on gradients.

Carroll, Carlos Landscape and population connectivity (Hosted by NCEAS)

Conservation area design (CAD) is being used by many landscape-scale conservation efforts in western North America to establish geographic priorities and to raise awareness within the scientific, practitioner, and lay communities of the importance of critical areas. However, CAD techniques currently cannot evaluate factors that allow species and their resources to persist over time. These factors include land-cover connectivity and the resilience of reserve networks to ecological processes such as fire. Several new methods for CAD are emerging that have the potential to improve greatly on past methods. These new methods are unfamiliar to most practitioners, and no comparative evaluation of their usefulness in different contexts exists. Participants will test and compare new tools by applying them to conservation-planning challenges in several geographic areas in North America. Participants also will develop a manual that evaluates new software tools for connectivity analysis, with guidelines for use of those tools. Ultimately, the group will develop a software toolkit and guidelines for its use that would be applicable to landscape-scale conservation planning in any region.

Caswell, Hal A new synthesis of demography and dispersal

Our understanding of demography has improved tremendously over the past 50 years. So has our understanding of dispersal and its erects. However, our understanding of how demography and dispersal interact to determine spatiotemporal population dynamics is relatively poor. Recent theoretical advances provide tools that can bridge this gap, but because demographic and dispersal data are rarely presented together, they have yet to be applied. We propose to remedy this by forming a working group of empirical ecologists who have (or might obtain) both demographic and dispersal data and theoreticians interested in demography and dispersal. We will thus bring together and analyze combinations of data that otherwise would not be combined, and jump-start the process of unifying the theory of demography and dispersal.

Chazdon, Robin Biodiversity and conservation value of agricultural landscapes of Mesoamerica This working group will synthesize information from research conducted across eight Mesoamerican countries to elucidate and promote principles for conservation action in human-impacted landscapes of Mesoamerica and to enhance the contribution to biodiversity conservation of forest fragments, extant agriculture, post- agricultural secondary forests, and forest restoration projects. Substantial ecological data sources are available now to develop such a synthesis and to promote the use of current knowledge in conservation planning, biodiversity assessment, corridor development, and transnational cooperation, all with local community participation. We anticipate that this internationally-based effort will provide scientific information critical to the Mesoamerican Biological Corridor and to harmonizing biodiversity conservation and agricultural production throughout Mesoamerica.

Christie, Patrick

Governance feasibility of marine ecosystem-based management: A comparative analysis (EBM)

The EBM Feasibility NCEAS working group has three main goals: 1) assessing how to modify governance structures to facilitate effective ecosystem-based management (EBM) in developing and developed world contexts; 2) generating practical ecological and social indicators for EBM, and 3) producing analyses and planning materials useful for scientists, EBM practitioners, and policy makers around the world. It will draw together disparate, socio-ecological datasets from the Philippines, Southwestern Africa, the Caribbean, and Hawaii Island to assess EBM success as measured by common social and ecological goals of various programs. These results will result in synthetic peer reviewed journal articles and form the basis for an empirically-based how-to guidebook and training program to support coastal EBM.

Cole, Jonathan

Integrating the aquatic with the terrestrial component of the global carbon budget

The traditional compartmentalized approaches to developing inventories of carbons pools and fluxes in the biosphere have generated gaps in the form of key components. Among these key components, freshwater and wetland ecosystems, have been largely ignored or assumed negligible. Recent literature suggests that freshwater ecosystems contribute significantly to some regional carbon balances. We hypothesize, based on a preliminary assessment of the easily available data, that these key components are critical for a reliable estimation of carbon movements at a global scale as well. While the oceans and terrestrial forests are responsible for the net uptake of atmospheric CO2, freshwater ecosystems process a large amount of terrestrially-derived primary production and alter the balance between C sequestration and net CO2 release. This project aims at synthesizing existing information on the linkage between terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems to yield an improved representation of carbon cycling.

Costello, Christopher Working Group, Matching property rights institutions with fishery characteristics

The objective of this working group is to synthesize, extend, and bridge gaps in the state of knowledge with economics research on property rights. The group is focusing on the design of effective and efficient institutions and governance structures and exploring the conditions under bioeconomic dimensions along which different property rights regimes may be most effective.

Cottenie, Karl Local versus regional processes: Integrating space and environment

Both local and regional processes can structure local communities, however, their relative roles are poorly understood. The classical methodology used to determine their relative importance is to examine the shape of the relationship between local and regional diversity. However, this approach has been criticized on several conceptual and methodological grounds. This proposal aims to integrate local (biotic and abiotic) and regional (spatial) information, using three novel research methodologies. These will be applied on a range of data sets, starting with zooplankton, but expanding to other aquatic taxa and terrestrial systems. Moreover, I will extend the methodology to genetic data, in order to make a direct comparison between processes working at the interspecific and intraspecific levels. The results will elucidate the processes that generate structure in populations and communities.

Cuddington, Kim

Habitat modification in conservation problems: Modeling invasive ecosystem engineers

We propose a working group to develop models and analyze data for species that substantially modify their environment. We will incorporate such habitat modification in models describing population and community dynamics of invasive species. Therefore, we will simultaneously develop methods of modeling "ecosystem engineers", and produce generalizations regarding the effects of invasive habitat modifiers. Finally, we will develop quantitative criteria to determine when it is necessary to consider ecosystem engineering in ecological problems. Davidson, Diane

Ecological stoichiometry and the spatial distributions and temporal dynamics of arthropods

Stoichiometry, the study of the balance of multiple elements in living systems, is increasingly recognized as an integrative axis within ecology and across biological disciplines. We seek to characterize a series of little- explored links between stoichiometry and the spatial distributions and temporal dynamics of arthropods. These issues lie at the interface of macroecology and macrophysiology. We focus on four arthropod groups where different stoichiometric mechanisms likely help determine species-level spatial distributions and/or temporal dynamics. These include three taxonomically defined groups (the Orthoptera [grasshoppers and crickets], the Lepidoptera [butterflies and moths], and the Hymenoptera [ants and bees]) plus one ecologically defined group (troglobites [obligate cave dwellers]). Mechanisms link an arthropod's stoichiometry with its capacities for growth, reproduction, and dispersal. We use these linkages as springboards for testing three hypotheses. First, focusing on orthopterans and lepidopterans, we will characterize how a species' stoichiometry is linked to its tendency to exhibit "outbreak" dynamics and what elements are most important. Second, focusing on hymenopterans and troglobites, we will test how an arthropod's stoichiometric content relates to the breadth of habitats it exploits. Lastly, focusing on orthopterans, hymenopterans, lepidopterans and other arthropods, we will test whether these same stoichiometric mechanisms imply that the elemental content of some species will predispose them to respond to global change via shifts in their geographic ranges. We will address these three issues by characterizing the "intersections" of several ecological databases. Our work will be primarily from an empirical, ecoinformatic perspective; however, we will complement these efforts with theoretical modeling of insect outbreak dynamics in stoichiometrically explicit population models.

Davis, Frank California Legacy Project (Hosted by NCEAS)

Systematic conservation planning is concerned with developing scientifically-guided conservation strategies over large planning areas. It requires formal measures of biodiversity, explicit conservation goals, recognition of trade-offs between biological conservation and other social goals, and explicit and repeatable procedures for producing alternative plans. The goal of this workgroup is to assist the State of California by bringing systematic conservation planning theory and methods to bear on the design and implementation of the California Continuing Resource Investment Strategy Project (CCRISP). CCRISP, an initiative of The Resources Agency of California, is a cooperative, comprehensive planning effort to develop a set of policy and strategy recommendations on protecting California's diverse biological and natural resources (http://ceres.ca.gov/biodiversity/newsletter/v7n1/ccrisp.html).

Doak, Daniel

Conservation planning for ecosystem functioning: Testing predictions of ecological effectiveness for marine predators (EBM)

At a major symposium on marine ecosystem-based management at the 2005 AAAS meetings, one of the three principal themes deemed critical for future progress was interaction web dynamics; the way in which species interact with one another and their physical environment. In particular, the scientific basis for marine ecosystem- based management must better incorporate understanding of the influences of multiple predator species on interaction web dynamics. These interactions are increasingly recognized as critical to the maintenance and restoration of marine communities and hence to the planning of marine reserves and other conservation strategies. Our working group will directly tackle this important issue, asking what approaches are most successful in estimating the interaction strength, also termed ecological effectiveness, of predator species on nearshore communities and how to use limited information on these effects to best conduct conservation planning in these ecosystems. We will focus our initial efforts on three extremely well-studied predator guilds of West Coast, near shore communities: sea otters in kelp forests; predatory whelks in mid-intertidal benthic communities, and wading shore birds in high to mid intertidal communities. For each of these very different systems, extensive data exist on the effects of predator abundance, physiology, and individual behavior. We will assemble these diverse data sets and use them to develop detailed interaction models as well as more broad- brush models that may be applicable to less-well-studied communities. Our overall goal is to use these models to ask what aspects of predator physiology and behavior, and what aspects of prey community structure, most determine the ecological effectiveness of predators and thus must be understood in order to plan viable marine conservation strategies.

Dobson, Andy Infectious diseases and conservation biology

Infectious diseases present a special challenge to conservation biologists: they form a largely unexplored component of biodiversity that from one perspective helps promote coexistence and diversity; at the other extreme, there are several examples of pathogens contributing to the extinction of threatened and endangered species. This working group will examine three topics that are central to our understanding of the role pathogens play in conservation biology. Central to the theme of the workshop is the development of a quantitative understanding of how pathogens effect the dynamics of communities that contain more than one potential hosts species. Here we will primarily be concerned with developing empirical and theoretical analysis that examine the role that pathogens play in mediating the coexistence of potentially competing species (or in maintaining genetic diversity within a single host species). We will then examine whether the diversity of host species tends to either buffer, or amplify, disease outbreaks. In particular, we will

explore the ecological interactions between transmission route, host diversity and pathogen persistence. This section of the working group will examine empirical data for a number of systems in which we can dissect the relative roles played by host abundance, host resource utilization and host spatial distribution in determining rates of intra- and inter- specific transmission. In conjunction with these largely deterministic and analytical exercises, we shall also examine data for epidemic outbreaks in small (endangered) populations. These analysis will allow us to develop stochastic models that determine the impact of pathogens on populations that are too small to sustain a longterm persistent source of infection. In particular, we will focus on examining the costs and benefits of intervention to prevent further spread of the disease, particularly in the limiting case where small host population size always leads to the pathogens eventual extinction.

2. Problem Statement

Pathogens and infectious diseases create special problems for both the protection of endangered species and for the maintenance of biodiversity. There are several examples of cases where the introduction of a pathogen has produced a significant further decline in the numbers of an already threatened species (black-footed ferrets, hunting dogs in Serengeti, endemic Hawaiian birds). In all of these examples the pathogens involved were ones that utilize common or domestic species as reservoir hosts. There is increasing evidence that species diversity per se may provide an important buffer that minimizes the impact of a pathogen on any individual host species pathogens. Paradoxically pathogens may also play a role in both maintaining biodiversity and in driving processes that lead to increases in local diversity (refs).

This workgroup will focus on examining these problems by examining seven different ecological communities for which significant volumes of epidemiological data are also available. Each of the data sets provide important insights into at least one of our main research questions, but we do not expect to apply all of the questions to each of the data sets. We are interested in addressing three general classes of questions:

1) What are the dynamics of a recently introduced pathogen in a small population? In particular, how do deterministic and stochastic factors interact to determine the persistence and extinction of pathogens in small populations? In conjunction with this we will also examine how different types of pathogens contribute to further declines in the abundance of potentially endangered host species?

2) In pathogens that utilize a range of host species, what roles do relative abundance, susceptibility, and overlap in spatial distribution play in determining interspecific rates of pathogen transmission? In particular, how much does ecological information on the behavior and spatial distribution of potential host species complement aetiological information and allow us to identify species that are most likely to act as reservoir hosts for pathogens that provide a significant threat to rare or endangered species?

3) Does the diversity of species used by a pathogen tend to buffer or increase either its persistence or rate of spread? Under what conditions will a pathogen enhance the diversity of species that co-exist in any community?

Data sets that will, or potentially could, be used are:

Question 1

- (1) long term data sets on carnivores, ungulates and their pathogens in the Serengeti;
- (2) data for a measles outbreak in mountain gorillas in Rwanda;
- (3) data for avian malaria in introduced and native bird species in Hawaii;

Questions 2 & 3

- (4) data for the ticks, deer mice, white-tailed deer and Lyme disease in New England;
- (5) data for ticks, Louping ill, grouse, sheep, blue hares and deer in Scotland;
- (6) data for racoon rabies in the eastern United States
- (7) data for duck plague from the National Center for Wildlife Diseases

Initially we shall use data sets 1-3 to address question 1. Data sets 4-7 will be used to examine questions 2 & 3. In each case we will examine empirical data for the dynamics of a specific pathogen, this will be used as the basis for first constructing fairly general ecological-epidemiological models. These will then be parameterized using the available empirical data. A variety of analysis will then be undertaken then examine sensitivity of the model to parameterization, initial conditions, and the presence of alternate hosts. We will also examine the consequences of modifying the transmission terms of the models in an attempt to examine how different methods of transmission might modify our conclusions.

An important additional exercise will be the development of some stochastic simulation models for pathogens in small populations. These shall be used to examine whether intervention is likely to have a significant impact, and how rapidly it needs to be applied in order to have an effect. This exercise will provide an important set of guidelines for veterinary workers and conservation biologists who frequently disagree on the relative value of disease intervention when dealing with endangered species.

The main results of the workshop will be presented in a series of scientific papers which will provide a better understanding of three crucial problems in ecological epidemiology as applied to the management and conservation of endangered species. The first set of these papers will explore the dynamics of infectious diseases in small populations. The second will provide a deeper understanding of the role that ecological interactions play in mediating interspecific diseases transmission. While the third set will develop an understanding of the role that biodiversity plays in buffering disease outbreaks for some pathogens.

The beneficiaries of this will obviously be the participants in the workshop, but we expect the additional 'community benefits' to extend well beyond the marginal boosting of citation indices. There is a considerable need in both the wildlife veterinarian and conservation biology community for a coherent body of work that explains with specific examples the twin role that pathogens play threat and mediator of biodiversity. Thus we can expect the work we produce to be used not only for teaching purposes, but also in helping inform government agencies, and NGO's about how best to respond to parasites and infectious diseases in natural ecological communities. If we examine examples of the recent responses of NGO's and government agencies to challenges presented by infectious diseases, we tend to find that ignorance has led to either extreme and irrational control measures or hard to substantiate 'ad-hoc' measures. (Examples - bison and brucellosis, toxin in Monk seals, malaria in Hawaii). The least we can hope for from our workshop is to firmly refute the commonly held opinion that disease outbreaks are chance random events. If fairly lucky we should be able to provide a set of examples that illustrate the underlying deterministic nature of the ecology of infectious diseases, while also illustrating the crucial, and not entirely random, role that stochastic forces play. Ultimately, this will lead to the development of more rational policies for the management of pathogens in ecological communities.

Donoghue, Michael Phylogenies and community ecology

There exists a long history in ecology of examining communities in terms of their taxonomic structure (e.g., species/genus ratios), with the expectation that this will shed light on both histori-cal community assembly and contemporary ecological structure. Modern phylogenies now offer far more precision in the analysis of community taxonomic structure, and an increasing number of studies are aimed at incorporating phylogenetic information into community ecology. Conversely, studies of speciation are increasingly trying to incorporate information about the com-munity context under which lineages separated. The time is ripe for a meeting that draws researchers together, from ecology, phylogenetics and paleobiology, who have considered these issues, but who may not otherwise interact. We thus propose an NCEAS working group focused on the question, How can phylogenetic knowledge help answer community ecology questions, and vice versa? Meetings will be structured to identify answers to this question, and present datasets and analysis tools useful for exploring new avenues. Independent work between meetings would apply these methods, and would be reported at subsequent meetings, and in a final, edited book. We expect that such a working group would catalyze the development of this promising field.

Drake, John Machine learning for the environment

We believe that environmental science, ecology, and conservation biology would be greatly enriched by expanding the ecologist's analytical toolbox to include machine learning (ML) approaches to data analysis. We use the term ML loosely to distinguish between parametric statistics and a variety of new, computational methods for recognizing and analyzing patterns in data. Generally, parametric methods assume highly restrictive theoretical properties of data, such as additivity, linearity, independence, and distribution (e.g., normality). Ecological data, by contrast, represent highly complex systems and commonly violate these assumptions [1-3]. Unfortunately, failure to appreciate these subtleties of ecological data often results in misguided analysis and incomplete or incorrect conclusions. In recent years, ML researchers have developed techniques for analyzing data not suited to parametric statistics. Older machine learning algorithms include neural networks and decision trees. Now, newer techniques like boosting and kernel methods (e.g., support vector machines), provide new opportunities for extracting subtle patterns from complex data, while hybrid methods integrate parametric models and ML to exploit computation and hard-won biological understanding simultaneously. Despite successes elsewhere (e.g., bioinformatics, astrophysics) ML has not been widely adopted by ecologists. Complex situations that might be addressed with ML include identifying optimal policies for managing ecological systems under uncertainty, forecasting, nonlinear modeling, and scientific inference with non-independent data. Accommodating these scientific and statistical difficulties within parametric statistics ranges from cumbersome to impossible. Therefore, we propose a working group to identify obstacles, scope out promising research, produce case studies, and develop a book length tutorial for ecologists on the practical application of ML.

Eldredge, Niles Ecological processes and evolutionary rates

In recent years, the science of ecology has become increasingly directed toward questions at larger spatial and temporal scales. The same is true of evolutionary biology. Our working group will be a direct attempt to evaluate where and when evolutionary biology is important to our understanding of ecological analyses of large-scale spatial and temporal processes. This evolutionary/ecological link is at the heart of the major questions identified at the recent combined GSA/ESA symposium (Hunter 1998). This group will also build explicitly upon one of the research areas that has already become established at NCEAS through related working groups: the role of ongoing evolution in the organization of biodiversity.

Farber, Stephen Understanding, valuing, and managing dynamic ecosystem services under stress: Synthesizing across the LTER Network This project utilizes the LTER site network to develop understanding of the biogeophysical dynamics in stressed ecosystems and the implications of those dynamics for the valuation and management of ecosystem services and underlying ecological support systems. It uses a variety of LTER sites, in different ecological and economic contexts but all subject to existing or potential human stressors. It addresses the appropriateness of valuation methods, how valuations can be transferred among different contexts, and how databases can be developed consistently across sites to assist in ecological management. It also addresses the special management needs demanded of complex, dynamic systems.

Field, Christopher The carbon balance of Eurasia and North America

We propose a working group, including an NCEAS postdoc, configured to fill a series of critical gaps in our ability to quantify and understand the carbon balance of large regions. The working group will focus on three topics that are ripe for progress and where the current state of the science falls far short of the requirements for useful practical application or basic understanding. The focal topics are current land use, past land use, and disturbance. The working group will meet in one workshop on each of these topics, with a fourth workshop on integrated estimates of the carbon balance of Eurasia and North America. At each workshop, we will (1) review the status of the data (2) evaluate strategies for integrating to the continental scale, and (3) consider approaches for constraining estimates with observations. We propose an approach that is synthesis at a number of levels. It will synthesize the role in carbon balance of three factors in time and space. And it will expand the suite of processes represented in large-scale carbon balance models.

We propose a working group, including an NCEAS postdoc, configured to fill a series of critical gaps in our ability to quantify and understand the carbon balance of large regions. The working group will focus on three topics that are ripe for progress and where the current state of the science falls far short of the requirements for useful practical application or basic understanding. The focal topics are current land use, past land use, and disturbance. The working group will meet in one workshop on each of these topics, with a fourth workshop on integrated estimates of the carbon balance of Eurasia and North America. At each workshop, we will (1) review the status of the data (2) evaluate strategies for integrating to the continental scale, and (3) consider approaches for constraining estimates with observations. We propose an approach that is synthesis at a number of levels. It will synthesize the role in carbon balance of three factors likely to be critically important. It will scale these factors in time and space. And it will expand the suite of processes represented in large-scale carbon balance models.

This activity is a component of the GCTE Terrestrial Carbon Initiative.
Field, Christopher

Vulnerability of carbon in permafrost: Pool size and potential effects on the climate system

Ecosystem responses that cause carbon loss to the atmosphere in a warming climate could greatly accelerate climate change during this century. Potentially vulnerable carbon pools that currently contain hundreds of billion tons of carbon could be destabilized through global warming and land use change. Some of the most vulnerable pools on land and oceans are: soil carbon in permafrost, soil carbon in high and low-latitude wetlands, biomass-carbon in forests, methane hydrates in the coastal zone, and ocean carbon concentrated by the biological pump. The risk of large losses from these pools is not well known, and is not included in most climate simulations. Preliminary analyses indicate a risk over the coming century that may be larger than 200 ppm of atmospheric CO2, rivaling the expected release from fossil fuel combustion. This proposal will quantify the carbon content of the vulnerable pools in permafrost soils and analyze the risk of large releases of carbon from these pools over this century.

Flannigan, Michael Global change impacts on landscape fires

A major problem in projecting ecological change and understanding its mechanisms is the lack of non- equilibrium dynamics in ecological models. The inclusion of disturbance, especially fire is essential for dynamic vegetation models to simulate transient changes in vegetation composition and structure. Understanding landscape dynamics in relation to fire, and how these dynamics may be altered by climate and land use changes is a priority. The development of fire-vegetation models at landscape scales is a crucial gap in land management. Additionally, understanding human impacts on the fire regime is critical for projecting vegetation change in human-modified landscapes, which now occupy large proportions of the globe. The objective of this working group is to use the current well-developed understanding of fire behavior/fire ecology and fireweather to develop a set of dynamic fire-climate-vegetation models that simulate fire effects at temporal and spatial scales relevant to vegetation change. We will use a common modelling environment, LAMOS, to conduct this research. LAMOS (a LAndscape Modelling Shell) is an interactive and flexible landscape modelling platform designed to include alternative methods for simulating vegetation response to landscape change. This proposal specifically addresses three questions: 1) How well do different landscape fire models reproduce fire statistics under current climate, both with respect to each other and with respect to fire history records at selected sites, 2) At what spatial and temporal scales does landscape pattern influence the fire regime, and 3) Under which weather conditions are fire patterns sensitive to fuel landscape pattern, and how often under present / future climate is the threshold of sensitivity crossed.

Fleishman, Erica Analysis and Conservation Prioritization of Landscape Connectivity in Nevada (Hosted by NCEAS)

We will develop a rigorous scientific assessment of landscape connectivity from the perspective of multiple system components that can be used to inform management and policy in Nevada. The Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act and the Lincoln County Conservation, Recreation, and Development Act are examples of specific, current opportunities to use science to prioritize land acquisition and allocation of multiple land uses. We will examine natural and, especially, anthropogenic drivers that may affect connectivity for riparian systems, sagebrush steppe, sage grouse, and pronghorn. This process, and its application to management and policy, will be enhanced by participation of a multidisciplinary group of approximately 20 scientists and practitioners who are dedicating their time and expertise to the effort. We anticipate that products of geospatial analyses will be used to explore alternative scenarios of land use, climate change, and management options in Nevada. We anticipate that the products will be used by scientists, land managers, policy makers, and other stakeholders.

Fleishman, Erica Climate change and conservation (WCS) (Hosted by NCEAS)

Fleishman, Erica Wild salmon ecosystems

Climate is a major driver of the geographic distribution and abundance of salmon. Climate change is occurring globally, but there has been no organized effort to evaluate the potential effects of climate change on populations of salmon and the ecosystems they inhabit. Sufficient data and expertise exist to conduct such an assessment. Developing and implementing a process to synthesize the data is the critical step toward achieving this evaluation. This effort initiated the process of prioritizing research questions and approaches on the potential effects of climate change on salmon. In late February and early March 2007, a multidisciplinary group of more than 30 scientists and practitioners with relevant expertise convened in Santa Barbara. These experts identified the most important topics related to the potential effects of climate change on salmon and their ecosystems and began to develop a strategic framework for conducting targeted analyses and syntheses of existing data.

Francis, Robert

Models of alternative management policies for marine ecosystems

We are employing comparative approaches based on a common modeling framework developed for each of five large marine ecosystems in the North Pacific Ocean. Each of these ecosystems has served as the focus of controversy over the ecological consequences of fishery management practices, protection for threatened or endangered species, and the relative importance of large-scale environmental variability. Each of these ecosystems has been the focus of model development effort using the common framework of an Ecopath/Ecosim approach. We are using these five models as the basis for evaluating policy effects on ecosystem structure, clarifying the tradeoffs in fishery and conservation goals, and designing robust management strategies. We have also created novel ways of visualizing complex ecosystem models, as a way to make them more accessible to policy makers. Our efforts are supported by the National Center for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis.

Gamon, John SpecNet

SpecNet (Spectral Network) is a network of terrestrial flux tower sites where 'near surface' remote sensing is being conducted to improve our understanding of controls on the biosphere-atmosphere carbon exchange. SpecNet sampling closely matches the spatial and temporal scale of flux measurements, allowing a direct comparison of remotely sensed signals to factors affecting fluxes. We propose a SpecNet Working Group that will examine the optical, thermal, and flux data emerging from these sites. A primary goal will be to standardize the remote sensing instrument, algorithms, data processing protocols, and data products for comparative analyses. The next step will be to compare results across ecosystems to reveal contrasting controls on carbon flux. This effort will help link remote sensing to fluxes, assist in validating satellite products (e.g. NPP derived from the MODIS sensor), and will provide an improved scientific foundation for emerging carbon policy.

Gergel, Sarah Kuznet's Curve (Hosted by NCEAS)

Gittleman, John Phylogeny and conservation: Problems in the quantification of biodiversity

We propose a working group to assess the usefulness of algorithms and quantitative approaches to measuring biodiversity in terms of 'taxonomic distinctiveness' or 'independent evolutionary units'. We will critically evaluate whether and how

phylogenetic information can be used to measure species value. Specifically, we will analyze the effects of sample size, topology, branch lengths and model of evolutionary change on various quantitative measures of phylogenetic diversity. Measures of phylogenetic diversity will then be applied to various conservation problems such rarity, species' conservation status, and extinction risk. The working group will provide a collaborative effort among ecologists, evolutionary biologists, paleontologists, systematists (both molecular and morphological) and conservation biologists, all of whom deal with synthetic comparative data in their respective fields. The end product will be a series of papers and an edited volume, Phylogeny and Conservation.

Gotelli, Nicholas

Synthetic macroecological models of species diversity

A major unsolved problem in macroecology and biogeography is the origin and maintenance of species richness gradients. Biogeographers are currently divided into three major camps: those who favor historical or phylogenetic mechanisms, those who favor explanations based principally on geographic patterns of contemporary environmental variables, and those who advocate the incorporation of null model approaches. In the existing catalog of simple null models, species' geographic ranges are randomized within a bounded domain, producing a middomain effect (MDE)¿a peak of species richness towards the center of the geographical domain. This working group will seek to develop a novel synthesis of historical, contemporary environmental, and MDE hypotheses, by modeling species' geographic ranges in an environmentally heterogeneous geographical domain, with spatially explicit colonization, range expansion, speciation, and extinction.

Granek, Elise

Measuring ecological, economic and social values of coastal habitats to inform ecosystem-based management of land-sea (EBM)

The recent Australia cyclone and the 2005 Caribbean hurricane season, coupled with the 2004 tsunami in the Indian Ocean, have stimulated interest in protective services provided by near-shore estuarine, wetland and mangrove habitats. The popular press now links the loss of human life and property to the degradation of interface ecosystems. These events provide a unique opportunity to quantify the value of protective services provided by near-shore vegetated habitats and compare them with economic gains from habitat conversion (e.g. forestry, shrimp farms, or development). We propose using these habitats in a case study for developing and testing assessment and planning tools for ecosystem-based management (EBM) that incorporates terrestrial and marine environments. We will bring together economists, ecologists, geographers, social scientists, and coastal managers to (1) collect and distill existing but scattered data on coastal zone services and value, (2) assess local community attitudes and institutions,

and disseminate information about short-term vs. long-term values to help managers determine conservation zones, and (3) use data and modeling to plan EBM strategies that incorporate the interface nature of these systems.

Grimm, Nancy Aquatic-terrestrial Biogeochemistry (ATBGC)

Rationale: There is very little interaction among terrestrial and aquatic biogeochemists except where the water meets the land. Thus, research in riparian ecotones has been critical in getting ecologists from both groups to think along different lines than they are accustomed to. Yet, it seems there is a missed opportunity to blend conceptual models of material cycling in streams and terrestrial ecosystems. For example, my colleagues and I have been thinking of how models of nutrient processing along stream, riparian, and hyporheic flowpaths can be extended up into the catchment in aridland streams, and how this might differ from patterns in temperate watersheds (Fisher et al. 1998).

Objectives: The overall aim of this project is to broaden generalities about nutrient cycling beyond the habitat chauvinism that is prevalent today. We will ask whether a common approach, for example one centered on hydrologic models of transport and retention, is reasonable for both terrestrial and lotic ecosystems. A working group or series of working groups will consider this question, and will include biogeochemists who work in diverse ecosystems, modelers, hydrologists, and other physical scientists.

Hackett, Edward Ecology transformed? A proposed working group to study new forms of scientific collaboration

We propose to examine the organization, functioning and products of selected NCEAS working groups, placing them within the larger contexts of the disciplinary development of ecology and the emergence of new patterns of scientific collaboration. We would do this in two ways. First, we would examine the characteristics and consequences of NCEAS working groups, using interviews, observations and examination of documents (such as publications, proposals, and participants' vitae). Second, we would organize a working group to study new forms of scientific collaboration, placing the NCEAS experience within the context of the history of ecology and the contemporary experience of other fields of science. The group would include historians, philosophers, and social scientists currently working on scientific collaboration, electronic communication and related topics. Two main results are anticipated: a specific analysis of NCEAS and its influence on collaboration within the field of ecology, and a coordinated research and writing effort on larger issues in the changing pattern of scientific collaboration.

Halpern, Ben Putting ocean wilderness on the map: Building a global GIS atlas of pristine marine environments

Terrestrial conservation has long recognized the need for setting global priorities, and a common strategy for such efforts has been to focus on wilderness, or pristine, areas. Only recently have conservation groups begun to consider marine ecosystems, and efforts to take a global approach remain nascent at best. In order to move forward, conservation groups need global-scale data on which to base their priority models, but such data are currently highly dispersed and poorly synthesized. We propose a working group to address two questions that should greatly help close this gap: first, what is a pristine marine ecosystem, and second, where are the remaining pristine areas of the world's oceans? The definition of pristine will be based on an ecologically rigorous assessment of anthropogenic influences on marine ecosystems, and will guide us in identifying existing global-scale datasets that we will use to map the distribution of pristine areas across all marine environments. Our final product will be a GIS-based, interactive atlas of the pristine and impacted areas of the ocean that can be used as a practical tool by conservation groups in developing marine conservation priority models, by academic scientists in future ecological and biogeographic research, and by educators in efforts to increase awareness of ocean conservation needs.

Halpern, Ben

Ranking and mapping human threats and impacts to marine ecosystems in the California current (Moore Foundation)

Building on a similar global effort (Mapping current threats and impacts of human activities on global marine ecosystems this project will map current threats and impacts of human activities on the California Current marine ecosystem. The project will first survey experts in six subregions of the California Current to explore geographic variation in the effects of threats. A workshop will then be held to use decision theory to evaluate the tradeoffs of using expert opinion to assess threats and associated impacts. Data on ecosystems and threats will be gathered at resolutions of approximately one square kilometer. By synthesizing information and inferences regarding anticipated impacts of threats, project participants will develop a spatially-explicit understanding of the distribution and magnitude of human threats in the California Current. Project collaborators are based at University of California, Santa Cruz; The Nature Conservancy; University of California, Santa Barbara; and the Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary.

Harmon, Mark

Analysis of long-term litter decomposition experiments: Synthesis at the site, regional, and global levels

Although numerous short-term experiments have been used to develop conceptual and simulation models of decomposition, very little is known about the later stages of this process. Exclusion of this later stage has led, at best, to incomplete understanding of ecosystem carbon and nitrogen dynamics. We propose a working group to examine the wealth of litter and decomposition data that has been produced by several recent long-term field experiments. Our analysis will initially be based on data from LIDET (Long-term Intersite Decomposition Experiment Team), a 27-site experiment conducted over a 10-year period. We will then incorporate results of other networks in Canada and Europe as well as other long-term results into this synthesis. Data will be used to reexamine fundamental paradigms that have guided ecosystem analysis for over a decade. We will also test the ability of simulation models developed from short-term experiments to predict long-term trends. Results from our working group will then be used to produce global maps of litter decomposition-related variables including litter production, substrate quality, carbon and nitrogen stores, and decomposition rates.

Harvell, Drew The ecology of marine diseases

The working group on marine diseases will bring together researchers working with diverse diseases of marine organisms with theoreticians and statisticians. Epidemiological studies of diseases in marine systems have been rare and there is a paucity of information regarding even the most basic properties of marine pathogens (e.g. identity, host-specificity) and factors (e.g. environmental correlates) affecting disease processes (Harvell et al. 1999). In particular, little is known about the mechanisms of either disease transmission or host resistance and their roles in facilitating disease outbreaks. Although theoretical and experimental practices developed to model infectious disease in humans (Anderson & May 1991), wildlife (Daszak et al. 2000) and agricultural systems (Real 1996) have provided some useful insight, the applicability of these "terrestrial" models to comparatively more open system like the ocean is not known. Moreover, knowledge of mechanisms of host resistance among marine invertebrates is effectively a black box; we lack understanding of basic disease resistance mechanisms and their interaction with environmental stressors. Using a few well studied host-pathogen interactions or those with long-term monitoring data, we will 1) synthesize what is currently know about marine diseases and their environmental drivers, 2) develop new epidemiological theory for analysis of marine diseases, and 3) review differences between disease ecology in marine and terrestrial habitats, including the consequences of spill- over of infectious micro-organisms from farmed into wild populations.

Harvell, Drew The ecology of marine diseases (Extended)

I am requesting funding for one more meeting of the Marine Disease Working Group. The 3 main objectives of our working group are: (1) detect evidence of increasing impacts of disease in the ocean, (2) assess application of terrestrial pathogen models to marine outbreaks (and in the process, compare whats known of characteristics of terrestrial and marine disease), (3) develop statistical and modelling approaches for marine diseases.

Hastings, Alan Development of tools for the practical design of marine reserves

We propose a working group to examine the general question of moving from theory to policy, specifically looking at the design of marine reserves, building on the quantitative results obtained under a previous NCEAS working group, "A Theory of Marine Reserves", by J. Lubchenco, S. Gaines and S. Palumbi. Whereas the modeling in that working group was a development of a general theory of marine reserves, the focus of the working group proposed here will be an application of that theory to specific problems. Rather than consider ideal optimal reserve configurations, we will study implementing actual marine reserves, given a specific situation and constraints (i.e., current fishing rate, current state of the ecosystem, limited area under consideration, uncertainty in larval dispersal, fisher behavior). The goal will be to develop scientifically sound design tools that can be used in ongoing and future implementation efforts for reserve systems, considering reserves designed both to improve fisheries and to conserve natural marine ecosystems. This effort can be viewed as a paradigm for the problem of translating ecological theory into practical policy applications.

Hawkins, Bradford Energy and geographic variation in species richness

Understanding the latitudinal gradient in species diversity presents ecology with one of its greatest challenges. Despite the complexities that must be involved, the "energy hypothesis" may provide a parsimonious explanation for much of the gradient. However, there are a number of unresolved issues related to the energy hypothesis that need to be addressed, including (1) the relationship between energy and other determinants of diversity and how to distinguish them, (2) which of two versions of the energy hypothesis" may apply to different taxa, (3) the relative roles of currently operating climatic factors and historical forces, (4) the probability that different factors operate in different latitudinal zones. (5) possible scale dependence of energy-diversity relationships, and (6) the most appropriate statistical methodology for testing the hypothesis. The proposed working

group will address these issues, with the goal of providing a rigorous statement of what the energy hypothesis claims and providing a standardized format for the generation of data to test it. A further goal is to use the new format to generate a data base comprising all existing data related to the energy hypothesis. Finally, we will analyze this database to determine the current state of the hypothesis and identify areas requiring additional research. Our general goal is to convert what is currently a haphazard approach to testing geographic variation in species diversity into a systematic search for underlying causes.

Hibbard, Kathy Intercomparison of global scale ecological models and field data: EMDI II (Hosted by NCEAS)

Understanding global-scale ecosystem responses to changing environmental conditions is important both as a scientific question and as the basis for making policy decisions. The confidence in regional models depends on how well the field data used to develop the model represent the region of interest, how well the environmental driving variables represent the region of interest, and how well regional model predictions agree with observed data for the region. To assess the accuracy of global model forecasts of terrestrial carbon cycling, the first Ecosystem Model-Data Intercomparison (EMDI) workshop was held in December 1999.

We propose that the National Center for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis (NCEAS) cosponsor with the Global Analysis, Interpretation and Modeling Task Force (GAIM) of the International Geosphere Biosphere Program the EMDI II Working Group to be held at Santa Barbara in mid-April 2001. This request describes the EMDI I progress, past NCEAS support, and outlines the EMDI II activities.

The EMDI I workshop included 12 biogeochemical, satellite-driven, detailed process, and dynamic vegetation global model types. Extensive worldwide net primary productivity (NPP) data were assembled; model driver data, including vegetation, climate, and soils, were associated with each site; model simulations were performed; and the model-data differences analyzed. NPP and model driver data were compiled for 1200 study sites and for over 2100 0.5-degree grid cells. Initial results showed general agreement between model predictions of NPP and field measurements of NPP but with obvious differences that indicated areas for potential data and model improvement. Comparing the input data with an average NPP from an ensemble of model outputs provided a unique tool to improve NPP data, model driver data, and model processes. The workshop demonstrated that model-data intercomparison is an important new direction in model evaluation; but one that is an extraordinarily complex task.

NCEAS has provided significant support for EMDI and NPP data compilation. The workshop to analyze the first EMDI was hosted by the GAIM office (see http://gaim.sr.unh.edu/Structure/Intercomparison/EMDI), however, NCEAS provided support for a student intern to organize the data and an FTP site for distribution (see

https://www2.nceas.ucsb.edu/admin/db/web.ppage?projid_in=2042). Much of the NPP data for EMDI came directly from the "Development of a Consistent Worldwide Net Primary Production (NPP) Database" funded by NCEAS (see

http://www.nceas.ucsb.edu/fmt/doc?https://www2.nceas.ucsb.edu/admin/db/web.plist). Ten papers and four global data sets were generated from the series of three Working Groups (see http://daacl.esd.ornl.gov/npq/nceas/nceas_des.html). This and the preliminary Web page describing EMDI

(http://daacl.esd.ornl.gov/npq/nceas/EMDI_des.html - soon to become part of the ORNL DAAC publicly browsable Web pages) demonstrate how NCEAS-supported data synthesis/analysis activities have resulted in new ecological data that are available for the wider scientific community and that will be used for the proposed EMDI II Working Group.

The EMDI II Working Group will include all initial models except one (model is no longer supported) and has expanded to include 5 additional global groups. The group now includes 16 models, primarily from Europe and North America, but one in China and one in Australia. The participating models will utilize data sets that have been improved through outlier analysis and perform new model runs for a set of approximately 4000 0.5; grid cells. Whereas the analysis in EMDI I was based on comparing ensemble values averaged from all 12 models strictly for total (above and belowground) NPP, EMDI II will compare model results with NPP data for individual models and also require models to supply above and belowground NPP estimates to assess how models allocate carbon in various biomes relative to the data. In addition, we plan to assemble multi-year NPP estimates for a variety of sites to compare to multi-year model estimates. In addition to the analysis of differences between models and data, EMDI will produce an enhanced multi-layered data collection, again that will be made available for additional use by the wider scientific community. The enhancements include associating climate, soils, NDVI, and vegetation characteristics with each of the NPP measurements, and performing an outlier analysis to address data quality concerns.

We propose a co-funded workshop by IGBP/GAIM and NCEAS. Total estimated costs for 20 participants for 5 days is \$25k, of which, GAIM will supply \$15k. We are requesting additional support from NCEAS for \$10k to cover additional costs. The proposed timetable is as follows:

- (1) Driver data is posted for a 50% 'blind' comparison of grid cells (as of 3 January)
- (2) NPP values for the 50% 'blind' cells will be provided to models that submit their results by 7 February
- (3) Driver data for additional 50% data cells posted 7 February
- (4) Model results are requested by 28 March
- (5) Post model results to UNH website for models to view by 4 April.

For more information, contact:phone: (603) 862-4255Kathy A. Hibbardphone: (603) 862-4255IGBP International Carbon Cycle Projectfax: (603) 862-2124Climate Change Research Centeremail: kathyh@eos.sr.unh.edu

University of New Hampshire Durham, NH 03824

Hochberg, Michael Analyzing pattern and process in human cultural diversity

A major challenge for ecology is to understand the role of humans in the future of life on Earth. Meeting this challenge is a tremendous and, in many ways, obscure enterprise because our species is extraordinarily complex and rapidly changing, and since assessing many aspects of human biology is fraught with social taboos and political impediments. Answers from the ecological sciences will form a small, but important part of the corpus. If we are willing to accept the analogy that humanity is comprised of an ensemble of communities, then many of the concepts of community ecology should apply to human society. As long as one is very clear about the limitations of this analogy, community ecology can be used as a constructive tool in understanding our species (Diamond 1999), its impact on its environment (Moses & Brown 2003), and the future.

Hoeksema, Jason Narrowing the gap between theory and practice in mycorrhizal management

Research on interactions between plants and their symbiotic mycorrhizal fungi has increased dramatically during the last decade, generating a confusing body of theoretical and empirical results. Simultaneously, there has been an explosion in commercial applications of mycorrhizal fungi in agriculture, horticulture, forestry and ecosystem restoration. Considering the unexpected consequences of many human introductions of non-native plants and animals, and our current lack of understanding of the factors controlling mutualistic performance of mycorrhizas, it is alarming that so little caution is being used in commercial applications of mycorrhizal fungi. Our proposed working group will: 1) perform meta-analyses to synthesize the currently disparate body of empirical and theoretical work on mycorrhizal function within communities and ecosystems, 2) develop models of mycorrhizal performance with environmental conditions and plant and fungal phenotypes as variables, 3) generate recommendations and precautions regarding the commercial use of mycorrhizal inoculum, 4) establish an online database that documents inoculation trials and tracks their outcome over time, and 5) organize a symposium at an international conference. In addition to contributing to the development of principles to guide mycorrhizal management, our efforts will help generate better theories for understanding the roles of mutualisms in population and community dynamics.

Hoeksema, Jason

Bridging the gap between theory and practice in mycorrhizal management (Extended)

We are hoping that NCEAS would consider extending our working group. We feel strongly that if given the opportunity, we would continue to be productive through three additional working group meetings. Our next meeting (the 4th overall, proposed for January, 2007) would focus on Activity 6 above: 1) Conducting and interpreting initial meta-analyses, 2) modifying aspects of the data-base to facilitate further analyses, and 3) adding more data in areas of weakness in the database. That meeting would also allow follow-up work on the three outstanding manuscripts we will have at that point (from Activities 2-5 above), as needed. Specifically, the goal would be to generate a draft of the manuscript from Activity 5, and to finish revisions of the manuscripts from Activities 3 & 4 if needed. The final two meetings (October, 2007 and January, 2008) would then be focused on final meta-analyses and writing manuscripts from those (Activity 6), including papers for scientific audiences and also for practitioners of mycorrhizal management, and on finishing the manuscript from Activity 5. Throughout the next three meetings, we would also appreciate the opportunity to work with the staff at NCEAS to adapt our database model into a more general format, and to tailor the Engauge digitizer program, as described above.

Holl, Karen Restoration in a Landscape Context

Current restoration activities often focus narrowly on sites actively being restored. The success of these restorations, however, will often depend on their position in the landscape, relative to the condition, land use, and community composition of land in the surrounding area. Restoration ecologists have often discussed landscape-level processes in general. Nonetheless, theory has rarely led to recommendations that are put to use on the ground. We propose to address the process of the exchange of information from academic theory to applied management in the following ways. First we will synthesize what has been written about landscape- level processes that affect restoration, and about statistical and modeling tools that can be used to judge restoration success. Then we will use the synthesis to address two landscape-level restoration projects - one population-focused habitat restoration and the second a community-focused ecosystem restoration. We will develop new quantitative methods to prioritize what landscape-level concerns will significantly affect the success of restoration efforts in these and other projects. The purpose of this working group will be to move beyond broad generalizations and ask how we can apply relevant ecological knowledge to large-scale restoration activities.

Houlahan, Jeff Spatial and temporal community dynamics: Sharing data to answer questions

General ecological principles can, by definition, only be derived from studies that span multiple taxa, geographic areas, and time periods. Such a broad research agenda implies data-sharing among many researchers from diverse geographic regions. Many of the technological barriers to data-sharing have been and are being addressed but there still exist many sociological obstacles to data-sharing because researchers are often, understandably, reluctant to share hard-won datasets. We propose to identify the key barriers to data-sharing and provide incentives to overcome these barriers. Once an effective data-sharing model is developed we will build a "pilot" database using multispecies, site and time period datasets contributed by the working group participants. This database will be used to answer fundamental ecological questions such as; Are more diverse communities more stable? Is the diversity-stability relationship scale, taxon or habitat specific? Are natural communities regulated primarily by biotic or abiotic factors? Does the answer to that question depend on the scale, taxa and/or habitats being studies? Do spatial and temporal variability change in some predictable way with scale? This working group is intended to be a pilot project for a large-scale "consortium" of ecologists sharing multi-species, site, and time period datasets to derive general ecological principles.

Howarth, Robert

Nitrogen transport and transformations: A regional and global analysis

On behalf of the Scientific Committee on Problems in the Environment (SCOPE), we request funds to partially support the final synthesis activities of the SCOPE International Nitrogen Project. This project was established in 1993 to improve our understanding of the global nitrogen cycle via a focus upon changes at the scale of large regions; since that time four regional workshops have been held in the U.S., Chile, Taiwan, and Japan, with a fifth planned for China this October. Here, we describe a two year plan aimed at synthesizing and completing the Nitrogen Project. We have secured funds from the Mellon Foundation for a final symposium and for a book to be published in the SCOPE series. Our request to NCEAS is for support for 3 major activities that will lead up to and/or expand the final symposium and book. These include: 1) a number of small working groups that will focus on specific uncertainties in the global N cycle and will meet at the Center 2-3 times over an 18 month period; 2) a sabbatical as an NCEAS fellow for the co-chair of the SCOPE Nitrogen Project, Bob Howarth; and 3) the creation of a web site at the Center devoted to providing data and information on regional to global scale N cycling.

Huenneke, Laura Analysis of diversity reduction experiments to address the ecosystem consequences of biodiversity loss A diversity reduction working group will examine the relationship between species diversity and ecosystem functioning in a wide range of natural and managed ecosystems. We will evaluate the results of field experiments in which species diversity and composition of plants, animals, and/or microbes have been reduced and ecosystem processes have been measured. We propose two approaches: (1) a meta-analysis of the species-removal literature and (2) a synthesis of comparative measurements to be made in ongoing species- removal experiments in a diverse array of natural ecosystems.

Jackson, Jeremy

Long-term ecological records of marine environments, populations and communities

Ecological time series across large spatial and temporal scales are essential for resolving and understanding anthropogenic and natural sources of variability and change in the oceans and prediction of their consequences. However, virtually all marine ecological observational records are too short or infrequent for useful time series analysis, so that prediction of ecological responses to further perturbations is difficult or impossible. Paleoecological, archeological and historical data (hereafter referred to as paleo data) are the only hope for obtaining the necessary long-term perspective. Paleo data are necessarily descriptive rather than experimental, and differ from most observational ecological data in terms of the parameters measured and the common use of geochemical and paleontological proxies to estimate environmental and biological change. Consequently there is much misunderstanding and suspicion of the potential rigor of paleo data among ecologists that hinders their application to help solve ecological problems. The purpose of the proposed working group is to critically examine the potential of paleo records to extend marine ecological time series through a series of concrete examples.

Jones, Matthew Management and analysis of environmental observatory data using the kepler scientific workflow system

Jones, Matthew Science Environment for Ecological Knowledge (SEEK) (Hosted by NCEAS)

The goals of the Science Environment for Ecological Knowledge (SEEK) are to make fundamental improvements in how researchers can 1) gain global access to ecological data and information, 2) rapidly locate and utilize distributed computational services, and 3) exercise powerful new methods for capturing, reproducing, and extending the analysis process itself. The project involves a multidisciplinary team of computer scientists, ecologists and technologists from the Partnership for Biodiversity Informatics (PBI), a consortium comprising the National Center for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis (NCEAS); the San Diego Supercomputer Center (SDSC); the University of Kansas (KU); and the University of New Mexico (UNM) and partnering institutions (Arizona State University, University of North Carolina, University of Vermont, and Napier University in Scotland).

Kareiva, Peter EPA Risk Analysis

Kareiva, Peter Conservation priorities: Can we have our biodiversity and ecosystem services too?

The delineation of biodiversity hotspots that protect as many species as possible with as little land as possible has been the dominant paradigm in conservation science. Recently, however, this paradigm has been challenged on two accounts. The first challenge is that the burgeoning human population will make it impossible to adequately secure biodiversity in "protected areas", and that instead we must turn to working landscapes with substantial human use as places of biodiversity value. Second, with so much of the world impoverished, there is a need for land management that first and foremost ensures that basic natural services (or "ecosystem services") are provided to people. We intend to explore the spatial congruence between ecosystem services and biodiversity at multiple spatial scales, and in so doing ask to what extent the provision of ecosystem services and biodiversity protection can be aligned, and what are the tradeoffs where they are not aligned?

This will be much more than a simple mapping exercise because it will be important to extend methods of ecosystem valuation to services and levels of detail that have been lacking thus far in the literature. Most existing maps of ecosystem services simply categorize each land area as a habitat type, and then assign to that habitat type some "generalized dollar value". In contrast we seek to create a spatially explicit accounting of biodiversity targets and ecosystems services, along with a consideration of who benefits from the services, and who might be expected to pay for them and how.

Our purpose is not to replace the goal of biodiversity protection with the goal of ecosystem service protection. Rather we seek to understand if and how the two goals might both be met, as well as how to minimize tradeoffs between the two goals where biodiversity and services are not strongly correlated. In addition to doing the spatial analyses of services and biodiversity, we will explore financial mechanisms that might help pay for the services.

Our working group will start with a mapping and valuation exercise entailing the Upper Yangtze River in China because of the many critical resource decisions this biodiversity rich region is currently facing. We will then extend our analyses to other systems, as well as different spatial scales. Our working group will be fluid with membership varying depending on the systems and analyses under discussion; however the two hallmarks of this effort are its mix of on-the- ground conservation experience from international conservation NGO's and academic scholars, including

Kelly, Dave

Evolutionary causes and ecological consequences of mast seeding in plants

Mast seeding, the intermittent production of large seed crops by perennial plants, is an ecologically important phenomenon. For plants, masting interrupts reproduction and periodically depletes resources. For animals, masting causes temporal pulses in potentially nutritious food. Despite improved understanding of mast seeding in the 1990's, we only now have the tools to investigate several important avenues. First, the temporal and spatial scales. Secondly, the interaction between evolutionary benefits of masting (e.g., pollination efficiency) and the resource constraints operating within individual plants have not been explored. Third, to what extent do pulses in plant reproduction result in "ripple" effects through higher trophic levels? While direct effects on some organisms are known (e.g., small mammal densities may increase after mast years), indirect ecosystem-level effects are not well understood, especially whether ripples normally create stable (well-damped) or unstable ecosystem dynamics. These ripple effects are important to applied problems (e.g., forest pest outbreaks), and also for understanding the evolutionary origins of masting. Thus, we propose an interdisciplinary working group (plant evolutionary ecologists, animal population ecologists, community ecologists, modelers)that will use long term datasets on both seed crops and animal densities to formulate predictive models of the nature and consequences of mast seeding. The results will be important both to evolutionary theory and to understanding ecosystem functioning.

Kerr, Suzi

A dynamic integrated model of land use, carbon flows and carbon sequestration supply in Costa Rica

With the rise in importance of global climate change, society is actively exploring the possibility of using forest ecosystems as a carbon sink. Tropical forests may offer over two-thirds of such opportunities. The protection of tropical forests could offset global fossil fuel C emissions and reduce the cost of emissions limitations set in Kyoto. Certified emissions credits (CERs) under the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) established in Kyoto will likely incorporate tropical forest sinks within efforts to meet emissions targets. While this could in principle result in significant economic and sequestration benefits, actual evidence on tropical C sinks is sparse. However, society must soon make key decisions concerning tropical forest sinks in the CDM.

The first major goal of our project is to estimate how much C sequestration will be generated in Costa Rica in response to any given monetary reward for C sequestration. Our advances in the ecological and economic components will be coupled to produce our first integrated output, an estimated supply or, equivalently, cost function for C sequestration (i.e., a relationship between the C reward and the C sequestration supplied by land users).

Our advances in the economic component start with excellent existing GIS databases on land use and land cover, and on the factors expected to affect land use choices. We will extend both of these types of data sets, in particular extending land-cover information back in time, and adding improved data on land returns. Next, we will both apply and extend the frontier of economic, observationally-based modeling of land use to provide a map from key factors to land choices.

On the ecological side, our advances start with systematic and comprehensive measurement of aboveground and soil C present within the range of forest ecosystems of Costa Rica, as well as the C dynamics within land- use gradients of each of those systems (e.g., pastures, croplands, and secondary forests of varying ages). With this and existing data, we will calibrate and verify both process-based and empirically-based ecological models that generate C predictions of varying complexity. This provides a map to C stocks from land use choices within different ecosystems. Our second goal is to contribute to the effective design of the rules that allow C sequestration in tropical locations to replace emissions reductions in developed countries. Our analyses will provide the necessary information for the baselines that permit CERs to be defined, and a C market to function. We will also perform integrated sensitivity analyses to determine whether simplified versions of our disciplinary and integrated models maintain sufficient accuracy. Sufficient accuracy will ensure the sequestration outcomes envisioned, while greater simplicity, which translates to lower costs of participation in trading, will stimulate further participation, lowering costs and raising efficiency of implementation of the Kyoto emissions limitations.

In order to achieve these goals we need to closely integrate the economic and ecology work creating dynamic feedbacks between physical and ecological characteristics of land and human land use choices. We also need to integrate the process-based and empirical ecological models to maximize the complementarities between them.

King, Aaron Unifying approaches to statistical inference in ecology

In the face of ecological complexity, it has very often proved useful to formulate mathematical models, which allow us to examine the consequences of specific sets of assumptions. While this approach has generated interesting and important ideas, progress has been frustrated by a fundamental hurdle: direct confrontation of models and data in a

statistically robust way. We propose a working group aimed at overcoming this hurdle by synthesizing numerous state-of-the-art techniques. The approaches we will consider explicitly take into account common causes of mismatch between models and data such as process noise (demographic and environmental stochasticity), measurement error, unobserved variables, and nonstationarity. The end result of this working group will be a thorough review of the strengths and weaknesses of the various approaches under different circumstances and a set of easy-to-use statistical tools for use by non-specialists.

Kolstad, Charles The economics of biodiversity

The impetus for this workshop is the importance that environmental economists understand better what biodiversity is and how it functions and contributes to goods and services that society values. Economists also need to know better whether and in what ways market and non-market mechanisms can realize some of the economic value of biodiversity, and provide incentives for its conservation.

To progress on these goals, in April 2000, the convenors invited a select group of economists working in related areas to prepare papers on the subject for presentation at the workshop. We have also arranged for these papers to be published in a special issue of the journal Resource and Energy Economics.

It is important to emphasize that this is a novel research area; consequently, we have given authors approximately a year to develop their ideas, culminating in a workshop to be held in the Spring of 2001. Because of the unusual nature of the topic, it would seem highly productive to expose authors to working versions of all the papers as well as discussion. Furthermore, we hope to involve ecologists as discussants in order to assure realistic representation of non-economics processes. As an incentive, the papers will ultimately be refereed and published (assuming the refereeing process is positive) in one of the leading field journals in the environmental and resource economics field: Resource and Energy Economics.

Koricheva, Julia Meta-analysis in ecology: Lessons, challenges and future

Meta-analysis represents a set of statistical methods for quantitative research synthesis developed in medicine and social sciences in late 1970s and introduced to ecology in early 1990s. It provides a more objective and informative alternative to narrative reviews and "vote-counting" approaches traditionally used for research synthesis in ecology. Despite its great potential in addressing both basic and applied research questions, the progress in meta-analytic applications in ecology is still hindered by the limited availability of meta-analytic training for ecology students, limited palette of meta-analytic techniques and tools available in ecology compared to that available in medicine and social sciences, and the need to adjust these techniques to account for the structure

of ecological data and the nature of ecological questions. The aims of our working group are to facilitate and to promote the thoughtful and critical use of meta-analysis for research synthesis in ecology, and to improve the power and rigour of ecological metaanalysis. These aims will be achieved by writing a handbook of meta-analysis for ecologists, by updating existing statistical software for ecological meta-analysis, by creating an online forum on ecological meta-analysis containing bibliography and teaching aids, by taking advantage of recent methodological developments in quantitative research synthesis in medicine and social sciences, and by adjusting standard meta-analytical procedures to address specific ecological issues and problems.

Kremen, Claire

Restoring an ecosystem service to degraded landscapes: Native bees and crop pollination

Ecosystem services are critical to human survival; managing ecosystems for services could also provide important benefits for biodiversity. Unfortunately, we seldom understand the ecology of these services well enough to manage them. Pollination services are necessary for 15-30% of our food supply, and are comparatively well-understood relative to other ecosystem services. We propose to synthesize data on bee populations, pollinator communities and pollination services across agro-natural landscapes, in order to: (1) develop models of the persistence of populations, communities and pollination function at the landscape scale; (2) design an experiment to restore and monitor pollination function in agro-natural landscapes, replicated across sites, landscapes and regions; and (3) extend this example to create a general, conceptual framework for analyzing and managing ecosystem services. This work will improve our ability to manage agricultural lands, which occupy 38% of terrestrial area, with benefits for food security, human health and biodiversity.

Kunz, Tom

An ecological-economic analysis of pest-control services: The Brazilian Free-tailed Bat as a model

Ecosystem services underpin human existence, yet we know little about the magnitude of these services in terms of what policy makers need to incorporate into decision-making frameworks. The objective of this project is to analyze existing databases for developing an ecological-economic model of pest-control services provided by an important insectivorous bat (Tadarida brasiliensis). Computer algorithms will be developed for estimating numbers of bats based on infrared thermal imaging, and population models of pest species will be developed based on available life-history data. Dynamic modeling and GIS will be used to integrate extant databases on bat foraging from Dopper radar (NEXRAD) data, crop and insect phenology, seasonal migration of bats and insects, and agricultural crop distribution, yield, and inputs. Ultimately, models will include sensitivity analysis to estimate the monetary value of the pest-control service, and thus

provide the first comprehensive analysis of a major vertebrate predator of importance to agroecosystem productivity in North America.

Larson, Brendon Ecological metaphors: Their cultural resonance and what we can do about it

Ecologists commonly use metaphors to develop their ideas and to communicate them to the public. Unfortunately, by their very nature metaphors may be interpreted in different ways by different constituencies, and this may lead to misunderstanding of ecological concepts. For example, a recent paper in Science (Chew and Laubichler 2003, Science 301: 52-53) reported on the prevalent use of the term "natural enemies" in recent scientific literature. "Natural enemies" and related terms such as exotic and invasive resonate with foreign policy, so it is perhaps unsurprising that some individuals claim that invasive species policy is xenophobic. As another example, consider the challenges that have arisen with the attempt to convince people that "disturbance" is an important and beneficial ecological process. Our NCEAS working group, consisting of ecologists, metaphor researchers, philosophers and science communication scholars, will assess whether there is anything we can do to ameliorate the misinterpretation and misapplication of ecological metaphors. Can we better control which metaphors are used? Is there any way to reduce misinterpretation of established metaphors? Practically, we will develop suggestions for wise metaphor use among ecologists.

Leibold, Mathew The Meta-Community Concept: A framework for large scale community ecology?

The concept of meta-communities was developed in an effort to link community ecology theory at the local level with regional and global models at larger spatial scales. Currently there are two contrasting views of meta- communities. The "patch-dynamics" perspective is based on the idea that similar local habitat patches are colonized by species that interact to produce communities consisting of different species depending on their dispersal abilities. In contrast, the "species-sorting" view assumes that sites differ in their abiotic environment, causing interacting species to sort themselves differently along gradients depending on their competitive abilities at different sites. The first view ignores local population dynamics and therefore allows for non- equilibrial abundances but it ignores intrinsic heterogeneity among local sites. The second view is generally modeled using equilibrium models of local population dynamics but accounts for heterogeneity among sites. Empirical evidence suggests that both of these approaches are useful for understanding patterns in real communities. Thus there is a need for a more synthetic approach. We propose to form a collaborative group to work on such a synthesis. Our goal is to explore what happens when both sets of metacommunity processes occur. We hope to use this synthetic approach to explore their roles in regulating phenomena such as the trophic structure, patterns of diversity and

composition along environmental gradients and the role of regional processes such as dispersal in ecosystem processes.

Loik, Michael PrecipNet: Analysis and synthesis of precipitation and ecosystem change

The goal of the NCEAS PrecipNet Synthesis Group is to analyze and synthesize results of the effects of climate change on ecosystems. In particular, we will analyze data from studies on the effects of altered timing and magnitude of rain and snowfall across different ecosystems and geographic regions. Another important goal is to promote interdisciplinary research between natural and social scientists regarding the impacts of precipitation and ecosystem change and the interrelationships with human systems and institutions. Our meeting will result in: a review article on the current state of knowledge about precipitation change effects on ecosystems; development of databases on the world wide web and on CD-ROM that would be available for all global change studies; and maps predicting how ecosystem responses to precipitation change will affect ecological communities across regional scales. The PrecipNet Synthesis Group includes James Ehleringer (Univ. Utah), Brent Haddad (UC Santa Cruz), John Harte (UC Berkeley), Rod Heitschmidt (Ft. Keogh Range Exp. Sta.), Alan Knapp (Kansas State Univ.), Guanghui Lin (Biosphere II, Columbia Univ.), Michael Loik (UC Santa Cruz), William Pockman (Univ. New Mexico), Rebecca Shaw (Carnegie Inst. For Plant Biology), Eric Small (New Mexico Tech), Stan Smith (Univ. Nevada, Las Vegas), David Tissue (Texas Tech Univ.), Jake Weltzin (Univ. Tennessee), David Williams (Univ. Arizona), and John Zak (Texas Tech Univ.).

Lomolino, Mark Foundations and future of biogeography

Project Objectives:

The working group will address three objectives. First, to compile a set of seminal research papers, provide original commentary on their relevance, and organise them into a book, "The Foundations of Biogeography." This book is to be published by the University of Chicago Press. Second, to organize an international society of biogeography, along with supporting activities, such as the initiation of society meetings and the development of a web page. Third, to develop and establish future working groups and workshops on biogeography.

Rationale and Strategies:

The field of biogeography has a long and distinguished history. In the past several decades it has experienced renewed interest, with a greatly increased volume of work. It

is, therefore, both timely and important that we develop a more thorough understanding of the foundations of this field, including all of its subdisciplines. The compilation of a book on this subject should greatly facilitate this endeavour. To accomplish this we have invited a distinguished group of biogeographers and ecologists from a variety of geographic regions and subdisciplines to join us in this project.

As much as any field, the new biogeography that has emerged is interdisciplinary, international, and spans a broad range of spatial and temporal scales. To better foster interactions among the diverse group of scientists who do biogeography, we believe it is important to establish an international society of biogeography. By bringing eminent biogeographers from diverse backgrounds and locations together we plan to do the initial work in establishing such a society.

We also believe that there are a number of interesting and very important questions that can best be addressed by small groups of highly motivated and interactive biogeographers. By bringing biogeographers together for the first time we hope to stimulate the advancement of the field of biogeography and the many disciplines that interact and overlap with biogeography.

Lortie, Christopher

A quantitative exploration of the role of publication-related biases in ecology

Progress in a scientific discipline is normally achieved through publication and dissemination of knowledge. Number of publications and their citation frequency are also widely used for academic evaluation of individual researchers, departments, and universities. Therefore, any bias in publication and dissemination of scientific content may potentially affect the development of a field in terms of what kind of information is available for synthesis, who is successfully employed, and where funding is allocated. We will specifically focus on publication bias in ecology in this working group using meta-analysis techniques (and other standard statistics) on several sizeable collections of published papers and related online resources such as citation frequencies and impact factors. We have loosely identified three levels of attributes of the publication and dissemination process in ecology: characteristics of the study (number of hypotheses, effect size, support for main hypothesis), attributes of the publication itself (merit, length, number and gender of authors), and attributes of the journal (reputation, impact factor, circulation). General publication biases identified in medicine and ecology include the file drawer problem, overinterpretation bias, dissemination bias, status bias, visibility bias, and gender bias. Few synthetic studies however have quantitatively tested the importance of these proposed biases nor related these biases to specific attributes of the publication process. Furthermore, there has been no quantitative evaluation of the relative importance and potential interactions between these factors.

Losos, Jonathan Comparative study of adaptive radiation

Despite intensive study over the past half century, our conceptual understanding of adaptive radiation has advanced relatively little. A primary reason is that there has been no synthetic, integrative study of adaptive radiation across different evolutionary lineages. The result is that our database on adaptive radiation is composed of a hodgepodge of studies. Disparities among studies in approach, methodology, and organisms mean that each study is unique and that, as a result, testing general hypotheses, much less deriving new generalities, is difficult. This working group will bring together experts in ecology and evolutionary biology with different taxonomic specialties to develop appropriate methods to conduct a comparative study of adaptive radiation. Group members will gather data from both their own studies and from other studies on related taxa, thus amassing a large base of comparable data, allowing for the testing of general questions about adaptive radiation, as well as leading to the development of new approaches and questions. Members of current working groups focusing on related questions will be invited to participate in some of this working group's activities, leading to mutually beneficial advances and synthesis.

Luo, Yiqi

Progressive nitrogen limitation of plant and ecosystem responses to elevated CO2

This working group will address the issue: why don't most field experimental results support model predictions that progressive ecosystem nitrogen (N) limitation leads to downregulation of primary production in elevated CO2? Ecosystem models that link nutrient cycling with plant production generally predict long-term photosynthetic downregulation and reduced stimulation in plant production caused by progressive N constraints in elevated CO2. The conceptual model is that increased carbon (C) influx under elevated CO2 will immobilize more N in plant biomass and soil organic matter, progressively leading to less N available for plant uptake. However, the predicted downregulation in primary production has not been confirmed by most of the free-air CO2 enrichment (FACE) and open-top chamber (OTC) experiments. The discrepancy between model predictions and experimental data apparently results from a fundamental knowledge gap concerning ecosystem C and N interactions, which lie at the core of ecosystem ecology. The proposed working group will address the issue of progressive N limitations by (1) compilation and synthesis of experimental data from the on-going FACE and OTC projects as well as from the literature; (2) synthesis of published modeling results and comparison of mechanisms incorporated into various models; (3) identification of possible mechanisms causing the discrepancy between model predictions and experimental results; and (4) defining future research need for both experimental and modeling studies.

This project has the potential to make a critical contribution to ecosystem ecology. Moreover, a solid understanding of C:N interactions extends beyond ecology. Models increasingly applied to policy questions appear to have major problems matching the aspects of experimental results. The latter are most relevant to the policy applications. The ability of the ecological community to provide useful information on the future carbon dynamics of the terrestrial biosphere (including the terrestrial sink) depends critically on resolving the question of progressive N limitation.

Mazer, Susan

Life-history variation and community structure in neotropical rainforest communities: Ecological and phylogenetic influences

Several key questions in evolution and ecology may be answered by the analysis of comparative data sets in which information on the demography, life history, and relative abundance of large numbers of species is jointly analyzed. For example, the detection of strong associations between habitat, soil quality, seed mass, life form, dispersal mode, and other life history traits has shed light on the selective forces (e.g., light availability and disturbance) that influence the evolution of these attributes within and among taxa.

Virtually all investigators of plant communities have used this approach on single community-level data sets compiled by their own research group (Jackson, 1981, Garwood, 1983, Foster and Janson, 1985, Mazer, 1987, Ibarra-Manrr¿quez & Oyama. 1993, Grubb & Metcalfe, 1996 [flora of Queensland, various sites, analyzing pooled data], Rees 1997 [British flora pooled)], Harms and Dalling, 1997, Clark et al., 1998, Hodkinson, et al., 1998 [British flora, pooled]. Relatively few researchers have evaluated data sets including information from more than one community (Hammond & Brown, 1995 (three Neotropical communities), Lord, Westoby & Leishman, 1995 (five temperate floras), Lord et al., 1997 [tropical vs. temperate floras]). In the proposed NCEAS working group, we will bring together a group of community ecologists and evolutionary biologists to use community-level data to address two sets of questions that depend on the joint analysis of multiple data sets. Because these questions (see below) require the analysis of both pooled and separate community-level data, we have selected participants who have independently collected similar data in ecologically similar plant communities.

One important objective of this proposal is to develop statistical approaches and to offer suggestions for analyses that other investigators will find useful for the ecological and evolutionary interpretation of community- level data. We propose to bring to NCEAS investigators representing seven neotropical rainforest locations (Los Tuxtlas, Mexico; Chajul, Mexico; La Selva, Costa Rica; Mabura Hill, French Guyana; BCI, Panama; Tambopata Wildlife Reserve and Manu National Park, Peru). Collectively, data sets currently available from well-monitored one- to fifty-hectare plots in these locations include information on seed size, seedling demography, survivorship, growth rates, growth form, reproductive phenology, dispersal mode, adult abundances, and/or size distributions of hundreds of plant species. Not all data are available for all sites and species, but we have identified a set of questions and hypotheses to which these data are

well-suited. Naturally, we fully expect the working group to identify additional theoretical and applied questions to be addressed.

To conduct cross-community studies of ecological and evolutionary patterns, we have chosen to focus on the tree species of Neotropical moist forest communities for several reasons. First, the adaptive significance of life history and functional traits is strongly dependent on environment. For example, the role of seed size in seedling establishment is quite different in open and xeric environments than in the light-limited, mesic forest understory. Similarly, the ecological factors influencing community structure vary across contrasting environments. By focusing on tropical forests, we can assess the generality of adaptive hypotheses across communities, and test evolutionary hypotheses regarding species differentiation in relation to life history under broadly similar conditions. Secondly, in some cases there are strong floristic affinities among Neotropical forests (e.g. the dominant genera or families of trees are shared among sites). This facilitates tests of evolutionary hypotheses because we can extract data on diverse groups of closely related species in different communities. In comparisons of New World and Old World forests, such analyses of closely related species (e.g., congeners) are often difficult or impossible.

The timing is right to develop a joint, concerted effort to analyze cross-community data to detect ecologically and evolutionarily significant patterns and processes. First, a number of research groups have successfully constructed data sets each characterizing hundreds of species, but there currently exists no coordinated data set to allow the comparative analysis of these data across communities. Second, theoretical and empirical work in the analysis of comparative data have increased our understanding of the value of methods for incorporating phylogenetic information into the quantitative study of multivariate data sets. Third, phylogenies describing inter- and intra-familial relationships are now available for many tropical taxa, allowing the use of phylogenetically independent contrasts that can assist in the detection of: (a) traits associated with high rates of diversification, (b) the correlated evolution of multiple traits, and (c) the joint evolution of traits and habitat requirements. Fourth, our list of participants includes several investigators who have not met before. Finally, an NCEAS working group established at this time would capitalize on the temporary residence in the U.S. of two of our participants (Drs. Miguel Martinez-Ramos and Horacio Paz), who offer an exceptional data set from Los Tuxtlas and from Chajul (Mexico) and are currently collaborating with Dr. David Ackerly and Dr. Susan Mazer.

McCullough, Deborah

Pathways of non-indigenous plant pest introductions: How exotic insects, pathogens and weeds arrive in the United States

Non-indigenous weeds, phytophagous insects and plant pathogens have had dramatic effects on ecosystems in North America and threaten nearly half of all endangered species in the United States. Introductions of non- indigenous species are expected to

continue and will likely increase as global trade expands. The U.S. Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (USDA, APHIS) is responsible for excluding non-indigenous phytophagous insects, plant pathogens and noxious weeds. Since 1985, APHIS personnel have maintained a database of non-indigenous species detected at ports, border inspection stations and airports. This database, known as the Port Interception Network or "PIN" data, exists on an aging mainframe computer in Maryland. Over 50,000 reports are entered into the PIN database annually. The PIN database, which has not been made available to the public, is unwieldy and queries must be carefully designed to extract appropriate data. APHIS personnel have used the PIN data for internal assessments and training, but intensive and multidisciplinary analysis of these data has not been attempted. Detailed and intensive analysis of the entire PIN database will greatly increase our understanding of precisely how unwanted organisms are entering the United States. In addition, results of our pathway analysis coupled with literature reviews should enable us to evaluate potential patterns or attributes of successful invaders. An interdisciplinary team of entomologists, pathologists, and botanists will analyze the PIN database. Our objectives are to: 1. Summarize historical trends in the groups of plant-feeding insects and related arthropods, plant pathogens and undesirable plants that arrive at the borders of the U.S.; 2. Evaluate potential associations or patterns that involve taxa from two or more groups; e.g. determine whether plant species or commodities are consistently associated with specific arthropod or pathogen introductions; 3. Identify the frequency that specific guilds or taxa arrive and the rate of establishment of members of those groups; 4. Determine whether attributes such as host breadth, size of native range, reproductive rate, mating system, dispersal method or other traits are related to the frequency of introduction or establishment of selected taxa.

McGill, Brian Tools and fresh approaches for species abundance distributions

The species abundance distribution (SAD) is a central pattern in ecology and of great importance for basic and applied management questions. Yet, surprisingly little progress has been made in identifying the mechanisms responsible for this fundamental pattern. We identify seven obstacles that have slowed progress in this field of research. We propose a working group that will develop a standardized database of SADs and computer code for analyzing SADs. The working group will publish these for the scientific community at large and also use them to pursue a promising new direction in exploring SADs based on perturbations (how the SAD changes as various environmental factors covary).

Micheli, Fiorenza A synthetic approach to the science of ecosystem-based management of coastal marine ecosystems (EBM) We will develop a modeling and data integration framework for EBM and apply that framework to a case study from coastal California. By bringing experts in the modeling of natural and human systems together with policy specialists, the working group will develop a policy relevant modeling approach that includes the dynamics of social, biophysical and economic components of the ecosystem and critical feedbacks among them, and an explicit risk assessment component. Then, in collaboration with scientists and managers knowledgeable about the coastal California system, we will develop a detailed case study using this modeling approach as a basis. Key questions about how to cope with uncertainty, how to define ecosystem boundaries, and what constitute appropriate and effective indicators of ecosystem health and performance, will be addressed through the case study. The result will be a tool that scientists and policy makers use to develop an ecosystem-based approach to management of this system, and by extension others.

Michener, William Partnership for Biodiversity Information (Hosted by NCEAS)

Mitchell, Charles The roles of natural enemies and mutualists in plant invasions

Invasive plant species both threaten native biodiversity and are economically costly (OTA 1993, Williamson 1996, Wilcove et al. 1998, Mack et al. 2000, Pimentel 2002). Recent results (Mitchell and Power 2003) suggest that invasive plants become widespread problems, in part, because they are released from attack by pathogens relative to their native range. However, the roles of herbivores and mutualists in plant invasions remain controversial (Maron and Vila 2001, Keane and Crawley 2002, Agrawal and Kotanen 2003), and the potential for interactive effects of natural enemies and mutualists has been little considered. This working group will examine the joint roles of herbivores, pollinators, mycorrhizal fungi, and pathogens in plant invasions. The first goal of this working group will be to more fully quantify the effects of plant introductions on their associations with these enemies and mutualists. We will achieve this by synthesizing existing data on the geographic occurrence and impact of insect herbivores, mycorrhizal fungi, pollinators, and pathogens. We will then examine to what degree changes in naturalized plants' associations with these other organisms can explain major patterns in biological invasions, particularly variation among naturalized species in their ecological impacts.

Mittelbach, Gary Gradients in biodiversity and speciation The diversity of life varies predictably with climate and is greatest where it is warm and wet (the humid tropics). But, the question "why" has puzzled biologists for over a century. Recent attention has focused on evolutionary mechanisms, in particular whether speciation rates may vary predictably with climate/latitude, whether such variation in speciation rates can account for higher species richness in tropical environments, and what mechanisms might cause geographical variation in speciation rates. We propose to bring together an interdisciplinary team of ecologists, evolutionary biologists, and paleontologists to address the conceptual issues of how climate interacts with ecological and physiological processes to affect speciation rates. Our goal is to test whether speciation/diversification rates vary with climate/latitude using phylogenetic and paleontological data. However, formidable challenges stand in the way of these tests. Therefore, our group will work to identify ways to meet these challenges and to address methodological issues of how to use phylogenetic analysis, as well as paleontological data, to estimate rates of speciation and evolutionary diversification across geographical gradients.

Moore, John Detritus and dynamics of populations, food webs and communities

Food web theory was developed in large part on the pathway of primary production from plants to herbivores to predators even though most primary productivity is uneaten by herbivores and enters the food web as detritus. What happens to this dominant chunk of the world's productivity? Is the detrital food web a self-contained sink internally recycling energy and nutrients or a link that affects the population dynamics of classic herbivore webs? Do these dynamics differ with system productivity or among habitats, e.g., aquatic versus terrestrial? Whatever the case, we should understand much more about this fundamental component of communities. This working group will focus on the role of detritus in the dynamics and structure of communities; determine systematic differences in its production, quality, and use among habitats; and delineate a framework to integrate detrital and classic food webs.

Morris, William Stochastic demography for an increasingly variable world

Both the means and the variances of such important environmental variables as growingseason temperature and rainfall are projected to increase in many regions over the 21st century. While effects on organisms of changes in mean conditions have often been anticipated, the potential effects of increasing variability have been relatively neglected. We propose a Stochastic Demography Working Group to assess how increasing environmental variability is likely to impact populations of plants and animals. Using unpublished demographic data for a diverse set of taxa and new theoretical tools developed by group members, we will compare the effects of changes in the means vs. the variances of environmental variables, as well as the pattern of sensitivity to environmental variability across species, life histories, and habitats. In addition, we will ask whether the demographic processes that most influence population growth are the least sensitive to environmental variation, a pattern that has been observed in the relatively small number of species previously tested and that would serve to buffer populations against increasing environmental variability. Our ultimate goal is to improve the ability of ecologists to forecast the consequences for the long-term viability of populations of not only overall trends in environmental conditions but also expected changes in year-to-year variability.

Naiman, Robert The ecology and uses of riparian zones

The unique role of riparian zones in regulating watershed scale processes has received enormous attention in the last two decades. It is now known that riparian zones strongly influence land-water interactions, provide habitat for an unusual number of species, adjust community level characteristics to specific landforms, and are well adapted to physical as well as biological disturbance regimes. These, and other characteristics, have resulted in the widespread use of riparian zones as prescriptions for landscape management. The purpose of this proposal is to seek support, as a Center Fellow, to prepare a synthesis volume on "The Ecology and Uses of Riparian Zones." The synthesis would be published as a book suitable for instruction at the University level as well as a reference for professional managers. Henri D¿camps (Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, France) will co-author the synthesis volume while the overall activity will be guided by a working group of five colleagues. The project would start October, 2001 and be completed by July, 2002.

Orth, Robert

Global trajectories of seagrasses: Establishing a quantitative basis for seagrass conservation and restoration

Seagrasses are a group of flowering plants that have evolved a unique set of characteristics to live, grow, and reproduce in marine underwater habitats (Les et al., 1997), with key ecosystem services that they provide to coastal areas of the world (Costanza et al., 1997). During the past two decades there has been a significant increase in the number of studies on the distribution, abundance, biology and ecology of seagrasses, including the recent publication of a seagrass atlas (Green and Short, 2003); methods book (Short and Coles, 2001) and research synthesis (Larkum et al., in press). However, the need for a better understanding of seagrasses today has taken on a new meaning and increased urgency. The rate of ecosystem alteration in coastal regions where seagrasses reside is accelerating (Cohen et al., 1997), and these alterations are occurring globally (Short and Wyllie-Echeverria, 1996). The very survival of seagrasses,

which have been present for the past 100 million years, depends on their ability to cope with these natural and anthropogenic alterations. The goal of the "Global Seagrass Trajectories" working group, and the designated sub-groups, will be to use quantitative approaches to critically evaluate the types of changes that seagrasses are experiencing and evaluate likely causes. A previous qualitative examination of the literature indicates that seagrasses are experiencing loss rates (Green and Short, 2003) which match or exceed those of other threatened coastal habitats, such as salt marshes, mangrove forests, and coral reefs (e.g., Pandolfi et al., 2003), for which there is ample scientific and social awareness. Yet, a global assessment with quantitative data for seagrasses is lacking and is the focus of this effort. Synthesizing available information and conveying them to the broader scientific community and society in an effective way will be a key outcome of this project.

Osherenko, Gail

Ecosystem-based management for the oceans: The role of zoning

The proposed working group on Ocean Ecosystem Management will explore the role of place-based systems in achieving ecosystem-based ocean management. The U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy (2004) and the Pew Oceans Commission (2003) both recommend ecosystem-based management of the 4.4 million square miles of ocean within the jurisdiction of the United States. The Pew Oceans Commission Report, as well as numerous scientists, managers, and advocacy organizations, has called for development of ocean zoning as a key component of ecosystem-based management. Ocean zoning is the authoritative regulation and allocation of access and use to specific marine geographic areas. Zoning systems aim to separate competing uses, reduce conflict, increase certainty among users, and protect sensitive marine resources. Fisheries management has increasingly used spatial regulation to determine access, protect nursing and spawning areas, reduce gear impacts, etc. More recently, place-based marine management is growing through the establishment of marine protected areas (MPAs), including fully protected no-take reserves and areas offering protection from a limited set of uses. This working group will bring together ecologists and social scientists to explore the concept, practice, and opportunities for ocean zoning in a unique political and social climate primed for change. We will focus particularly on the question of whether or not and how zoning systems can be used to implement ecosystem-based management of oceans within the jurisdiction of the United States. The working group goals are to: (1) compile and synthesize information on existing systems of ocean zoning and their ecological and social impacts, (2) compile and synthesize available ecological and social data necessary to design and develop effective zoning systems, (3) develop the concept of ocean zoning within the context of ecosystem based management, and (4) design a set of principles and policies for creation of sustainable and resilient ecosystembased ocean zoning systems. We have identified a group of leading thinkers engaged in developing an understanding of the complex ecological and social dynamics of ocean systems. The resources of NCEAS will enable us to bring these experts together to

advance our understanding of marine ecosystems and our ability to implement scientifically based and effective ecosystem management.

Owen-Smith, Norman Dynamics of large mammalian herbivores in changing environments: Alternative modeling approaches

The world's populations of large herbivores have shown dramatically different dynamics during the last two decades. The abundance and distribution of some ungulate species has declined abruptly, while other species have become excessively abundant, and still others have shown complex, oscillatory dynamics. These patterns seem to result from a composite of influences, including those operating at global and local scales. Conventional population models are rooted in assumptions about steady state and do not adequately incorporate environmental variability. We propose a working group that will exploit data sets and expertise from different regions to develop new models of ungulate population dynamics, capable of accommodating the complexity of environmental interactions at different spatial and temporal scales.

Packer, Craig Serengeti: The origins and future of a complex ecosystem

The Serengeti ecosystem exemplifies a number of general features of terrestrial food web dynamics and can therefore be viewed as a model system for studying a complex interplay of basic ecological principles. These include: (1) the diverse roles of generalist top predators in governing coexistence in prey communities, (2) the importance of omnivory and intraguild predation in modulating the magnitude of 'top-down' impacts of predators, (3) trophic cascades; (4) the implications of movement, landscape pattern, and spatial heterogeneity for food web dynamics, and, (5) the impact of temporal variation on stability and species composition of local communities. The Serengeti, like many ecosystems, is subject to increasing human use. Understanding human behavior and the links between humans and the ecosystem provides a necessary foundation for conservation.

Packer, Craig Serengeti: The origins and future of a complex ecosystem (Extended)

At a third NCEAS meeting, we would finalize the chapters for Serengeti III and keep to a schedule whereby we submitted our manuscript to Chicago Press by Jan. 2004. The first Serengeti books were well regarded in their time, but Serengeti III will be one of the most innovative studies of a single ecosystem ever written. Every chapter forms an integral part of a unified approach to the study of complex ecosystem, starting with soils, working through plants, herbivores and carnivores. And at every level, we fully explore the feedback loop with human activities that are based on the decisions of individuals, of villages, regions, nations and the international community. And to top it off, we close the book with a new paradigm for sustaining a place like the Serengeti in a country as poor as Tanzania.

Palmer, Margaret

A synthetic analysis of the scientific basis of ecological restoration of stream ecosystems

We will assess the quality of the science underlying ecological restoration activities using stream ecosystems as model restoration systems. We will assemble a unique data set that spans multiple ecoregions and many different types of restoration activities performed by diverse groups with various stakeholder interests. Specifically, our data set will address: what kinds of restoration activities, at what scale, and by what means, are taking place; how goals were set and success measured in these restoration efforts; the extent to which scientific criteria were used; the extent to which adaptive management was an explicit component of the restoration activity; and the extent to which scientists are forming partnerships with restorationists in order to use restoration projects as opportunities for scientific experimentation. Our synthesis will facilitate the linkage between the practice of ecological restoration and the science of restoration ecology and will attempt to establish standards for data gathering to scientifically assess restoration methods and success.

Pascual, Mercedes Seasonality and the population dynamics of infectious diseases

Seasonal variation takes many forms in the natural world. All of us notice the annual cycles in temperature, day length, and rainfall, and these have profound effects on rates of resource production and availability that plants and animals respond to in order to modify their reproduction and maintenance schedules. These regular cycles impose constraints and create opportunities for transmission of infectious diseases. Humans also superimpose seasonal processes onto their lives in a way that creates opportunities for infectious diseases; for example school semesters and annual vacations. This working group will examine the different ways in which seasonal variation in population size, contact rates and the survival of free-living infectious stages modifies the population dynamics of infectious diseases. The working group will bring together biologists, epidemiologists and mathematicians all of who have worked on different aspects of these problems. The workshop is particularly relevant to our understanding of the potential disease problems associated with climate change.

Peet, Robert An information infrastructure for vegetation science (Hosted by NCEAS)

Vegetation classification is of central importance to biological conservation for planning and inventory, to resource management for monitoring and planning, and to basic scientific research as a tool for organizing and interpreting ecological information. All of these activities require that ecological units be defined and that their distribution on the landscape be known and understood. Vegetation classification contributes significantly to analysis of ecological problems that vary in scale from persisitence of tiny populations of endangered species to global projections of the impact of climate change. Technological advances have made practical large-scale analyses that cross agency jurisdictions or geographic regions and address applied ecological issues as diverse as global change, ecosystem management, and conservation planning. However, all such efforts depend on having available a common set of well defined and broadly accepted classification units.

Through the combined efforts of The Nature Conservancy (TNC), the Ecological Society of America Vegetation Panel (ESA-VP), and the Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC), the United States is on the verge of having its first fully functional, widely-applied vegetation classification system. The federal government has declared the need for a single standard, and on October 22, 1997, the Secretary of Interior, acting as Chair of the Federal Geographic Data Committee, approved the Vegetation Information and Classification Standard (http://biology.usgs.gov/fgdc.veg) which is now the standard vegetation classification for U.S. Federal agencies and their cooperators. Yet, there are still major obstacles of overcome to make such a system operational and broadly accepted. ESA-VP is working in close collaboration with TNC and FGDC to draft standards for field data acquisition, type, definition, and peer review of proposed additions and changes. A fourth component, an information infrastructure to manage the anticipated 107 plots and 104 plant associations required for a national system, and to distribute this information across the web in a continually revised but perfectly archived format, represents a major intellectual and practical obstacle to the realization of the system. It is this final piece that our proposal addresses.

We propose to convene at NCEAS a working group to design, construct and test prototypes of two core components of the information infrastructure necessary to support the U.S. National Vegetation Classification (US-NVC): a stand-alone vegetation plots database system with internet access tools, and an addition to the TNC Heritage Data Management System that will allow the national classification database to be both continually revised and perfectly archived. Subsets of this working group would meet at intervals over a 2-yr period to develop and test components of the system. A postdoctoral associate employed by NCEAS would work in consultation with project coordinators, TNC and federal government analysts, and NCEAS staff to complete most of the actual design and programming. The prototypes would be demonstrated using a variety of data from the greater Yosemite vegetation mapping project. Subsequently, additional datasets would be used to explore and demonstrate the robustness of the system. Once developed and peer reviewed, the modules of the working prototype would be adopted and maintained, by some combination of TNC, USGS (NBII), FGDC and ESA.

Peterson, A

Testing alternative methodologies for modeling species' ecological niches and predicting geographic distributions

Knowledge of world biodiversity remains sparse, with millions of species left to be described, most species' geographic distributions poorly understood and the ecological and evolutionary processes that underpin geographic patterns of diversity still far from resolved. Many large-scale conservation projects, however, depend critically on more complete descriptions of species' distributions and there is increasing interest in incorporating process as well as pattern into biodiversity evaluation. The inferential step that leads from incomplete present knowledge to a explicit prediction of geographic distribution is presently made via diverse methods which have not been tested against each other to establish which would provide the greatest predictive ability for different types of questions and data. We propose a NCEAS working group that will review and compare diverse predictive modeling approaches with the goal of producing an ideal strategy for modeling parameters related to ecological niches and predicting geographic distributions.

Preisser, Evan

When, and how much, does fear matter? Quantitatively assessing the impact of predator intimidation of prey on community dynamics

Interactions between predators and their prey are the cornerstone of food-web ecology. Ecologists have traditionally thought of such interactions in terms of the number of prey consumed by predators. A mounting array of evidence suggests that prey are far from helpless victims, however, and that they employ a wide array of defensive strategies. The costs of these strategies can include reduced energy income, lower mating success, or increased vulnerability to other predators. Predators can thus reduce prey density both through direct consumption (density-mediated interactions,"DMIs") and through the costs arising from anti-predator strategies (trait-mediated interactions, "TMIs"). The presence of TMIs can introduce nonlinearities into species interactions that profoundly affect both short-term interactions and longterm population dynamics. We will use metaanalysis to evaluate patterns of TMIs across a range of ecosystems, taxa, and as a function of predator, prey, and resource density, and to determine the relative importance of different forms of TMIs (reductions in feeding, mating opportunities, reproductive allocation, etc) in shaping predator-prey interactions. Our empirical results will be used to help develop models of predator-prey interactions incorporating both density-mediated and a range of trait-mediated effects, in turn allowing us to generate new hypotheses testable by meta-analysis. We will produce: 1) a series of articles, including one which

proposes TMIappropriate experimental methodologies; 2) an article for the general public; and 3) a comprehensive database of TMI research.

Pressey, Robert Conservation planning tools

For the purposes of this working group, planning tools are software packages, including simple algorithms as well as decision-support systems, that are used to guide decisions about conservation action. These tools use data on the distribution of biodiversity within planning regions but might also use other data such as geographic adjacency or proximity, past land use, threats, and expected costs of conservation. The main goals of the working group are to: (1) produce a review of conservation planning tools and techniques to inform present and potential users about their applications, advantages, limitations and appropriateness for particular planning problems and circumstances; (2) review current and potential approaches to combining expert judgements and data analysis in the application of planning tools; and (3) make planners and managers aware of the potential and limitations of planning tools for dealing with the problems they encounter.

Real, Leslie North American Rabies Database

Real, Leslie Spatial ecology of infectious disease

The ecology of infectious diseases is receiving increased attention from both public health officials and traditional population biologists. It is clear that the ability to predict and forecast disease outbreaks will require a greater understanding of spatial dynamics and the analysis of spatial patterns of spread. The goal of this Working Group is to analyze large spatial data sets of disease occurrence and spread drawn from natural, agricultural, and public health databases. By comparing the spatial ecology of disease across these different systems we hope to arrive at some basic generalizations about spatially-dependent disease dynamics.

Regan, Helen Making decisions on complex environmental problems Environmental scientists must often facilitate complex decision-making based on scientific data but subject to societal and other constraints on management options. Complexity arises from: (i) multiple, often incommensurable, criteria that must be incorporated into decisions; (ii) decisions that must reflect the often conflicting long- and short-term goals of multiple stakeholders; and (iii) decisions that must be made in the presence of risk and uncertainty. The purpose of this project is to characterize scenarios for environmental decision-making and develop a conceptual taxonomy of them; review existing methods for dealing with multiple criteria and objectives, multiple stakeholders, and risk and uncertainty; develop integrated protocols for the use of these methods for complex decision making scenarios in conservation, wildlife management and/or environmental science; develop software tools for some of the methods for which existing tools are inadequate; test protocols and tools against available data sets; and identify areas in which more research is needed.

Reichman, O.

A Knowledge Network for Biocomplexity: Building and evaluating a metadata-based framework for integrating heterogeneous scientific data (Hosted by NCEAS)

Complexity is an inherent property of living systems that arises from direct and indirect interactions among the earth's physical, chemical, and biological components. Biocomplexity includes the structural and functional attributes of dynamic systems that arise at all levels of biological organization, including individuals, populations, and communities. Importantly, ecological components of biocomplexity (e.g., biodiversity, ecosystem services) are in crisis, and are undergoing potentially irreversible changes in the face of rapid human population growth and economic development. Wise stewardship, based on all available scientific knowledge concerning these natural systems, is essential. Data Catalyzed by these societal concerns, and facilitated by technology advances, scientists focused on complex ecological systems have generated an explosion of ecological and environmental data. When integrated with data from other disciplines (e.g., meteorology), these data have the potential to greatly enhance understanding of biocomplexity. However, broad-scale and synthetic research is stymied because these data are largely inaccessible due to their spatial dispersion, extreme structural and semantic heterogeneity, and complexity.

Knowledge Networking:

We propose to integrate the distributed and heterogeneous information sources required for the development and testing of theory in ecology and its sister fields into a standardsbased, open architecture, knowledge network. The network will extend recent advances in metadata representation to provide conceptually sophisticated access to integrated data products drawn from distributed, autonomous data repositories. In addition, the knowledge network will include advanced tools for exploring complex data sets from which multiple formulations of hypotheses can be tested.
The existence of such a network will lead to broadened understanding of biocomplexity and ecological systems, and allow the application of that understanding to societal issues. In developing this network, we will create a new community of environmental scientists who will be able to focus on complex, multi-scale problems that, to date, have proven to be intractable. We will perform foundational research in computer science and informatics to create new tools for discovering, retrieving, interpreting, integrating, and analyzing data from these diverse sources. Our prototype network will be useful across a variety of disciplines and will provide a basis for the growth of multidisciplinary research groups focused on biocomplexity.

Collaboration

To accomplish these goals, we have created an intellectual consortium that comprises the National Center for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis (NCEAS), the Long-Term Ecological Research Network (LTER) and the San Diego Supercomputer Center (SDSC). Our partnership has a successful history and includes (1) advanced expertise in ecology, informatics, and computer science, (2) a comprehensive understanding of the critical obstacles that data heterogeneity and dispersion create for advancing synthesis and understanding, and (3) strong commitments to addressing those obstacles that deter broad-scale and synthetic analyses. Impact The results of the proposed research will have broad implications for our ability to understand and manage sustainably the complex ecological systems and biological resources on which all humans depend. Information on biocomplexity is voluminous and complex, but currently is inaccessible to research scientists and policy makers. The intellectual advances in information science that we propose will, for the first time, provide an accessible infrastructure for identifying, integrating, managing, and, ultimately, synthesizing the nation's ecological and biodiversity information resources.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DEB99- 80154. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recomendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation (NSF).

Reichman, O.

Knowledge and capacity-building to support ecosystem-based management (EBM) for sustainable coastal-marine systems

The recent U.S. Commission for Ocean Policy Report (2004) calls for a new national ocean policy that balances use with sustainability, and moves towards ecosystem-based management (EBM) founded on sound science. But, as yet, there is no scientific consensus on (1) the basic elements or processes involved in ecosystem- based management; (2) the scientific understanding of coastal-marine systems that it will require; or (3) how best to integrate that scientific understanding into decision-making processes. The David and Lucile Packard Foundation has developed a strategic vision to

fill this urgent need i a carefully designed science program to help create and ensure the use of the knowledge, tools, and skills needed for EBM of sustainable coastal- marine systems.

In June 2004, the Packard Foundation awarded \$2,060,292 to the National Center for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis (NCEAS), at the University of California, Santa Barbara for a three year program of activities, involving the analysis and synthesis of existing data and development of new tools to address gaps in knowledge that are critical to successful implementation of ecosystem-based management. The NCEAS activities will include support for working groups, postdoctoral fellowships, graduate student support, and a distributed graduate seminar.

In this program, NCEAS will go beyond publishing academic papers to devise specific strategies to make the scientific knowledge developed through the project directly useful for practitioners and policy makers. Previous attempts to implement ecosystem-based management have been hindered by the failure to effectively incorporate scientific understanding into the decision-making process, and by neglecting to include the stakeholders whose support will be essential to action. We expect that this set of NCEAS activities will lead to the development of a coherent body of knowledge that will change the conceptual foundations for managing coastal-marine ecosystems and help develop the necessary capacity among individuals and institutions to catalyze this sea-change.

Both goals and approaches for EBM vary, depending on geographic location, social values, institutional settings, economic constraints, etc. Nonetheless, it is clear that EBM entails some level of understanding of the scale, complexity and dynamic nature of both ecological and human systems.

Sagarin, Rafe

Ecological and evolutionary models for homeland security strategy

Biological organisms have developed a remarkable number of strategies to mitigate conflict with a broad range of adversaries in their environment. The diversity and success of these strategies, as illustrated by the millions of extant species on the Earth today, suggests that biological evolution may be a field rich with lessons for guiding societal conflict resolution and security strategy. The working group will bring leading security policy analysts together with evolutionary biologists, anthropologists and ecologists to draw on their expertise and identify evolutionary strategies that are applicable to security challenges faced by people, institutions and nations in the 21st century. These biological analogies will be developed into models of decision-making and behavior to explore alternative hypotheses related to strategies for security planning. The combination of biological and policy-oriented perspectives will allow both a rich theoretical discussion and a realistic assessment of the barriers to practical applications of theory.

Sax, Dov Exotic species: A source of insight into ecology, evolution, and biogeography

Exotic species pose a serious threat to the structure and function of native ecosystems and cause significant economic damage. In spite of these costs, exotic species present unique opportunities to advance our conceptual understanding of ecological patterns and processes. By using exotic species as a vast array of natural experiments we can address questions at scales that would otherwise be non-experimental, and we can observe processes that have occurred repeatedly in the past, but that have previously gone unrecorded. Indeed exotic species may present one of the best inroads available to understanding ecology, evolution and biogeography. The goals of this working group are three-fold. First, to explore the insights that exotic species provide to fundamental conceptual issues in ecology, evolution and biogeography. Second, to provide a model for the budding field of invasion biology, which currently is focused strongly on applied issues, but which could be redirected to simultaneously study both applied and conceptual issues. Third, to use the insights we gain to ecology, evolution and biogeography to in turn improve our ability to manage and mitigate the damage caused by exotic species. To accomplish these goals, we propose a novel model that includes the delegation of work prior to the first meeting of the working group; we have identified a motivated body of scientists who are ready to initiate this work. This approach should allow us to produce a great number of conceptual insights, as well as a great number of important publications, that will advance the study of ecological systems and our ability to effectively manage them.

Schildhauer, Mark

Towards a unified model for describing ecological and environmental observation data

Schimel, Josh

Can we now determine if, when, and how microbial community composition impacts ecosystem processes? Will that understanding yield critical new information about ecosystem function and response to change?

Linking populations and process dynamics has been a major thrust in ecology for the last decade or more. This issue has been a concern in microbial ecology, but linking microbial community dynamics with ecosystem scale processes has been a major challenge. There remains debate as to whether any such linkages really exist, though there are theories about which processes should be sensitive to community composition and there have been a number of individual studies that support these theories. There has not, however, been any broad and effective synthesis to test theories or critically assess how best to establish microbe-ecosystem linkages. We propose a working group

that will identify the most successful current approaches for establishing linkages, work with available data sets and existing ecosystem models to determine how to best incorporate appropriate microbial community dynamics into larger-scale models, and work with the models to evaluate the effects of incorporating microbial dynamics into them.

Schnitzer, Stefan

Lianas and tropical forest dynamics: Synthesis of Pan-tropical patterns from regional data sets

Lianas (woody vines) are an important component in tropical forest dynamics, altering forest regeneration, species diversity and ecosystem-level processes such as carbon sequestration. Recently, the study of liana ecology has increased substantially throughout the tropics; however, methods for collecting liana data are only rarely consistent and there is little comparability in liana abundance, biomass, diversity, composition, or community dynamics across large areas of the tropics. Until these data sets are combined and synthesized, many large-scale questions on the ecology of lianas will remain unanswered. We propose an NCEAS Working Group to standardize, combine, and synthesize the many liana data sets from research groups around the world. In doing so, we will compile a pan-tropical data set with which we can answer specific questions about the contribution of lianas to forest diversity and biomass worldwide, as well as the pan-tropical distribution of many important liana taxa. Four main goals will be addressed during two Working Group Meetings and the intervening year. (1) Establish logical and useable guidelines for censuses of lianas, given different research goals. (2) Assemble and synthesize preexisting data sets on liana stem diameter, abundance, and diversity using empirically derived correction factors to generate a uniform, pan-tropical data set on lianas. (3) Estimate liana biomass at the whole-forest and regional levels using new and substantially more robust stem diameter-to- biomass equations. (4) Create standard systematic databases and maps of the geographic distribution of liana taxa and maps of liana hotspots to identify conservation priorities.

Shurin, Jonathan Comparing trophic structure across ecosystems

Trophic structure, the partitioning of biomass among organisms at different positions in a food web, varies both within and among ecosystems. However, the causes of this variation are poorly understood. Elton's "pyramid of numbers", where primary producers dominate and consumer densities decrease as trophic levels become more remote from the base of production, applies well to most terrestrial systems. However, many aquatic ecosystems apparently violate Elton's rule with inverted biomass pyramids, or ratios of heterotroph-to- autotroph biomass (H:A) greater than one. In this proposal, we describe synthetic work aimed at understanding differences in trophic

structure and the relative strength of bottom-up and top-down inputs between diverse freshwater, marine and terrestrial ecosystems. We will test candidate hypotheses for this variation based on factors known to distinguish food webs in the two habitats, such as nutrient limitation and turnover rates, productivity (quantity) and nutrient stoichiometry (quality). Meta-analysis of local-scale herbivore manipulation experiments will be integrated with theoretical development of food web models, and with larger-scale temporal and spatial patterns from resource gradients. This work will move us closer to a comprehensive trophic-dynamic theory, unified across taxa and ecosystem types. It will also increase our mechanistic understanding of how human impacts, such as eutrophication or predator extirpation, propagate or attenuate in ecosystems through trophic interactions.

Shurin, Jonathan Comparing trophic structure across ecosystems (Extended)

Silander, John

Macro-ecology and biogeography: Hierarchical (Bayesian) model development using data from South Africa

We propose a Working Group that will focus on developing hierarchical (Bayesian) statistical models to explain joint spatial patterns in plant species distributions (and thus diversity), using unique datasets from South Africa. The statistical models will: be individual species-based, be spatially explicit, utilize individual species attributes (including phylogenetic information), and include various explicit sources of environmental heterogeneity. The models will be hierarchical in attempting to explain joint patterns of species distributions, thus getting at diversity directly from a mechanistic perspective. We know of no other studies which have taken this approach. In building, validating and comparing the models, we have access to unique data sets from South Africa: 1) the Protea Atlas species inventory; 2) A set of explanatory GIS data layers for the Cape Floristic Region (CFR); 3) cladistic/phylogenetic data on taxa in the Proteaceae; 4) a database of up to 4000 releves from the CFR; and 5) potentially, access to a database of the distribution (at ¿ degree grid cells) of all 23,000 flowering plant species in Southern Africa. The CFR is one of the world's hottest hotspots of plant diversity, and the Protea Atlas dataset may be the most complete presence-absence data set for any taxonomic group across any region.

Smith, Felisa

Body size in ecology and paleoecology: Linking pattern and process across spatial, temporal and taxonomic scales

Body size has long been a central area of research in both ecology and paleoecology. With few exceptions however, ecologists have focused on spatial relationships and/or short time spans, and paleoecologists on long time scales. Little cross-linkage has existed between the two disciplines. Additionally, most studies of ecogeographic or evolutionary phenomena are restricted to single taxa. We propose to form a working group consisting of paleoecologists and ecologists, studying organisms as diverse as marine invertebrates, terrestrial woody plants and mammals. Our aim is to synthesize the patterns of body size distribution across local to broad geographic space and from contemporary to "deep" time, and then to examine the processes that lead to the observed patterns.

Taper, Mark The Evidence Project: Supporting scientific claims

Scientists strive to understand the operation of natural processes. To this end, they collect data both experimental and observational. The objective and quantitative interpretation of data as evidence for one hypothesis over another hypothesis is an integral part of the scientific process. None of the existing schools of statistical inference fully addresses the needs of working scientists. Scientists are forced to twist their thinking to pigeon hole their analyses into existing paradigms. We believe that a revision of statistical theory is in order, reflecting the needs of practicing ecologists. Through a dialog amongst working scientists, statistical approach focused on the quantification of evidence, which will supplement traditional paradigms. We will hone and demonstrate our approach through applications to difficult problems of ecological data analysis.

Templer, Pamela

The fate of nitrogen inputs to terrestrial ecosystems

Recent technical innovations in the use of 15N stable isotopic tracers at the ecosystem scale have produced a wealth of data on the fate of N inputs to terrestrial ecosystems. Although this analytically intensive technique has been used at over 20 sites world wide, there have been few attempts at cross-site synthesis. Here we propose a series of working group activities to synthesize ecosystem-scale 15N tracer experiments across a wide geographic range of ecosystem types. While at NCEAS, we propose to develop three products: (1) a standardized protocol for application and analysis of 15N tracer studies, (2) a meta-analysis of the fate and redistribution of N across sites and ecosystem types, and (3) an inter-site comparison with the TRACE model, a simulation model that predicts the fate of N inputs over long time scales. Through these activities, we will address questions about controls over the fate of N inputs in terrestrial ecosystems and the consequences of increased N deposition.

Urban, Mark Evolutionary and ecological sorting in space

The last fifteen years have witnessed an increasing realization that ecological dynamics operating at coarse spatial scales have important ecological consequences. One of the more exciting ideas that has ensued is the "metacommunity" (Figure 1), a concept that has contributed significant insights about population, community and ecosystems ecology (see Leibold et al. 2004 for a recent review, Holyoak et al. 2005 for the scope of this idea). To date, however, the metacommunity concept has been evaluated in a purely ecological context. Nevertheless, close parallels and obvious interrelations exist between ecological and evolutionary dynamics at multiple spatial scales (McPeek and Gomulkiewicz 2005). Mounting evidence suggests that evolutionary dynamics can occur on time scales similar to those involving ecological dynamics (Cousyn et al. 2001, Kinnison and Hendry 2001, Grant and Grant 2002, Yoshida et al. 2003). If so, metacommunity and evolutionary dynamics may interact strongly. Yet, we are only now beginning to understand this interaction. While metacommunity ecology will continue to contribute interesting insights about a diverse array of ecological phenomena, we foresee that these insights will soon be constrained by our limited knowledge about how ecological and evolutionary processes interact in metacommunities. We seek to bring together scientists from diverse backgrounds to synthesize current knowledge and generate new understanding about evolutionary and metacommunity dynamics. We intend to 1) develop and refine an evolving metacommunity framework, 2) explore the potential for emergent dynamics by incorporating evolution into existing metacommunity models; 3) evaluate how multispecies interactions impact community evolution and dynamics; and 4) develop tools to test and apply the theory. The proposed synthesis of evolutionary and metacommunity theory promises to improve our understanding of species coexistence and provide strategies

Venable, D. Population and community dynamics of desert annuals

Ecology has made significant strides in scaling up spatially, with the development of metapopulation and landscape approaches and new types of data and techniques of analysis (GIS, Landsat data, spatial statistics). There has also been some progress in scaling up temporally with recent developments of paleoecology, palynology, and tree ring research. However, the relative difficulty of obtaining temporal data as compared to spatial data, has slowed the rate of advance of temporal scaling. I have collected a 19-year data set on the population and community dynamics of a guild of Sonoran Desert annuals at the Desert Laboratory in Tucson, Arizona. These data provide a valuable window on demographic variability in arid ecosystems that should be of interest to scientists studying climate-vegetation interactions, adaptations to variable environments

and species coexistence in variable environments. I propose to spend my 12-month sabbatical at NCEAS to analyze this data and synthesize the results with respect to these three conceptual frameworks. While at NCEAS I intend to interact with other ecologists with long temporal data sets on population and community dynamics of desert organisms to explore the climate-population-community linkages in temporal dynamics. I also intend to interact with investigators interested in exploring the population dynamic functions shared by seed banks and other partially substitutable traits from an evolutionary ecological theoretical perspective and with investigators interest in temporal variance based species coexistence in desert annuals.

Wilcox, Chris

Exploring compensatory mitigation and markets as mechanisms for resolving fisheries bycatch: Biodiversity conservation conflicts

The social and economic importance of fisheries and the biological realities of its impacts results in cardinal tensions over ocean resources. Fisheries provide approximately 16% of all protein consumed by humans and are valued at US\$82 billion annually. However, eight percent of the global fishery catch is bycatch which is discarded; resulting in major impacts on marine systems. Increasingly, institutions are pressuring for sustainable management of species impacted by fisheries. Where bycatch cannot be avoided, fishery closures are being implemented, often driven by lawsuits, with costly outcomes for society. An offset approach to this conflict could facilitate high value uses of biological resources while making conservation gains for threatened species. Taking seabirds as an example, fishers could be levied for bycatch and capital transferred to fund conservation actions on breeding colonies (e.g., the removal of invasive mammals, the primary threat to seabirds worldwide). A preliminary analysis has shown eradication of invasive predators can be 12 times more effective from an economic cost-conservation benefit perspective in comparison with fisheries closures. In addition, transferable bycatch fees, which could increase with endangerment, also provide individual incentives for avoiding bycatch, the most effective mechanism for sustainable management of fisheries. We are developing a general framework for this approach, using seabirds and sea turtles as case studies. Given limited conservation dollars, compensatory mitigation provides an opportunity to address a global concern, maximize the return on investment of conservation interventions, and forge an alliance between conservation and fisheries organizations, circumventing costly and sociopolitically damaging battles over bycatch conflicts.

Wilson, Mark Global change and infectious disease

A major element underlying many emerging and reemerging infectious diseases is environmental change. This may be manifested through direct and intentional landscape changes(e.g. hydroelectric dams, agricultural development projects, irrigation, urban expansion, mining, deforestation), indirect habitat changes that favor transmission (e.g. increased vector breeding sites, evolution of virulence, or enhanced contact with infectious agents), and the possibility that other indirect abiotic effects including climate change might alter the intensity or distribution of various diseases. A better understanding of these processes is needed for risk is to be understood and reduced, and for outbreak forecasts are to be made accurate and credible. The goal of this sub-group is to explore various associations between environmental change and specific infectious diseases that have strong environmental links by analyzing data sets with extensive and well-documented temporal and/or spatial variation.

Specifically, we propose to begin by analyzing two categories of infectious disease. The first is vectorborne disease. Within this category we focus on a mosquito-borne anthroponosis, human malaria, which will be analyzed using data from various sites and sources throughout the world. Time-series analysis and study of spatial patterns among cases and environmental variables will be undertaken using standard time-series and GIS-based statistical models. An attempt will be made to test hypotheses that are based in the biology of vectors, human ecological relations with the environment and each other, and climatic factors that influence transmission.

Diarrheal diseases comprise the second category. Evolutionary theory and empirical studies indicate that diarrheal diseases evolve increased or decreased virulence in response to environmental changes. Specifically, this work suggests that when waterborne routes of transmission are available natural selection will favor highly exploitative pathogen variants because in such environments the costs of exploitation are low. Even ill, immobilized hosts can act as sources of infection because fecal material is removed and washed in water that can contaminate supplies of drinking water. Conversely, if safe water supplies are provisioned, we predict pathogens to evolve toward benignity. There exists a great amount of data in the literature and in unpublished records (e.g., located in ministry of health archives) that we propose to analyze to test this prediction. Our focus in this second category will be on the bacterial agents of dysentery, because the inherent virulence of these agents varies at the species level, and the frequencies of these species in human populations spans the length of time over which water supplies have been improved (i.e., over the past century).

Worm, Boris Linking marine biodiversity to ecosystem functions and services

Biodiversity, or biological diversity, is the variation of life at all levels of organization, from the level of genetic variation within and among species to the level of variation within and among communities, ecosystems and biomes. It is well-documented how human impacts are changing biodiversity in terrestrial (Wilson 1999) and marine ecosystems (NRC 1995). Historical studies in marine systems have further shown that long-term impacts often lead to the simplification of food webs, as species and species

groups are driven to such low abundances that they become functionally extinct (Jackson et al. 2001, Lotze and Milewski 2002). The general consequences of these trends and changes for ecosystem functions, such as primary and secondary productivity, carbon and nutrient cycling, food and habitat provision are little understood in marine systems. The recent research focus on biodiversity, however, has generated a significant, albeit diffuse body of theory and empirical studies. Without synthesis, it is difficult to communicate to the public and to environmental managers why marine biodiversity matters, what the ecological and economic consequences of species loss and changes in biodiversity are, and how dramatic consequences can be prevented. The proposed working group aims to fill this gap. In addition to quantifying the link between marine biodiversity and ecosystem functioning, we will analyze the potential for the disruption of marine ecosystem services, which are those functions that are vital to our food supply, economies, and human health (see Fig. 1). Finally, we will use the results from our analyses to evaluate the costs and benefits of maintaining the status quo versus implementing significant global conservation measures. In three consecutive workshops we will (1) collate experimental and observational evidence on how marine biodiversity and ecosystem functions are linked in a web-accessible database, (2) conduct and publish a series of meta-analyses to derive generalizations about the effects of species loss, ecological simplification and changes in marine biodiversity on ecosystem functions and services, (3) write a policy paper that translates our results into management strategies.

Zhuang, Qianlai

Toward an adequate quantification of CH4 emissions from land ecosystems: Integrating field and in-situ observations, satellite data, and modeling

Emissions of CH4 from natural and managed land ecosystems account for a significant source of greenhouse gases to the atmosphere. In recent decades, extensive field observations of CH4 emissions and atmospheric CH4 concentrations have been made during a time in which process-based and inversion modeling approaches have matured as powerful tools in estimating regional and global CH4 emissions. However, there are still substantial uncertainties in estimating CH4 exchange with the atmosphere. Much of this uncertainty arises from uncertainties in the extent of wetland distributions and incomplete understanding of the controls and mechanisms of methanogenesis, methanotrophy, and CH4 transport pathways to the atmosphere. Furthermore, there is variability in which factors control CH4 production and consumption in different natural and managed ecosystems. For example, in northern high latitudes, permafrost dynamics significantly influences emissions, while the seasonality of wetland extent is critical in determining emissions from tropical regions. For managed ecosystems (e.g., rice paddies), irrigation and fertilization significantly impact both methanogenesis and methanotrophy. Here we propose a Working Group to make progress in synthesis of CH4 dynamics through three activities: 1) to identify key issues in quantifying CH4 emissions from land ecosystems through conducting comparisons of model and field observations for different geographical locations and ecosystems; 2) to parameterize and extrapolate process-based models at regional and global scales and explore the uncertainty of CH4

emissions; and 3) to couple process-based estimates with inversion modeling approaches to constrain the uncertainty with air-borne, satellite, and in-situ observed datasets and to identify the factors, mechanisms, and controls to the uncertainty of emissions at large-scales.

Zilov, Evgeny

The 60-year data set of plankton dynamics in Lake Baikal: Examining facets of the jewel of Siberia

This international working group will use time-series analysis to analyze a 60-year data set describing the plankton community of Lake Baikal (Siberia) ¿ the deepest, oldest, and most biotically diverse lake on the planet. Due to financial constraints on scientific research in Russia during the post-Soviet era, an extraordinary data set describing the dynamics of the plankton community in Lake Baikal has been greatly underutilized, and it is nearly unknown by the international scientific community. Using this high-resolution data set, we will explore spatial-temporal patterns in plankton dynamics. This work will increase our understanding of ecosystem functioning in one of the world's great lakes, and it will bring the Lake Baikal dataset to international recognition, encouraging future exploitation of this rich scientific resource.

Sabbatical Fellows

5/1/2001 - 7/30/2007

Ashley, Mary 8/1/2001 - 7/31/2002 New analytical methods in molecular ecology

Becerra, Judith 8/1/2001 - 7/31/2002 Landscape coevolution of bursera plants and its herbivores

Brown, Jim 7/1/2007 – 12/31/2007 The scale of Life

Burgman, Mark 7/1/2002 - 6/30/2003 Setting priorities and making decisions for conservation risk management

Condit, Richard 12/9/2005 - 12/8/2007 Geographic distribution of neotropical tree species: Pattern and process

Covich, Alan 9/1/2000 - 8/31/2001 Drought effects on riparian forests and reorganization of nested stream food webs

Crowder, Larry 1/1/2004 - 6/30/2004 Marine conservation: Integrating science and policy

Cunningham, Clifford 9/1/2002 - 6/30/2003 The North Atlantic Project: Comparative ecology of the temperate Northwestern and Northeastern Atlantic benthic communities

Gross, Katherine 11/1/2002 - 6/30/2003 Developing a predictive framework for the maintenance and restoration of native plant diversity in grasslands

Groves, Craig 1/1/2001 - 5/30/2001 Drafting a conservation blueprint: A practitioner's guide to regional planning for biodiversity

Hackett, Edward 8/15/2004 - 8/14/2005 Ecology transformed: Social and intellectual change in ecological research

Harlan, Sharon 8/15/2004 - 8/1/2005 Urban ecology, social inequality, and climate: Building an integrative framework at the neighborhood scale

Harvell, Drew 8/8/2000 - 6/30/2001 The ecology of marine diseases

Hochberg, Michael 7/1/2006 - 8/31/2006; 3/8/2007 - 3/11/2007; 6/1/2007 - 8/31/2007 A life-history theory of animal groups

Kodric-Brown, Astrid 7/1/2007 - 12/31/2007The role of acoustic communication in premating isolation in pupfish Juanes, Francis 8/25/2006 - 5/31/2007 Comparing globally-distributed population of Pomatomus saltatrix: Life histories and fisheries

Kinnaird, Margaret 9/1/2003 - 8/31/2004 Functional relationships of Asian Hornbills in changing forest landscapes

Kitchell, James 10/1/2003 - 4/30/2004 Food web models for aquatic ecosystems

Krivan, Vlastimil 2/1/2005 - 1/31/2006 Merging adaptive behavior and population dynamics

Leavitt, Peter 2/1/2007 - 1/31/2008 Ecological variability of lakes in time: Integrated insights from paleolimnology and longterm ecological research

Lodge, David 8/4/2004 - 6/30/2005 Biology and policy of invasive species

Lovvorn, James 1/5/2006 - 1/4/2007 Physiological limits on realized patch structure and trophic coupling from krill to diving seabirds

Lundberg, Per 9/1/2002 - 8/31/2003 Dynamics of large mammalian herbivores in changing environments: Alternative modeling approaches

Marquet, Pablo 9/1/2006 - 4/30/2007 Power laws in ecology

Marsh, David 11/10/2006 - 8/9/2007 Optimal design of population monitoring programs

Martinez, Neo 12/4/2006 - 12/3/2007 Synthesizing and analyzing complex ecological networks with ecoinformatics

Menzel, Susanne 5/23/2006 - 9/20/2006 A synthetic approach to the science of ecosystem-based management of coastal marine ecosystems (EBM)

Mittelbach, Gary 11/1/2002 - 6/30/2003 Determinants of species diversity at varying spatial scales

Moehlman, Patricia 10/1/2001 - 9/30/2002 The evolution of cooperative breeding in Canidae: Implications for extinction risk

Murdoch, William 4/4/2005 - 9/30/2005 International conservation: Expanding the decision framework

Naiman, Robert 10/1/2001 - 3/31/2002 The ecology and uses of riparian zones

O'Brien, Timothy 9/1/2003 - 8/31/2004 Functional relationships of Asian Hornbills in changing forest landscapes

O'Brien, W. John 1/1/2004 - 6/17/2004 Global change and eutrophication: Modeling of arctic lake ecosystems

Padilla, Dianna 1/17/2005 - 1/16/2006 Non-native species introductions in marine reserves and protected areas

Parrish, Julia 1/1/2004 - 9/30/2004 Marine conservation

Peet, Robert 9/1/2001 - 2/28/2002 Tools for vegetation classification and analysis

Porter, Warren 7/1/2001 - 9/30/2001 Putting physiology on a landscape scale Ritchie, Mark 9/1/2005 - 8/31/2006 Thermodynamics of trophic interactions: Toward a reconciliation of energy and elements

Roberts, David 8/1/2000 - 7/31/2001 Synthesizing the new vegetation ecology

Shachak, Moshe 7/1/2004 - 9/30/2004; 1/28/2005 - 4/7/2005 The role of plants as landscape modulators in controlling biodiversity

Stockwell, David 10/1/2005 - 7/31/2006 Preparation of a book and accompanying CD of programs and data entitled Ecological Niche Modeling: Ecoinformatics in application to biodiversity

Tilman, David 1/1/2001 - 5/15/2001 The causes and consequences of biodiversity and composition

Venable, Larry 8/1/2001 - 7/31/2002 Population and community dynamics of desert annuals

Wiens, John 9/1/2000 - 6/30/2001 A conceptual synthesis of landscape ecology

Wilson, Will 7/1/2002 - 6/30/2003 A broad look at organismal interactions: Linking intraspecific social interactions to an interspecific resource- consumer framework

Postdoctoral Associates

May 1, 2001 – July 31, 2007

Adler,Peter 9/1/2005 - 6/30/2006 Coexistence in a changing environment: Evaluating the role of climatic variability in semiarid plant communities

Allen, Andrew 3/1/2005 - 2/28/2008 The role of temperature in the origin and maintenance of biodiversity

Alroy, John 9/1/1998 - 8/31/2001 Paleoecology of North American mammals: Large-scale patterns and processes

Baskett, Marissa 9/13/2006 - 9/12/2007 Can coral reefs survive climate change?

Borer, Elizabeth 9/1/2003 - 7/16/2004 Synthesizing intraguild predation theory and data

Broitman, Bernardo 1/15/2006 - 11/16/2007 Bottom-up ecosystem-based management of coastal systems: Social drivers of ecological and economic factors in EBM (EBM)

Brooks, Marjorie 1/5/2006 - 1/4/2007 Anthropogenic stressors on aquatic ecosystems: Modeling links between UV radiation, geochemistry, bioaccumulation, and invertebrate communities at a landscape scale

Budden, Amber 5/1/2007 – 4/39/2008 Occurrence of Publication Bias in Ecology

Buston, Peter 10/1/2002 - 9/30/2005 The ecology of hermaphroditic breeding systems

Cadotte, Marc 6/15/2007 - 6/14/2009 Using phylogenetic information to predict the relative importance of equalizing versus stabilizing mechanisms on species coexistence

Chalcraft, David 6/10/2002 - 12/31/2003 A Knowledge Network for Biocomplexity: Building and evaluating a metadata-based framework for integrating heterogeneous scientific data

Cleland, Elsa 8/1/2005 - 7/31/2007 The response of ecological communities to nutrient enrichment: Utilizing meta-analysis and structural equation modeling to disentangle the influences of functional traits and environmental context

Cottenie, Karl 2/1/2003 - 6/15/2005 Local versus regional processes: Integrating space and environment

Cowling, Sharon 9/15/2000 - 9/14/2002 The carbon balance of Eurasia and North America

Cox, Stephen 6/1/2000 - 6/30/2001 A Knowledge Network for Biocomplexity: Building and evaluating a metadata-based framework for integrating heterogeneous scientific data

Davies, Jonathan 2/1/2007 - 1/31/2008 Coexistence, competition, and character evolution in carnivores and primates

de Valpine, Perry 9/1/2000 - 8/31/2001 Analysis of insect population data with structured population models InsectPopulation

Drake, John 6/1/2004 - 6/30/2006 Risk analysis for alien species and emerging infectious diseases

Floeter, Sergio 9/1/2003 - 1/31/2006 Diversity, biogeography and macroecological patterns of Atlantic reef fishes

Franz, Nico 12/15/2003 - 12/16/2005 Postdoctoral training in the management of environmental information

Gergel, Sarah 9/1/2000 - 5/31/2003 Protecting water by conserving land: The importance of spatial arrangement in influencing ecosystem processes

Halpern, Ben 9/1/2003 - 12/15/2004 Predicting community-level responses to disturbance: Implications for reserve design Hurlbert, Allen 9/1/2005 - 8/31/2007 Exploring the Swiss Cheese Effect: The causes and consequences of patchily occupied species ranges

Kappel, Carrie 1/25/2006 - 1/24/2007 A synthetic approach to the science of ecosystem-based management of coastal marine ecosystems (EBM)

Knight, Tiffany 1/5/2004 - 12/3/2004 Beyond hand-pollinations: Linking pollen limitation to plant population biology Postdoctoral Fellowship

Langford, Bill 2/1/2002 - 1/31/2005 Evaluation functions for ecological image segmentation

Luttbeg, Barney 7/1/1999 - 6/30/2002 The Evidence Project: Supporting scientific claims

Lyons, Sara Kate 8/1/2003 - 7/31/2006 Temporal and spatial dimensions of mammalian community structure: Pattern and process

Madin, Josh 9/1/2005 - 9/30/2006 Postdoctoral training in the management of environmental information

McCain, Christy 1/13/2004 - 1/12/2007 Elevational trends in biodiversity: Examining theoretical predictions across taxa

McRae, Brad 11/1/2005 - 10/31/2008 Linking landscape ecology and population genetics using algorithms from circuit theory

Melian, Carlos 2/22/2005 - 2/21/2008 The evolution of behavior and the structure of ecological networks Moe, Jannicke 10/1/2003 - 4/1/2004Stoichiometry and population dynamics of consumer-resource systems: A combined experimental and modelling approach

Moles, Angela 8/25/2003 - 6/26/2004 The radiation of seed mass strategies worldwide

Muller-Landau, Helene 1/1/2002 - 12/31/2003 Landscape-scale variation in forest communities and the distribution of tree life history strategies

Murray, Jill 3/5/2001 - 12/31/2003 Bridging microbial and theoretical ecology to investigate cooperative strategies in bacteria

Orrock, John 6/1/2004 - 6/30/2007 Interactions between mammalian herbivores and associated plant communities

Post, David 9/1/2000 - 8/31/2002 How does turnover time structure affect ecosystem stability? EcosystemStability

Pyke, Chris 10/1/2002 – 12/31/2004 Climate, ecosystems, and land-use: Understanding environmental variability in humandominated landscapes

Regan, Helen 12/4/2000 - 12/3/2002 Developing and testing methods for classifying species conservation status and estimating risk

Richards, Shane 12/5/2000 - 12/4/2001 Spatial ecology of infectious disease

Sandlin, Elizabeth 6/1/2000 - 8/31/2001 A Knowledge Network for Biocomplexity: Building and evaluating a metadata-based framework for integrating heterogeneous scientific data Schultz, Cheryl 3/1/1999 - 6/30/2002 Managing natural areas: How do we select among land management options?

Seabloom, Eric 6/1/1997 - 7/16/2004 Restoration of invaded California grasslands

Shurin, Jonathan 9/1/2000 - 5/31/2003 Detecting species interactions in survey data: New approaches and applications DetectingInteraction

Smith, Melinda 6/17/2002 - 12/31/2003 A Knowledge Network for Biocomplexity: Building and evaluating a metadata-based framework for integrating heterogeneous scientific data

Smith, Jennifer 10/1/2005 - 9/30/2007 Coral reef degradation: Determining the relative role of top-down and bottom-up factors in the global decline of coral reefs

Stephens, Patrick 10/4/2005 - 10/3/2007 The effects of lineage age on the species richness of regional assemblages

Stevens, Richard 12/15/2002 - 12/14/2004 Environmental determinants of biodiversity

Tao, Jing 6/1/2003 - 5/31/2007 Science Environment for Ecological Knowledge (SEEK)

Thompson, Lisa 7/1/1999 - 8/31/2001 Fresh water and environmental change: The ecological consequences of altered hydrological regimes

Torchin, Mark 10/1/2003 - 8/31/2004 Using biological invasions to test predictions of population control by parasites

Towner, Mary

7/1/1999 - 6/30/2002 The Evidence Project: Supporting scientific claims

Urban, Mark 9/15/2006 - 9/14/2007 Interaction traits and metacommunity gene flow

Vazquez, Diego 10/1/2002 - 6/30/2005 Null models for specialization and asymmetry in plant-pollinator systems Diego Vazquez

Vellend, Mark 9/1/2004 - 7/31/2005 Forest plant metapopulations in fragmented and dynamic landscapes: Synthesizing models and data

Verburg, Piet 7/16/2004 - 7/15/2006 Climate forcing of lacustrine energy fluxes

Williams, Jack 6/17/2002 - 8/20/2003 A Knowledge Network for Biocomplexity: Building and evaluating a metadata-based framework for integrating heterogeneous scientific data

Williams, John 9/20/1999 - 9/19/2001 Integrating satellite and pollen data with biogeochemical modeling to reconstruct longterm trends in the productivity and carbon sequestration of terrestrial ecosystems

Williams, Rich 5/1/2003 - 4/30/2005 Science Environment for Ecological Knowledge (SEEK)

Visiting Scientists

May 1, 2001 – July 30, 2007 Short-term visitors who come to work with resident scientists, or take advantage of workshops or other scientific opportunities. This list is comprised of only those individuals who stay in residence for significant interactions.

Amarasekare, Priyanga, University of California, Los Angeles 6/6/2006 - 6/9/2006

Barker, Kristin, NatureServe

6/6/2006 - 4/26/2003

Bonsall, Michael, Imperial College, London 6/6/2006 - 4/1/2004

Busch, Jonah, University of California, Santa Barbara 6/6/2006 - 5/15/2005

Caballero Vazquez, Adan, Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados 6/6/2006 - 2/21/2007

Cressman, Ross, Wilfrid Laurier University 8/12/2005 - 8/15/2005

Deacon, Robert, University of California, Santa Barbara 5/8/2005 - 5/15/2005

DeFries, Ruth, University of Maryland 5/8/2005 - 5/15/2005

Gaichas, Sarah, University of Washington 2/1/2004 - 2/28/2004

Gerber, Leah, Arizona State University 7/24/2006 - 8/18/2006; 7/10/2007 - 8/15/2007

Holmes, Elizabeth, NOAA Fisheries 7/11/2005 - 7/26/2005

Jackson, Stephen, University of Wyoming 5/7/2003 - 5/9/2003

Kareiva, Peter, The Nature Conservancy 5/8/2005 - 5/15/2005

Kinnaird, Margaret 5/8/2005 - 5/15/2005

Koellner, Thomas, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology 11/14/2005 - 11/23/2005

Kondoh, Michio, Ryukoku University 7/27/2007 - 8/31/2007

Kremen, Claire, University of California, Berkeley 5/14/2002 - 5/15/2002

Krivan, Vlastimil, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Biological Research Center 6/6/2006 - 6/9/2006; 3/5/2007 - 3/10/2007

Laney, Christine, New Mexico State University 10/10/2005 - 10/12/2005

Liebhold, Andrew, USDA Forest Service 7/7/2003 - 7/12/2003; 7/12/2005 - 7/15/2005

Lovvorn, James, University of Wyoming 7/1/2007 - 7/31/2007

Moe, Jannicke, University of Oslo 11/23/2004 - 12/4/2004

Moehlman, Patricia, 4/1/2003 - 4/30/2003

Moss, Cynthia, Amboseli Elephant Research Project 10/7/2001 - 10/21/2001

Murdoch, William, University of California, Santa Barbara 5/8/2005 - 5/15/2005

O'Brien, Timothy, -5/8/2005 - 5/15/2005

Polasky, Stephen, University of Minnesota, St. Paul 5/8/2005 - 5/15/2005

Possingham, Hugh, University of Queensland 5/8/2005 - 5/15/2005

Ruger, Nadja, Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research - UFZ 7/25/2007 - 8/25/2007

Sabo, John, Arizona State University 7/11/2005 - 7/26/2005; 7/24/2006 - 8/18/2006; 7/10/2007 - 3/2/2007

Seminet-Reneau, Elizabeth, University of Idaho 2/27/2007 - 3/2/2007

Slayback, Dan, National Aeronautics and Space Administration 2/24/2003 - 2/28/2003

Smith, Felisa, University of New Mexico 5/22/2004 - 5/28/2004

Srivastava, Diane, University of British Columbia 12/14/2004 - 12/17/2004

Sugden, Andrew, Science International 4/26/2004 - 4/28/2004

Swenson, William 2/22/2006 - 2/23/2006

Tague, Christina, San Diego State University 5/20/2003 - 5/22/2003

Talley, Drew, San Francisco Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve 5/7/2006 - 5/10/2006

Ulanowicz, Robert, University of Maryland 1/24/2006 - 1/27/2006

Usio, Nisikawa, Colorado State University 6/1/2001 - 8/31/2001

Verheyen, Kris, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven 11/7/2004 - 11/12/2004

Viscido, Steven 7/11/2005 - 7/26/2005

Vucetich, John, Michigan Technological University 4/21/2003 - 4/25/2003

Zalewski, Marcin, Polish Academy of Sciences 3/9/2007 - 3/14/2007

Publications – Journal Articles, Books and Book Chapters

List of Journal Articles, Books, and Book Chapters for Reporting period May 1, 2001 – July 31,2007

* - Indicates publication was listed in NSF 1995-2001 Report as "Accepted", "In-press", or "Submitted"

*Abrams, Peter A. 1999. The adaptive dynamics of consumer choice. American Naturalist. Vol:153, Pages 83-97.

Abrams, Peter A. 2000. Character shifts of prey species that share predators. American Naturalist. Vol: 156. Pages S45-S61.

Abrams, Peter A. 2001. The effect of density independent mortality on the coexistence of exploitative competitors for renewing resources. American Naturalist. Vol: 158. Pages 459-470.

Abrams, Peter A. 2004. When does periodic variation in resource growth allow robust coexistence of competing consumer species? Ecology. Vol:85. Pages 372-382.

Abrams, Peter A.; Chen, Xin. 2002. The evolution of traits affecting resource acquisition and predator vulnerability: Character displacement under real and apparent competition. American Naturalist. Vol: 160.Pages 692-704.

Abrams, Peter A.; Wilson, Will G. 2004. Coexistence of competitors inmetacommunities due to spatial variation in resource growth rates: DoesR* predict the outcome of competition?. Ecology Letters. Vol: 7. Pages929-940.

Adler, Fred; Muller-Landau, Helene C.. 2005. When do localized natural enemies increase species richness?. Ecology Letters. Vol: 8. Pages 438-447.

Adler, Peter B.; Hille Ris Lambers, Janneke; Kyriakidis, Phaedon; Guan,Qingfeng; Levine, Jonathan M.. 2006. Climate variability has a stabilizing effect on the coexistence of prairie grasses. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Vol: 103(34). Pages 12793-12798.

Adler, Peter B.; Hille Ris Lambers, Janneke; Levine, Jonathan M. 2007. A niche for neutrality. Ecology Letters. Vol: 10. Pages 95-104.

Adler, Peter B.; Levine, Jonathan M. 2007. Contrasting relationships between precipitation and species richness in space and time. Oikos.Vol: 116. Pages 221-232.

Agapow, Paul-Michael; Bininda-Emonds, Olaf R. P.; Crandall, Keith A.;Gittleman, John L.; Mace, Georgina; Marshall, Jonathon G.; Purvis, Andy.2004. The impact of species concept on biodiversity studies. Quarterly Review of Biology. Vol: 79(2). Pages 161-179.

Aguirre, A. A.; Ostfeld, Richard S.; House, C. A.; Tabor, Gary; Pearl, M. 2002. Conservation Medicine: Ecological Health in Practice. Oxford University Press. New York.

Airame, Satie; Dugan, Jenifer E.; Lafferty, Kevin; Leslie, Heather; McArdle, Deborah; Warner, Robert R.. 2003. Applying ecological criteria to marine reserve design: A case study from the California Channel Islands. Ecological Applications (Supplement: The Science of Marine Reserves). Vol: 13(1). Pages S170-S184.

Aizen, Marcelo A.; Harder, L. D.. 2007. Expanding the limits of the pollen-limitation concept: Effects of pollen quantity and quality. Ecology. Vol: 88(2). Pages 271-281.

Akcakaya, H. Resit; Regan, Helen. 2001. Population models: Meta populations. /Edited by / Pastorok, R. A.; Bartell, S. M.; Ferson,S.; Ginzburg, L. R.. Ecological Modeling in Risk Assessment: Chemical Effects on Populations, Ecosystems and Landscapes. Lewis Publishers. Boca Raton, FL. Pages 83-95.

Alcamo, J.; Leemans, Rik; Kreileman, E. 1998. Global Change Scenarios of the 21st Century: Results from the IMAGE 2.1 Model. Elsevier. Oxford.

Alexander, Richard B.; Johnes, Penny; Boyer, Elizabeth W.; Smith, Richard A. 2002. A comparison of models for estimating the river in export of nitrogen from large watersheds. Biogeochemistry. Vol: 57&58.Pages 295-339.

Allen, Andrew P.; Gillooly, James F. 2006. Assessing latitudinal gradients in speciation rates and biodiversity at the global scale. Ecology Letters. Vol: 9. Pages 947-954.

Allen, Andrew P.; Gillooly, James F.; Brown, James H. 2005. Linking the global carbon cycle to individual metabolism. Functional Ecology. Vol: 19. Pages 202-213.

Allen, Andrew P.; Gillooly, James F.; Savage, Van M.; Brown, James H..2006. Kinetic effects of temperature on rates of genetic divergence and speciation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Vol:130(24). Pages 9130-9135.

Allen, Craig R.; Garmestani, A. S.; Havlicek, Tanya; Marquet, Pablo A.; Peterson, Garry; Restrepo, Carla; Stow, Craig; Weeks, B. E.. 2006.Patterns in body mass distributions: Sifting among alternative hypotheses. Ecology Letters. Vol: 9. Pages 630-643.

Allen, Craig R.; Holling, Crawford S.. 2002. Cross-scale structure and scale breaks in ecosystems and other complex systems. Ecosystems (Special feature on Discontinuous Structure in Ecological Systems). Vol:5(4). Pages 315-318.

Allen, Craig R.; Saunders, Denis A.. 2002. Variability between scales: Predictors of nomadism in birds of an Australian Mediterranean-climate ecosystem. Ecosystems (Special feature on Discontinuous Structure in Ecological Systems). Vol: 5(4). Pages 348-359.

Allison, Gary; Gaines, Steven D.; Lubchenco, Jane; Possingham, Hugh P..2003. Ensuring persistence of marine reserves: Catastrophes require adopting an insurance factor. Ecological Applications (Supplement: The Science of Marine Reserves). Vol: 13(1). Pages S8-S24.

Alroy, John. 1999. Putting North America's end-Pleistocene megafaunal extinction in context: Large scale analysis of spatial patterns, extinction rates, and size distributions. /Edited by / MacPhee, Ross D.E.; Sues, Hans-Dieter. Extinctions in Near Time: Causes, Contexts, and Consequences. Plenum. New York. Pages 105-143.

Alroy, John. 1999. The fossil record of North American mammals: Evidence for a Paleocene evolutionary radiation. Systematic Biology.Vol: 48. Pages 107-118.

Alroy, John. 2000. New methods for quantifying macro evolutionary patterns and processes. Paleobiology. Vol: 26(4). Pages 707-733.

Alroy, John. 2000. Successive approximations of diversity curves: Ten more years in the library. Geology. Vol: 28. Pages 1023-1026.

Alroy, John. 2000. Understanding the dynamics of trends within evolving lineages. Paleobiology. Vol: 26(3). Pages 319-329.

Alroy, John. 2001. A multispecies overkill simulation of the end-Pleistocene megafaunal mass extinction. Science. Vol: 292. Pages1893-1896.

Alroy, John. 2002. How many named species are valid? Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Vol: 99. Pages 3706-3711.

Alroy, John. 2002. Stratigraphy in phylogeny reconstruction - reply to Smith (2000). Journal of Paleontology. Vol: 76. Pages 587-589.

Alroy, John. 2003. Cenozoic bolide impacts and biotic change in North American mammals. Astrobiology. Vol: 3. Pages 119-132.

Alroy, John. 2003. Global databases will yield reliable measures of global biodiversity. Paleobiology. Vol: 29(1). Pages 26-29.

Alroy, John. 2003. Taxonomic inflation and body mass distributions in North American mammals. Journal of Mammalogy. Vol: 84(2). Pages 431-443.

Alroy, John. 2004. Are Sepkoski's evolutionary faunas dynamically coherent? Evolutionary Ecology Research. Vol: 6. Pages 1-32.

Alroy, John; Koch, P. L.; Zachos, J.C.. 2001. Global climate change and North American mammalian evolution. /Edited by / Wing, Scott L.; Erwin, Douglas H.. Deep Time: Paleobiology's Perspective. University of Chicago Press. Chicago. Pages 259-288.

Alroy, John; Marshall, Charles R.; Bambach, Richard K.; Bezusko, Karen; Foote,
Michael; Fursich, Franz T.; Hansen, Thor A.; Holland, Steven M; Ivany, Linda;
Jablonski, David; Jacobs, David; Jones, D.C.; Kosnik, Matthew; Lidgard, Scott; Low,
Sofy; Miller, Arnold I.; Novack-Gottshall, P.M.; Olszewski, Tom; Patzkowsky, Mark E.;
Raup, David; Roy, Kaustuv; Sepkoski, J. John; Sommers, Michael; Wagner, Peter J.;
Webber, Andrew J. 2001. Effects of sampling standardization on estimates of
Phanerozoic marine diversification. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.
Vol: 98. Pages 6261-6266.

Altizer, Sonia; Harvell, Drew; Friedle, Elizabeth. 2003. Rapid evolutionary dynamics and disease threats to biodiversity. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. Vol: 18(11). Pages 589-596.

Altizer, Sonia; Nunn, Charles L.; Thrall, Peter; Gittleman, John L.; Antonovics, Janis; Cunningham, Andrew A.; Dobson, Andrew P.; Ezenwa, Vanessa; Jones, Kate; Pedersen, Amy; Poss, Mary; Pulliam, Juliet. 2003. Social organization and parasite risk in mammals: Integrating theory and empirical studies. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics. Vol: 34. Pages 517-547.

* Amarasekare, Priyanga. 2000. Coexistence of competing parasitoids on a patchily distributed host: Local vs. spatial mechanisms. Ecology. Vol:81. Pages 1286-1296.

* Amarasekare, Priyanga. 2000. Spatial dynamics in ahost-multiparasitoid. Journal of Animal Ecology. Vol: 69. Pages 201-213.

Amarasekare, Priyanga. 2000. The geometry of coexistence. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society. Vol: 71. Pages 1-31.

Amarasekare, Priyanga; Hoopes, Martha F.; Mouquet, Nicolas; Holyoak, Marcel. 2004. Mechanisms of coexistence in competitive metacommunities. American Naturalist. Vol: 164. Pages 310-326.

Amarasekare, Priyanga; Nisbet, Roger M. 2001. Spatial heterogeneity, source-sink dynamics, and the local coexistence of competing species. American Naturalist. Vol: 158. Pages 572-584.

Amarasekare, Priyanga; Possingham, Hugh P. 2001. Patch dynamics and metapopulation theory: The case of successional species. Journal of Theoretical Biology. Vol: 209. Pages 333-344.

Andelman, Sandy J.; Bowles, Christy; Willig, Michael R.; Waide, Robert B. 2004. Understanding environmental complexity through a distributed knowledge network. BioScience. Vol: 54(3). Pages 240-246.

* Andelman, Sandy J.; Fagan, William F.. 2000. Umbrellas and flagships: Efficient conservation surrogates or expensive mistakes? Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Vol: 97. Pages 5954-5959.

Andelman, Sandy J.; Regan, Helen; Groves, Craig. 2004. A review of protocols for selecting species at risk in the context of U.S. Forest Service viability assessments. Acta Oecologica. Vol: 26. Pages 75-83.

Andelman, Sandy J.; Willig, Michael R.. 2002. Alternative configurations of conservation reserves for Paraguayan bats: Consideration of spatial scale. Conservation Biology. Vol: 16. Pages1352-1363.

Andelman, Sandy J.; Willig, Michael R. 2003. Present patterns and future prospects for biodiversity in the Western Hemisphere. Ecology Letters. Vol: 6. Pages 818-824.

Andelman, Sandy J.; Willig, Michael R. 2004. Networks by design: A revolution in ecology. Science. Vol: 305. Pages 1565-1567.

Anderson, Kristina J.; Allen, Andrew P.; Gillooly, James F.; Brown, James H. 2006. Temperature-dependence of biomass accumulation rates during secondary succession. Ecology Letters. Vol: 9. Pages 673-682.

Antonovics, Janis; Thrall, Peter; Jarosz, Andrew M. 1997. Genetics and the spatial ecology of species interactions. /Edited by / Tilman, David; Kareiva, Peter. Spatial Ecology: The Role of Space in Population Dynamics and Interspecific Interactions. Princeton University Press. Princeton. Pages 158-180.

Armsworth, Paul R.; Kendall, Bruce E.; Davis, Frank W.. 2004. An introduction to biodiversity concepts for environmental economists. Resource and Energy Economics (Special issue on the economics of biodiversity). Vol: 26(2). Pages 115-136.

Armsworth, Paul R.; Roughgarden, Joan. 2001. An invitation to ecological economics. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. Vol: 16. Pages229-234.

Arneberg, Per. 2001. An ecological law and its macroecological consequences as revealed by studies of relationships between host densities and parasite prevalence. Ecography. Vol: 24. Pages 352-358.

Arneberg, Per. 2002. Host population density and body mass as determinants of species richness in parasite communities: Comparative analyses of directly transmitted nematodes of mammals. Ecography. Vol:25. Pages 88-94.

Ashley, Mary V.; Wilk, J. A.; Styan, S. M.; Craft, K. J.; Jones, Kate; Feldheim, K. A.; Lewers, K. S.; Ashman, Tia-Lynn. 2003. High variability and disomic segregation of microsatellites in the octaploid Fragariavirginiana Mill (Rosaceae). Theoretical and Applied Genetics. Vol:107(7). Pages 1201-1207.

Ashley, Mary V.; Willson, Mary F.; Pergams, Oliver R.W.; O'Dowd, Dennis J.; Gende, Scott M.; Brown, Joel. 2003. Evolutionarily enlightened management. Biological Conservation. Vol: 111. Pages 115-123.

Ashman, Tia-Lynn; Knight, Tiffany; Steets, Janette; Amarasekare, Priyanga; Burd, Martin; Campbell, Diane; Dudash, Michele R.; Johnston, Mark O.; Mazer, Susan J.; Mitchell, Randall; Morgan, Martin; Wilson, Will G. 2004. Pollen limitation of plant reproduction: Ecological and evolutionary causes and consequences. Ecology. Vol: 85(9). Pages 2408-2421.

Ashman, Tia-Lynn; Morgan, Martin. 2004. Explaining phenotypic selection on plant attractive characters: Male function, gender balance or ecological context?. Proceedings of The Royal Society: Biological Sciences. Vol: 271(1539). Pages 553-559.

Austerlitz, Frederic; Dick, Christopher W.; Dutech, Cyril; Klein, Estienne K.; Oddou-Muratorio, Sylvie; Smouse, Peter; Sork, Victoria L..2004. Using genetic markers to estimate the pollen dispersal curve. Molecular Ecology. Vol: 13. Pages 937-954.

Baillie, J.; Bennun, L.; Brooks, Thomas; Butchart, S.H. M.; Chanson, Janice S.; Cokeliss,
Z.; Hilton-Taylor, C.; Hoffmann, M.; Mace, Georgina; Mainka, S. A.; Pollock, C. M.;
Rodrigues, Ana S. L.; Stattersfield, Ali; Stuart, Simon. 2004. A Global Species
Assessment. IUCN - The World Conservation Union. Gland, Switzerland.

Baldocchi, Dennis; Kelliher, Francis M.; Black, T. A.; Jarvis, P..2000. Climate and vegetation controls on boreal zone energy exchange. Global Change Biology. Vol: 6(S1). Pages 69-83.

Balser, Teri; Kinzig, Ann P.; Firestone, Mary. 2002. Linking soil microbial communities and ecosystem functioning. /Edited by / Kinzig, A.; Pacala, S.; Tilman, D.. Functional Consequences of Biodiversity: Empirical Progress and Theoretical Extensions. Princeton University Press. Princeton. Pages 265-293.

Bartell, S. M.; Pastorok, R. A.; Akcakaya, H. Resit; Regan, Helen; Ferson, Scott; Mackay, C.. 2003. Realism and relevance of ecological models used in chemical risk assessment. Human and Ecological Risk Assessment. Vol: 9. Pages 907-938.

Barton, A. D.; Greene, Charles H.; Monger, Bruce; Pershing, Andrew J..2003. The Continuous Plankton Recorder survey and the North Atlantic Oscillation: Interannual- to multidecadal-scale patterns of phytoplankton variability in the North Atlantic Ocean. Progress in Oceanography. Vol: 58(2). Pages 337-358.

Bascompte, Jordi. 2001. Aggregate statistical measures and metapopulation dynamics. Journal of Theoretical Biology. Vol: 209. Pages373-379.

Bascompte, Jordi; Melian, Carlos J.; Sala, Enric. 2005. Interaction strength combinations and the overfishing of a marine food web. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Vol: 102(15). Pages5443-5447.

Bascompte, Jordi; Possingham, Hugh P.; Roughgarden, Joan. 2002. Patchy populations in stochastic environments: Critical number of patches for persistence. American Naturalist. Vol: 159(2). Pages 128-137.

Bascompte, Jordi; Rodriguez, Miguel A. 2001. Habitat patchiness and plant species richness. Ecology Letters. Vol: 4. Pages 417-420.

*Bascompte, Jordi; Sole, Ricard V. 1998. Effects of habitat destruction in a preypredator metapopulation model. Journal of Theoretical Biology. Vol: 195. Pages 383-393.

Bashkin, Vladimir; Park, S. U.; Choi, M. S.; Less, C. B. 2002. Nitrogen budgets for the Republic of Korea and the Yellow Sea region. Biogeochemistry. Vol: 57&58. Pages 387-403.

Bastviken, David; Cole, Jonathan J.; Pace, Michael; Tranvik, Lars.2004. Methane emissions from lakes: Dependence of lake characteristics, two regional assessments, and a global estimate. Global Biogeochemical Cycles. Vol: 18. Pages 10.1029/2004GB002238.

Becerra, Judith X. 2003. Evolution of Mexican Bursera (Burseraceae) inferred from ITS, ETS, and 5S nuclear ribosomal DNA sequences. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution. Vol: 26. Pages 300-309.

Becerra, Judith X. 2003. Synchronous coadaptation in an ancient case of herbivory. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Vol:100(22). Pages 12804-12807. Online versionhttp://eebweb.arizona.edu/Faculty/Becerra/pubs/pnas2.pdf

Becerra, Judith X.. 2004. Molecular systematics of Blepharida beetles(Chrysomelidae: Alticinae) and relatives. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution. Vol: 30(1). Pages 107-117. Online versionhttp://eebweb.arizona.edu/Faculty/Becerra/pubs/MPE2.pdf>

Beck, Michael W.; Heck, Kenneth L.; Able, Kenneth; Childers, Dan L.;Eggleston, David B.; Gillanders, Bronwyn; Halpern, Ben; Hays, Cynthia; Hoshino, Kaho; Minello, Thomas; Orth, Robert J.; Sheridan, Pete; Weinstein, Michael. 2001. The identification, conservation and management of estuarine and marine nurseries for fish and invertebrates. BioScience. Vol: 51. Pages 633-641.

Beck, Michael W.; Heck, Kenneth L.; Able, Kenneth; Childers, Dan L.; Eggleston, David B.; Gillanders, Bronwyn; Halpern, Ben; Hays, Cynthia; Hoshino, Kaho; Minello, Thomas; Orth, Robert J.; Sheridan, Pete; Weinstein, Michael. 2003. The role of near shore ecosystems as fish and shellfish nurseries. Issues in Ecology. Vol: 11. Pages 1-12.

Beighley, Edward; Moglen, Glenn E. 2002. Trend assessment in rainfall-runoff behavior in urbanizing watersheds. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering. Vol: 7(1). Pages 27-34.

Bekoff, Marc. 2000. Redecorating nature: Deep science, holism, feeling, and heart. Bioscience. Vol: 50. Pages 635.

Benda, Lee; Poff, N. LeRoy; Tague, Christina; Palmer, Margaret A.; Pizzuto, James E.; Cooper, Scott D.; Stanley, Emily H.; Moglen, Glenn E. 2002. How to avoid train wrecks when using science in environmental problem solving. BioScience. Vol: 52. Pages 1127-1136.

*Benkman, C. W., The selection mosaic and diversifying co-evolution between crossbills and lodgepole pine. American Naturalists, Vol:153, S75-S91.

Bernhardt, Emily; Palmer, Margaret A.; Allan, J. David; Alexander, Gretchen; Barnas, K.; Brooks, Shane; Carr, Jamie; Clayton, Steve; Dahm, Cliff; Follstad-Shah, Jennifer; Galat, David L.; Gloss, Steven P.; Goodwin, Peter; Hart, David; Hassett, Brooke; Jenkinson, Robin; Katz, Stephen L.; Kondolf, G. Mathias; Lake, P. S.; Lave, Rebecca; Meyer, Judy; O'Donnell, T. Kevin; Pagano, Laura; Powell, Bruce; Sudduth, Elizabeth. 2005. Synthesizing U.S. river restoration efforts. Science. Vol: 308(5722). Pages 636-637.

Bininda-Emonds, Olaf R. P.; Gittleman, John L.; Steel, M. A.: 2002. The (super) tree of life: Procedures, problems, and prospects. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics. Vol: 33. Pages 265-289.

Bininda-Emonds, Olaf R. P.; Jones, Kate; Price, Sam; Grenyer, Richard; Cardillo, Marcel; Habib, Mike; Purvis, Andy; Gittleman, John L. 2003. Supertrees are a necessary not-so-evil: A comment on Gatesy et al. Systematic Biology. Vol: 52. Pages 724-729.

Bininda-Emonds, Olaf R. P.; Vazquez, Diego; Manne, Lisa L. 2000. The calculus of biodiversity: Integrating phylogeny and conservation. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. Vol: 15. Pages 92-94.

Birkland, Thomas; Burby, Ray; Conrad, David; Cortner, Hanna J.; Michener, William K.. 2003. River ecology and flood hazard mitigation. Natural Hazards Review. Vol: 4(1). Pages 46-54.

Bjornstad, Ottar N.. 2000. Cycles and synchrony: Two historical 'experiments' and one experience. Journal of Animal Ecology. Vol: 69.Pages 869-873.

Bjornstad, Ottar N.; Bascompte, Jordi. 2001. Synchrony and second order spatial correlation in host-parasitoid systems. Journal of Animal Ecology. Vol: 70. Pages 924-933.

Bjornstad, Ottar N.; Bolker, Ben. 2000. Canonical functions for dispersal-induced synchrony. Proceedings of The Royal Society: Biological Sciences. Vol: 267. Pages 1787-1794.

* Bjornstad, Ottar N.; Falck, W.. 2001. Nonparametric spatial covariance functions: Estimation and testing. Environmental and Ecological Statistics. Vol: 8. Pages 53-70.

* Bjornstad, Ottar N.; Fromentin, J.; Stenseth, Nils; Gjosaeter, J..1999. A new test for density-dependent survival: The case of coastal cod populations. Ecology. Vol: 80. Pages 1278-1288.

* Bjornstad, Ottar N.; Fromentin, J.; Stenseth, Nils; Gjosaeter, J..1999. Cycles and trends in cod population. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Vol: 96. Pages 5066-5071.

Bjornstad, Ottar N.; Peltonen, Mikko; Liebhold, Andrew S.; Baltensweiler, W. 2002. Waves of Larch Budmoth outbreaks in the European Alps. Science. Vol: 289. Pages 1020-1023.

Bjornstad, Ottar N.; Sait, S. M.; Thompson, D. J.; Begon, M. 2001. The impact of specialized enemies on the dimensionality of host dynamics. Nature. Vol: 409. Pages 1001-1006.

* Bjornstad, Ottar N.; Stenseth, Nils; Saitoh, T.. 1999. Synchrony and scaling in of voles and mice in northern Japan. Ecology. Vol:80. Pages 622-637.

Blackburn, Tim M.; Gaston, Kevin J.. 2005. Biological invasions and the loss of birds on islands: Insights into the idiosyncrasies of extinction. /Edited by / Sax, Dov F.; Stachowicz, John J.; Gaines, Steven D.. Species Invasions: Insights into Ecology, Evolution, and Biogeography. Sinauer Associates, Inc.. Sunderland, Massachusetts. Pages85-110.

Blakeway, David; Robles, Carlos; Fuentes, D.; Qiu, Hong-lie. 2003. Spatially extensive, high resolution images of rocky shore communities./Edited by / Seuront, L.; Strutton, P..

Handbook of Scaling Methods in Aquatic Ecology: Measurement, Analysis, Simulation. CRC Press. Boca Raton, Fl. Pages 109-124.

Boersma, Dee. 1999. Society for Conservation Biology to conduct national review of recovery plans. Society for Conservation Biology Newsletter. Vol: 6. Pages 12.

Boersma, Dee; DeWeerdt, S. 2001. Tapping the ivory tower: How academic-agency partnerships can advance conservation. Conservation Biology in Practice. Vol: 2. Pages 28-32.

Boersma, Dee; Kareiva, Peter; Fagan, William F.; Clark, James S.; Hoekstra, Jonathan. 2001. How good are endangered species recovery plans?. BioScience. Vol: 51. Pages 643-649.

Bohannan, Brendan. 2006. Patterns in microbial biodiversity. /Edited by/ Lappin-Scott, H.. Prokaryotic Diversity: Mechanisms and Significance. Society for General Microbiology. Reading, UK.

Bolker, Ben; Holyoak, Marcel; Krivan, Vlastimil; Rowe, Locke; Schmitz, Oswald J.. 2003. Connecting theoretical and empirical studies of trait-mediated interactions. Ecology. Vol: 84(5). Pages 1101-1114.

Bolker, Ben; St. Mary, Colette; Osenberg, Craig W.; Schmitt, Russell; Holbrook, Sally J.. 2002. Management at a different scale: Marine ornamentals and local processes. Bulletin of Marine Science. Vol: 70(2).Pages 733-748.

Borer, Elizabeth T.; Anderson, Kurt E.; Blanchette, Carol A.; Broitman, Bernardo R.; Cooper, Scott D.; Halpern, Ben; Seabloom, Eric; Shurin, Jonathan B. 2002. Topological approaches to food web analyses: A few modifications may improve our insights. Oikos. Vol: 99. Pages 397-401.

Borer, Elizabeth T.; Hosseini, Parviez R.; Seabloom, Eric; Dobson, Andrew P. 2007. Pathogen-induced reversal of native dominance in a grassland community. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Vol: 104(13). Pages 5473-5478.

Borer, Elizabeth T.; Seabloom, Eric; Shurin, Jonathan B.; Anderson, Kurt E.; Blanchette, Carol A.; Broitman, Bernardo R.; Cooper, Scott D.; Halpern, Ben. 2005. What determines the strength of a trophic cascade?. Ecology. Vol: 86. Pages 528-537.

Botsford, Louis W.; Hastings, Alan; Gaines, Steven D.. 2001. Dependence of sustainability on the configuration of marine reserves and larval dispersal distance. Ecology Letters. Vol: 4(2). Pages 144-150.

Botsford, Louis W.; Kaplan, David; Hastings, Alan. 2004. Sustainability and yield in marine reserve policy. American Fisheries Society Symposium. Pages 1-12.

Botsford, Louis W.; Micheli, Fiorenza; Hastings, Alan. 2003. Principles for the design of marine reserves. Ecological Applications (Supplement: The Science of Marine Reserves). Vol: 13(1). Pages S25-S31.

Botsford, Louis W.; Morgan, Lance; Lockwood, Dale; Wilen, James. 1999.Marine reserves and management of the northern California red sea urchin fishery. CalCOFI Reports. Vol: 40. Pages 87-93.

Boumans, Roelof M.; Costanza, Robert; Farley, Joshua; Wilson, Matthew A.; Rotmans, Jan; Villa, Ferdinando; Portela, Rosimeiry; Grasso, Monica.2002. Modeling the dynamics of the Integrated Earth System and the Value of Global Ecosystem Services using the GUMBO Model. Ecological Economics. Vol: 41(3). Pages 529-560.

Bowen, G.; Clyde, William C.; Koch, P. L.; Ting, S.; Alroy, John; Tsubamoto, T.; Wang, Yuanqing; Wang, Yuan. 2002. Mammalian dispersal at the Paleocene/Eocene boundary. Science. Vol: 295(5562). Pages 2062-2065.

Boyce, Mark; Haridas, Chirakkal V.; Lee, Charlotte. 2006. Demography in an increasingly variable world. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. Vol:21(3). Pages 141-148.

Boyer, Elizabeth W.; Dent, Lisa. 2000. Book Review: Towards an integration of hydrology and ecosystem ecology at regional scales: Integrating hydrology, ecosystem dynamics, and biogeochemistry in complex landscapes. Hydrological Processes. Vol: 14(14). Pages 2613-2615.

Boyer, Elizabeth W.; Goodale, Christine; Jaworski, Norbert; Howarth, Robert W.. 2002. Anthropogenic nitrogen sources and relationships to riverine nitrogen export in the northeastern USA. Biogeochemistry. Vol:57&58. Pages 137-169.

Boyer, Elizabeth W.; Howarth, Robert W.. 2002. The Nitrogen Cycle at Regional to Global Scales: Report of the International SCOPE Nitrogen Project. Kluwer Academic Publishers. Dordrecht. Pages 519.

* Bradford, Mike; Myers, Ransom A.; Irvine, J. R. 2000. Reference points for coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) harvest rates and escapement goals based on freshwater production. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. Vol: 57. Pages 677-686.

Bradshaw, Gay A.; Bekoff, Marc. 2000. Integrating humans and nature: Reconciling the boundaries of science and society. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. Vol: 15. Pages 309-310.

Bradshaw, Gay A.; Bekoff, Marc. 2001. Ecology and social responsibility: The reembodiment of science. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. Vol: 16(8). Pages 460-465.

Bradshaw, Gay A.; Borchers, J. 2000. Uncertainty as information: Narrowing the science-policy gap. Conservation Ecology. Vol: 4(1). Pages 7.

Bradshaw, Gay A.; Marquet, Pablo A.. 2002. How Landscapes Change: Human Disturbance and Ecosystems Fragmentation in the Americas. Springer-Verlag. Berlin.

Briggs, Cheryl J.; Borer, Elizabeth T. 2005. Why short-term experiments may not allow long-term predictions about intraguild predation. Ecological Applications. Vol: 15(4). Pages 1111-1117.

Brigham, Christy; Power, Alison G.; Hunter, Alison. 2002. Evaluating the internal consistency of recovery plans for federally endangered species. Ecological Applications. Vol: 12. Pages 648-654.

Brock, William; Xepapadeas, A. 2004. Management of interacting species: Regulation under nonlinearities and hysteresis. Resource and Energy Economics (Special issue on the economics of biodiversity). Vol:26(2). Pages 137-156.

Bronstein, Judith L.; Wilson, Will G.; Morris, William F. 2003. Ecological dynamics of mutualist/antagonist communities. American Naturalist. Vol: 162. Pages S24-S39.

Brooker, Robin; Kikvidze, Zaal; Pugnaire, Francisco; Callaway, Ragan; Choler, Philippe; Lortie, Christopher J.; Michalet, Richard. 2005. The importance of importance. Oikos. Vol: 109. Pages 63-70.

Brooks, Thomas; Bakarr, Mohamed; Boucher, Tim; da Fonseca, Gustavo A.B.; Hilton-Taylor, C.; Hoekstra, Jonathan; Moritz, Tom; Olivieri, Silvio; Parrish, Jeff; Pressey, Robert; Rodrigues, Ana S. L.; Sechrest, Wes; Stattersfield, Ali; Strahm, Wendy; Stuart, Simon. 2004. Coverage provided by the global protected-area system: Is it enough? BioScience. Vol: 54(12). Pages 1081-1091.

Brown, James H.; Bedrick, Edward J.; Ernest, S. K. Morgan; Cartron, Jean-Luc E.; Kelly, Jeffrey F. 2004. Constraints on negative relationships: Mathematical causes and ecological consequences. /Edited by / Taper, M. L.; Lele, S. R.. The Nature of Scientific Evidence: Statistical, Philosophical, and Empirical Considerations. University of Chicago Press. Chicago. Pages 298–323.

Brown, James H.; Ernest, S. K. Morgan; Parody, Jennifer M.; Haskell, John P. 2001. Regulation of diversity: Maintenance of species richness in changing environments. Oecologia. Vol: 126(3). Pages 321-332.

Brown, James H.; Gillooly, James F.; West, G. B.; Savage, Van M.. 2003. The next step in macroecology: From general empirical patterns to universal ecological laws. /Edited by / Blackburn, T. M.; Gaston, K. J.. Macroecology: Concepts and Consequences. Blackwell Science. Oxford. Pages 408-423.

Brown, James H.; Gupta, V. K.; Li, B-L.; Milne, Bruce T.; Restrepo, Carla; West, G. B.. 2002. The fractal nature of nature: Power laws, ecological complexity, and biodiversity.
Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences. Vol: 357. Pages619-626.

Brown, James H.; Kelt, D. A.; Fox, B. J.. 2002. Assembly rules and competition in desert rodents. American Naturalist. Vol: 160. Pages815-818.

Bruno, John F.; Fridley, Jason; Bromberg, Keryn D.; Bertness, Mark D..2005. Insights into biotic interactions from studies of species invasions. /Edited by / Sax, Dov F.; Stachowicz, John J.; Gaines, Steven D. Species Invasions: Insights into Ecology, Evolution, and Biogeography. Sinauer Associates, Inc.. Sunderland, Massachusetts. Pages13-40.

Buonaccorsi, John P.; Elkinton, Joseph; Koenig, Walter; Duncan, Richard; Kelly, Dave; Sork, Victoria L. 2003. Measuring mast seeding behavior: Relationships among population variation, individual variation and synchrony. Journal of Theoretical Biology. Vol: 224(1). Pages 107-114.

* Burdon, Jeremy J.; Thrall, Peter. 1999. Spatial and temporal patterns in coevolving plant and pathogen associations. American Naturalist. Vol:153. Pages S15-S33.

Burgman, Mark. 2002. Are listed threatened plant species actually at risk?. Australian Journal of Botany. Vol: 50. Pages 1-13.

Burgman, Mark. 2004. Evaluating methods for assessing extinction risk. Acta Oecologica. Vol: 26. Pages 65-66.

Burgman, Mark. 2005. Risks and Decisions for Conservation and Environmental Management. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, UK.

Burgman, Mark; Fox, Charles. 2003. Bias in species range estimates from minimum convex polygons: Implications for conservation and options for improved planning. Animal Conservation. Vol: 6. Pages 19-28.

Burgman, Mark; Possingham, Hugh P.; Lynch, A. Jasmyn J.; Keith, David A.; McCarthy, Mick; Hopper, S. D.; Drury, W. L.; Passioura, J. A.; DeFries, Ruth. 2001. A method for setting the size of plant conservation target areas. Conservation Biology. Vol: 15. Pages 603-616.

Burgman, Mark; Regan, Helen; Ben-Haim, Yakov. 2004. Population viability analysis and robust decisions for management: Combining population models with decision theory. Sustainable Use and Conservation of Biological Diversity: A Challenge for Society. Proceedings of the International Symposium. 1-4 December 2003. Federal Ministry of Education and Research. Bonn, Germany. Pages 64-65.

* Burton, Rebecca; Reichman, O. J.. 1999. Does immune challenge affect torpor duration?. Functional Ecology. Vol: 13. Pages 232-237.

Bush, Andrew M.; Bambach, Richard K.. 2004. Did alpha diversity increase through the Phanerozoic? Lifting the veils of taphonomic, latitudinal, and environmental biases. Journal of Geology. Vol: 112.Pages 625-642.

Bush, Andrew M.; Markey, M. J.; Marshall, Charles R. 2004. Removing bias from diversity curves: The effects of spatially organized biodiversity on sampling-standardization. Paleobiology. Vol: 30. Pages666-686.

Buston, Peter M. 2003. Forcible eviction and prevention of recruitment in the clown anemone fish. Behavioral Ecology. Vol: 14. Pages 576-582.

Buston, Peter M. 2003. Mortality is associated with social rank in the clown anemone fish (Amphiprion percula). Marine Biology. Vol: 143(4).Pages 811-815.

Buston, Peter M. 2003. Social hierarchies: Size and growth modification in clownfish. Nature. Vol: 424. Pages 145-146.

Buston, Peter M. 2004. Does the presence of non-breeders enhance the fitness of breeders? An experimental analysis in the clown anemone fish Amphiprion percula. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology. Vol: 57. Pages23-31.

Buston, Peter M. 2004. Territory inheritance in clownfish. Proceedings of the Royal Society: Biological Sciences. Vol: 271. Pages S252-S254.

Buston, Peter M.; Emlen, S. T. 2003. Cognitive processes underlying human mate choice: The relationship between self-perception and mate preference in western society. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Vol: 100. Pages 8805-8810.

Buston, Peter M.; Munday, Philip L.; Warner, Robert R. 2004.Evolutionary biology: Sex change and relative body size in animals. Nature. Vol: 428. Pages 1.

Byers, James E.; Cuddington, Kim; Jones, Clive G.; Talley, Theresa; Hastings, Alan; Lambrinos, John; Crooks, Jeff; Wilson, Will G. 2006.Using ecosystem engineers to restore ecological systems. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. Vol: 21(9). Pages 493-500.

Byers, James E.; Goldwasser, Lloyd. 2001. Exposing the mechanism and timing of impact of non-indigenous species on native species. Ecology.Vol: 82. Pages 1330-1343.

Byers, James E.; Reichard, Sarah; Randall, John; Parker, Ingrid M.; Smith, Carey; Lonsdale, Mark; Atkinson, Ian A. E.; Seastedt, Tim; Williamson, Mark; Chornesky, Liz; Hayes, Deborah C.. 2002. Directing research to reduce the impacts of non-indigenous species. Conservation Biology. Vol: 16(3). Pages 630-640. Callaway, Ragan; Brooker, Robin; Choler, Philippe; Kikvidze, Zaal;Lortie, Christopher J.; Michalet, Richard; Paolini, Leo; Pugnaire, Francisco; Newingham, Beth; Aschehoug, Erik T.; Armas, Cristina; Kikodze, David; Cook, Brad J. 2002. Positive interactions among alpine plants increase with stress. Nature. Vol: 417. Pages 844-848.

Callaway, Ragan; Kikodze, David; Chiboshvili, M.; Khetsuriani, L..2005. Unpalatable plants protect neighbors from grazing and increase plant community diversity. Ecology. Vol: 86(7). Pages 1856-1862.

Callaway, Ragan; Pennings, Steven C.; Richards, C. L. 2003. Phenotypic plasticity and interactions among plants. Ecology. Vol: 84. Pages1115-1128.

Campbell, Steven P.; Clark, Alan; Crampton, L.; Guerry, Anne D.; Hatch, Leila; Hosseini, Parviez R.; Lawler, Joshua J.; O'Connor, Raymond J..2002. An assessment of monitoring efforts in endangered species recovery plans. Ecological Applications. Vol: 12. Pages 674-681.

Cane, James H. 2001. Habitat fragmentation and native bees: A premature verdict? Conservation Ecology. Vol: 5(1). Pages 3.

Cane, James H.; Tepedino, Vince. 2001. Causes and extent of declines among native North American invertebrate pollinators: Detection, evidence, and consequences. Conservation Ecology. Vol: 5(1). Pages 1.Online version http://www.consecol.org/vol5/iss1/art1>

* Cantrell, S.; Cosner, C.; Fagan, William F. 1999. Competitive reversals inside ecological reserves: The role of external habitat degradation. Journal of Mathematical Biology. Vol: 37. Pages 491-533.

Carpenter, Steve R.; Cottingham, Kathryn L. 1997. Resilience and restoration of lakes. Conservation Ecology. Vol: 1(1). Pages 2.

Carr, Mark H.; Neigel, Joseph E.; Estes, James; Andelman, Sandy J.; Warner, Robert R.; Largier, John L. 2003. Comparing marine and terrestrial ecosystems: Implications for the design of coastal marine reserves. Ecological Applications (Supplement: The Science of Marine Reserves). Vol: 13(1). Pages S90-S107.

Cary, Geoff; Keane, Robert; Gardner, Robert H.; Lavorel, Sandra; Flannigan, Michael; Davies, Ian; Li, Chao; Lenihan, Jim; Rupp, Scott; Mouillot, Florent. 2006. Comparison of the sensitivity of landscape-fire-succession models to variation in terrain, fuel pattern, climate and weather. Landscape Ecology. Vol: 21. Pages 121-137.

Case, Ted; Holt, Robert D.; McPeek, Mark A.; Keitt, Timothy H. 2005. The community context of species' borders: Ecological and evolutionary perspectives. Oikos. Vol: 108. Pages 28-46.

* Case, Ted; Taper, Mark L. 2000. Interspecific competition, environmental gradients, gene flow, and the co-evolution of species borders. American Naturalist. Vol: 155. Pages 583-605.

Cassey, Phillip. 2005. Insights into ecology. /Edited by / Sax, Dov F.; Stachowicz, John J.; Gaines, Steven D.. Species Invasions: Insights into Ecology, Evolution, and Biogeography. Sinauer Associates, Inc.. Sunderland, Massachusetts. Pages 9-12.

Cassey, Phillip; Blackburn, Tim M.; Jones, Kate; Lockwood, Julie. 2004.Mistakes in the analysis of exotic species establishment: Source pool designation and correlates of introduction success among parrots (Aves: Psittaciformes) of the world. Journal of Biogeography. Vol: 31(2). Pages277-284.

Caswell, Hal; Lensink, Rob; Neubert, Michael G. 2003. Demography and dispersal: Life table response experiments for invasion speed. Ecology. Vol: 84. Pages 1968-1978.

Cattadori, Isabella; Boag, B.; Bjornstad, Ottar N.; Cornell, S. J.; Hudson, Peter J. 2005. Peak shift and epidemiology in a seasonal host-nematode system. Proceedings of the Royal Society: Biological Sciences. Vol: 272. Pages 1163-1169.

Cavender-Bares, Jeannine M.; Ackerly, David D.; Baum, D. A.; Bazzaz, Fakhri A.. 2004. Phylogenetic over dispersion of floridian oak communities. American Naturalist. Vol: 163. Pages 823-843.

Cavender-Bares, Jeannine M.; Wilczek, Amity. 2003. Integrating micro-and macroevolutionary processes in community ecology. Ecology. Vol: 84.Pages 592-597.

Chalcraft, David R.; Resetarits, W. J.. 2003. Mapping functional similarity of predators on the basis of trait similarities. American Naturalist. Vol: 162(4). Pages 390-402.

Chalcraft, David R.; Resetarits, W. J.. 2003. Predator identity and ecological impacts: Functional redundancy or functional diversity?. Ecology. Vol: 84(9). Pages 2407-2418.

Chalcraft, David R.; Resetarits, W. J.. 2004. Metabolic rate models and the substitutability of predator populations. Journal of Animal Ecology.Vol: 73. Pages 323-332.

Chalcraft, David R.; Williams, John W.; Smith, Melinda D.; Willig, Michael R. 2004. Scale dependence in the species-richness-productivity relationship: The role of species turnover. Ecology. Vol: 85(10). Pages2701-2708.

Chambers, Jeff Q.; Higuchi, Niro; Tribuzy, E. S.; Trumbore, Sue. 2001.Carbon sink for a century. Nature. Vol: 410. Pages 429.

Chambers, Jeff Q.; dos Santos, J.; Ribeiro, R. J.; Higuchi, Niro. 2001.Tree damage, allometric relationships, and above-ground net primary production in Central Amazon forest. Forest Ecology and Management. Vol:152 (1-3). Pages 73-84.

Chapin, F. Stuart; McGuire, A. David; Randerson, James; Baldocchi, Dennis; Hobbie, Sarah; Roulet, Nigel; Eugster, Werner; Kasischke, E. S.; Rastetter, Edward; Zimov, A.; Running, Steven W.. 2000. Arctic and boreal ecosystems of western North America as components of the climate system. Global Change Biology. Vol: 6(S1). Pages 211-223.

Chapin, F. Stuart, Sala, Osvaldo E.; Huber-Sannwald, Elisabeth. 2001.Global Biodiversity in a Changing Environment: Scenarios for the 21stCentury. Springer-Verlag. New York.

Chaplin, Steve; Gerrard, Ross; Watson, Hal M.; Master, Larry; Flack, Stephanie R.. 2000. The geography of imperilment: Targeting conservation towards critical biodiversity areas. Precious Heritage: Status of Biodiversity in the United States. Oxford University Press.

Chaplin, Steve; Gerrard, Ross; Watson, Hal M.; Master, Larry; Flack, Stephanie R.. 2000. The geography of imperilment: Targeting conservation towards critical biodiversity areas. /Edited by / Stein, Bruce A.; Kutner, Lynn S.; Adams, Jonathan S.. Precious Heritage: Status of Biodiversity in the United States. Oxford University Press. New York. Pages 159-200.

Chase, Jonathan; Abrams, Peter A.; Grover, James P.; Diehl, Sebastian; Chesson, Peter L.; Holt, Robert D.; Richards, Shane A.; Nisbet, Roger M.; Case, Ted. 2002. The interaction between predation and competition: A review and synthesis. Ecology Letters. Vol: 5. Pages 302-315.

Chase, Jonathan; Amarasekare, Priyanga; Cottenie, Karl; Gonzalez, Andy; Holt, Robert D.; Holyoak, Marcel; Hoopes, Martha F.; Leibold, Mathew; Loreau, Michel; Mouquet, Nicolas; Shurin, Jonathan B.; Tilman, David.2005. Competing theories for competitive metacommunities. /Edited by /Holyoak, Marcel; Leibold, Mathew A.; Holt, Robert D.. Metacommunities:Spatial Dynamics and Ecological Communities. University of Chicago Press. Chicago. Pages 335-354.

Chase, Jonathan; Leibold, Mathew; Simms, E. L. 2000. Plant tolerance and resistance in food webs: Community-level predictions and evolutionary implications. Evolutionary Ecology. Vol: 14. Pages 289-314.

Chase, Jonathan; Wilson, Will G.; Richards, Shane A.. 2001. Foraging trade-offs and resource patchiness: Theory and experiments with a freshwater snail community. Ecology Letters. Vol: 4. Pages 304-312.

Chazdon, Robin L.; Letcher, Susan G.; van Breugel, Michiel; Martinez-Ramos, Miguel; Bongers, Frans; Finegan, Bryan. 2007. Rates of change in tree communities of secondary Neotropical forests following major disturbances. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, B. Vol: 362. Pages 273-289.

Chesson, Peter L. 2000. Mechanisms of maintenance of species diversity. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics. Vol:31. Pages 343-366.

Childs, James E.; Curns, A.; Dey, M.; Real, Leslie A.; Feinstein, L.; Bjornstad, Ottar N.; Krebs, J. W.. 2000. Predicting the local dynamics of epizootic rabies among raccoons in the United States. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Vol: 97. Pages 13666-13671.

Childs, James E.; Curns, A.; Dey, M.; Real, Leslie A.; Rupprecht, Charles; Krebs, J. W.. 2001. Rabies epizootics among raccoons vary along a north-south gradient in the eastern United States. Vector Borne and Zoonotic Diseases. Vol: 1. Pages 253-267.

Christensen, Villy; Walters, Carl. 2004. Ecopath with Ecosim: Methods, capabilities and limitations. Ecological Modeling (Special Issue: Placing Fisheries in their Ecosystem Context). Vol: 172(2-4). Pages109-139.

Christensen, Villy; Walters, Carl. 2004. Trade-offs in ecosystem-scale optimization of fisheries management policies. Bulletin of Marine Science. Vol: 74. Pages 549-562.

Church, Richard L.; Gerrard, Ross; Gilpin, Michael; Stine, Peter A. 2003. Constructing cell-based habitat patches useful in conservation planning. Annals of the Association of American Geographers. Vol: 93(4).Pages 814-827.

* Church, R.; Gerrard, R.; Hollander, A.; Stoms, D. 2000. Understanding the tradeoffs between site quality and species presence in reserve site selection: Introduction to spatial modeling in forest management natural resource planning reserve site selection. Forest Science Vol: 46, Pages 157-167

Clark, Alan; Hoekstra, Jonathan; Boersma, Dee; Kareiva, Peter. 2002.Improving U.S. Endangered Species Act recovery plans: Key findings and recommendations of the SCB recovery plan project. Conservation Biology. Vol: 16(6). Pages 1510-1519.

Clark, Deborah A.; Brown, Sandra; Kicklighter, David W.; Chambers, Jeff Q.; Thomlinson, John R.; Ni, Jian; Holland, Elisabeth A.. 2001.Measuring net primary production in forests: Concepts and field methods. Ecological Applications. Vol: 11(2). Pages 356-370.

Clark, J. A.; Harvey, Erik. 2002. Assessing multi-species recovery plans under the Endangered Species Act. Ecological Applications. Vol:12. Pages 655-662.

Clark, James S.; Carpenter, Steve R.; Barber, Mary C.; Dobson, Andrew P.; Collins, Scott L.; Foley, Jon; Lodge, David M.; Pascual, Mercedes; Pielke, Roger; Pizer, William; Pringle, Catherine; Reid, Walter V.; Rose, Kenneth; Sala, Osvaldo E.; Schlesinger, William H.; Wall, Diana H.; Wear, Dave. 2001. Ecological forecasts: An emerging imperative. Science. Vol: 293. Pages 657-660.

Clein, J. S.; Kwiatkowski, B. L.; McGuire, A. David; Hobbie, John; Rastetter, Edward; Melillo, Jerry M.; Kicklighter, David W.. 2000. Modeling carbon responses of tundra ecosystems to historical and projected climate: A comparison of a plot- and a global-scale ecosystem model to identify process-based uncertainties. Global Change Biology.Vol: 6(S1). Pages 141-159.

McGuire, A. David; Clein, J. S.; Melillo, Jerry M.; Kicklighter, DavidW.; Meier, R.A.; Vorosmarty, Charles J.; Serreze, Mark. 2000. Modeling carbon responses of tundra ecosystems to historical and projected climate: Sensitivity of pan-Arctic carbon storage to temporal and spatial variation in climate. Global Change Biology. Vol: 6(S1). Pages141-159.

Cleland, Elsa; Smith, Melinda D.; Andelman, Sandy J.; Bowles, Christy; Carney, Karen; Horner-Devine, M. Claire; Drake, John M.; Emery, Sarah; Gramling, Joel; Vandermast, David. 2004. Invasion in space and time: Non-native species richness and relative abundance respond to inter-annual variation in productivity and diversity. Ecology Letters. Vol: 7. Pages 947-957.

Cleveland, Cutler; Betke, Margrit; Federico, Paula; Frank, Jeff D.; Hallam, Thomas G.; Horn, Jason; Lopez, Juan; McCracken, Gary F.; Medellin, Rodrigo A.; Moreno-Valdez, Arnulfo; Sansone, Chris; Westbrook, John; Kunz, Thomas H.. 2006. Economic value of the pest control service provided by Brazilian free-tailed bats in south-central Texas. Frontiersin Ecology and the Environment. Vol: 4(5). Pages 238-243.

Cohen, G. M.; Shurin, Jonathan B. 2003. Scale-dependence and mechanisms of dispersal in freshwater zooplankton. Oikos. Vol: 103.Pages 603-617.

Cole, Jonathan J.; Prairie, Yves T.; Caraco, Nina; McDowell, William H.; Tranvik, Lars; Striegl, Robert; Duarte, Carlos M.; Kortelainen, Pirkko; Downing, John; Middelburg, Jack; Melack, John M.. In press. Plumbing the global carbon cycle: Integrating inland waters into the terrestrial carbon budget. Ecosystems.

Coleman, Felicia C.; Figueira, Will F.; Ueland, Jeffery S.; Crowder, Larry B. 2004. The impact of United States recreational fisheries on marine fish populations. Science. Vol: 305. Pages 1958-1960.

Collins, James; Kinzig, Ann P.; Grimm, Nancy B.; Fagan, William F.; Hope, Diane; Wu, Jianguo; Borer, Elizabeth T.: 2000. A new urban ecology. American Scientist. Vol: 88(5). Pages 416-425.

Collins, Scott L.; Micheli, Fiorenza; Hartt, Laura. 2000. A method to determine rates and patterns of variability in ecological communities. Oikos. Vol: 91. Pages 285-293.

Condit, Richard; Ashton, Peter; Bunyavejchewin, Sarayudh; Dattaraja, H.S.; Davies, Stuart; Esufali, Shameema; Ewango, Corneille E.N.; Foster, Robin; Gunatilleke, I.A.U.N.; Gunatilleke, C.V.S.; Hall, Pamela; Harms, Kyle; Hart, Terese; Hernandez, Consuelo; Hubbell, Stephen P.; Itoh, Akira; Kiratiprayoon, Somboon; LaFrankie, James; Loo de Lao, Suzanne; Makana, Jean-Remy; Supardi Noor, Md. Nur; Kassim, Abdul Rahman; Russo, Sabrina E.; Sukumar, Raman; Samper, Cristian; Suresh, Hebbalalu S.; Tan, Sylvester; Thomas, Sean; Valencia, Renato; Vallejo, Martha; Villa, Gorky; Zillio, Tommaso. 2006. The importance of demographic niches to tree diversity. Science. Vol: 313. Pages 98-101.

Connolly, Sean R.; Miller, Arnold I.. 2001. Joint estimation of sampling and turnover rates from fossil databases: Capture-mark-recapture methods revisited. Paleobiology. Vol: 27(4).Pages 751-767.

Connolly, Sean R.; Miller, Arnold I.. 2002. Global Ordovician faunal transitions in the marine benthos: Ultimate causes. Paleobiology. Vol:28(1). Pages 26-40.

* Cornell, Howard. Predators, parasitoids and pathogens as mortality agents in phytophagous insect populations. 1997. Ecology. Vol:78. Pages 2145-2152.

Cornell, Howard V.; Hawkins, Bradford A.. 2003. Herbivore responses to plant secondary compounds: A test of phytochemical coevolution theory. American Naturalist. Vol: 161(4). Pages 507-522.

Costanza, Robert. 1999. The ecological, economic, and social importance of the oceans. Ecological Economics. Vol: 31. Pages 199-213.

Costanza, Robert; Farber, Stephen. 2002. Introduction to the special issue on the Dynamics and Value of Ecosystem Services: Integrating economic and ecological perspectives. Ecological Economics. Vol: 41(3).Pages 367-373. Online versionhttp://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/B6VDY-45RFMW5-4/1/2548105dccb6fb0de859cc22b0441330

* Costanza, Robert; d'Arge, Ralph C.; de Groot, Rudolf; Farber, Stephen; Grasso, Monica; Hannon, Bruce; Limburg, Karin; Naeem, Shahid; O'Neill, Robert V.; Paruelo, Jose; Raskin, Robert G.; Sutton, Paul; Van Den Belt, Marjan. 1998. The value of ecosystem services: Putting the issues in perspective. Ecological Economics. Vol: 25(1). Pages 67-72.

* Costanza, Robert; d'Arge, Ralph C.; de Groot, Rudolf; Farber, Stephen; Grasso, Monica; Hannon, Bruce; Naeem, Shahid; Limburg, Karin; Paruelo, Jose; O'Neill, Robert V.; Raskin, Robert G.; Sutton, Paul; Van Den Belt, Marjan. 1997. Valuing ecosystem services: A response. Regulation. Vol:20. Pages 2-3. Costanza, Robert; d'Arge, Ralph C.; de Groot, Rudolf; Farber, Stephen; Grasso, Monica; Hannon, Bruce; Naeem, Shahid; Limburg, Karin; Paruelo, Jose; O'Neill, Robert V.; Raskin, Robert G.; Sutton, Paul; Van Den Belt, Marjan. 1998. Auditing the earth: Costanza and his coauthors reply. Environment. Vol: 40. Pages 26-27.

Costello, Christopher; Polasky, Stephen. 2004. Dynamic reserve site selection. Resource and Energy Economics (Special issue on the economics of biodiversity). Vol: 26(2). Pages 157-174.

Cottenie, Karl. 2005. Integrating environmental and spatial processes in ecological community dynamics. Ecology Letters. Vol: 8. Pages 1175-1182.

Cottenie, Karl; DeMeester, Luc. 2004. Metacommunity structure: Synergy of biotic interactions as selective agents and dispersal as fuel. Ecology. Vol: 85(1). Pages 114-119.

Cottenie, Karl; DeMeester, Luc. 2005. Local interactions and local dispersal in a zooplankton metacommunity. /Edited by / Holyoak, M.; Leibold, M.; Holt, R.. Metacommunities: Spatial Dynamics and Ecological Communities. University of Chicago Press. Chicago. Pages 189-211.

* Cottingham, Kathryn L. 1998. Nutrients and zooplankton as multiple stressors of phytoplankton communities: Evidence from size structure. Limnology and Oceanography. Vol: 44. Pages 810-827.

* Cottingham, Kathryn L.; Carpenter, Steve R.; Amand, Ann L.St. 1998. Responses of epilimnetic phytoplankton to experimental nutrient enrichment in three small seepage lakes. Journal of Plankton Research.Vol: 20(10). Pages 1889-1914.

Cottingham, Kathryn L.; Schindler, Daniel E. 2000. Effects of grazer community structure on phytoplankton response to nutrient pulses. Ecology. Vol: 81. Pages 183-200.

Coulson, Tim; Guinness, F.; Pemberton, J.; Clutton-Brock, T.. 2004. The demographic consequences of releasing a population of red deer from culling. Ecology. Vol: 85(2). Pages 411-422.

Coulson, Tim; Kruuk, L.; Tavecchia, G.; Pemberton, J.; Clutton-Brock, T. 2003. Estimating selection on neonatal traits in red deer using elasticity path analysis. Evolution. Vol: 57(12). Pages 2879-2892.

Coulson, Tim; Mace, Georgina; Hudson, Elodie; Possingham, Hugh P..2001. The use and abuse of population viability analysis. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. Vol: 16. Pages 219-221.

Cox, Sean; Essington, Timothy; Kitchell, James F.; Martell, Steve J.; Walters, Carl; Boggs, Chris; Kaplan, Isaac. 2002. Reconstructing ecosystem dynamics in the central

Pacific Ocean, 1952-1998. II. A preliminary assessment of the trophic impacts of fishing and effects on tuna dynamics. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. Vol:59(11). Pages 1736-1747.

Cox, Sean; Martell, Steve J.; Walters, Carl; Essington, Timothy; Kitchell, James F.; Boggs, Chris; Kaplan, Isaac. 2002. Reconstructing ecosystem dynamics in the central Pacific Ocean, 1952-1998. I. Estimating population biomass and recruitment of tunas and billfishes. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. Vol: 59(11). Pages1724-1735.

Cox, Stephen B.; Willig, Michael R.; Scatena, F. N.. 2002. Variation in nutrient characteristics of surface soils from the Luquillo Experimental Forest of Puerto Rico: A multivariate perspective. Plant and Soil. Vol:247. Pages 189-198.

Craft, K. J.; Ashley, Mary V.; Koenig, Walter. 2002. Limited hybridization between Quercus lobata and Quercus douglasii (Fagaceae) in a mixed stand in central coastal California. American Journal of Botany. Vol: 89(11). Pages 1792-1798.

Craig, J. Kevin; Crowder, Larry B. 2005. Hypoxia-induced habitat shifts and energetic consequences in Atlantic croaker and brown shrimp on the Gulf of Mexico shelf. Marine Ecology Progress Series. Vol: 294.Pages 79-94.

Crandall, Keith A.; Bininda-Emonds, Olaf R. P.; Mace, Georgina; Wayne, Robert K.. 2000. Considering evolutionary processes in conservation biology. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. Vol: 15. Pages 290-295.

Cressman, Ross; Krivan, Vlastimil. 2006. Migration dynamics for the ideal free distribution. American Naturalist. Vol: 168(3). Pages 384-397.

Crouse, Debby; Mehrhoff, Loyal; Parkin, Mary; Elam, Diane R.; Chen, Linus Y. 2002. Endangered species recovery and the SCB study: A U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service perspective. Ecological Applications. Vol: 12.Pages 719-723.

Crowder, Larry B. 2005. Back to the future in marine conservation./Edited by / Norse, Elliot A.; Crowder, Larry B. Marine Conservation Biology: The Science of Maintaining the Sea's Biodiversity. Island Press. Washington, D.C. Pages 19-29.

Crowder, Larry B.; Osherenko, Gail; Young, Oran R.; Alrame, S.; Norse, Elliott; Baron, Nancy; Day, Jon; Douvere, Fanny; Ehler, Charles; Halpern, Ben; Langdon, Stephen J.; McLeod, Karen; Ogden, John C.; Peach, Robbin; Rosenberg, Andrew A.; Wilson, James. 2006. Resolving mismatches in U.S. ocean governance. Science. Vol: 313. Pages 617-618.

Crowley, Philip H.. 2000. Sexual dimorphism with female demographic dominance: Age, size, and sex ratio at maturation. Ecology. Vol: 81.Pages 2592-2605.

Csillag, R.; Fortin, Marie-Josee; Dungan, Jennifer L. 2000. On the limits and extensions of the definition of scale. Ecological Society of America Bulletin. Vol: 81. Pages 230-232.

Cuddington, Kim; Byers, James E.; Hastings, Alan; Wilson, Will G. In press. Ecosystem Engineers: Plants to Protists.

Currie, David; Mittelbach, Gary; Cornell, Howard V.; Field, Richard; Guegan, Jean-Francois; Hawkins, Bradford A.; Kaufman, Dawn; Kerr, Jeremy T.; Oberdorff, Thierry; O'Brien, Eileen M.; Turner, John. 2004. Predictions and tests of climate-based hypotheses of broad-scale variation in taxonomic richness. Ecology Letters. Vol: 7. Pages 1121-1134.

D'Antonio, Carla; Hobbie, Sarah. 2005. Plant species effects on ecosystem processes: Insights from invasive species. /Edited by / Sax, Dov F.; Stachowicz, John J.; Gaines, Steven D.. Species Invasions: Insights into Ecology, Evolution, and Biogeography. Sinauer Associates, Inc.. Sunderland, Massachusetts. Pages 65-84.

Dale, Mark; Dixon, Philip; Fortin, Marie-Josee; Legendre, Pierre; Myers, Donald; Rosenberg, Michael S.. 2002. Conceptual and mathematical relationships among methods for spatial analysis. Ecography. Vol: 25.Pages 558-577.

Davidar, Priya; Rajogopal, B.; Mohandass, D.; Puyravaud, Jean-Philippe; Condit, Richard; Wright, S. J.; Leigh Jr., E. G. 2007. The effect of climatic gradients, topographic variation and species traits on the beta diversity of rain forest trees. Global Ecology and Biogeography.

Davis, Frank W.; Costello, Christopher; Stoms, David. 2006. Efficient conservation in a utility-maximization framework. Ecology and Society.Vol: 11(1). Pages 33.

* Davis, Frank W.; Stoms, David; Andelman, Sandy J.. 1999. Systematic reserve selection in the USA: An example from the Columbia Plateau Ecoregion. Parks. Vol: 19. Pages 31-41.

Day, Troy. 2000. Competition and the effect of spatial resource heterogeneity on evolutionary diversification. American Naturalist. Vol:155. Pages 790-803.

Day, Troy; Abrams, Peter A.; Chase, Jonathan. 2002. The role of size-specific predation in the evolution and diversification of prey life histories. Evolution. Vol: 56. Pages 877-887.

Del Grosso, Steve; Parton, William J.; Mosier, Arvin R.; Ojima, Dennis S.; Borken, Werner; Brumme, Rainer; Butterbach-Bahl, Klaus; Crill, Patrick; Dobbie, K.; Smith,

Keith A.. 2000. General CH4 oxidation model and comparisons of CH4 oxidation in natural and managed systems. Global Biogeochemical Cycles. Vol: 14. Pages 999-1020.

Del Grosso, Steve; Parton, William J.; Mosier, Arvin R.; Ojima, DennisS.; Kulmala, A. E.; Phongpan, S.. 2000. General model for N2O and N2 emissions from soils due to denitrification. Global Biogeochemical Cycles. Vol: 14. Pages 1045-1060.

Denno, Robert F.; Fagan, William F.. 2003. Might nitrogen limitation promote omnivory among carnivorous arthropods?. Ecology. Vol: 84. Pages2522-2531.

Diehl, Sebastian. 2002. Phytoplankton, light, and nutrients in a gradient of mixing depths: Theory. Ecology. Vol: 83. Pages 386-398.

Diehl, Sebastian. 2003. The evolution and maintenance of omnivory: Dynamic constraints and the role of food quality. Ecology. Vol: 84.Pages 2557-2567.

Dobson, Andrew P.. 2000. Raccoon rabies in space and time. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Vol: 97. Pages 14041-14043.

Dodson, Stanley I.; Arnott, Shelley E.; Cottingham, Kathryn L. 2000. The relationship in lake communities between primary productivity and species richness. Ecology. Vol: 81. Pages 2662-2679.

Dorn, N. J.; Mittelbach, Gary. 2005. Effects of native crayfish(Orconectes virilis) on the reproductive success and nesting behavior of freshwater sunfish (Lepomis spp.). Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. Vol: 61. Pages 2135-2143.

Douvere, Fanny; Ehler, Charles. 2007. International workshop on marine spatial planning, UNESCO, Paris, 8-10 November 2006: A summary. Marine Policy. Vol: 31(4). Pages 582-583.

* Downing, John; Osenberg, Craig W.; Sarnelle, Orlando. 1999.Meta-analysis of marine nutrient-enrichment experiments: Variation in the magnitude of nutrient limitation. Ecology. Vol: 80. Pages 1157-1167.

Downing, John; Prairie, Yves T.; Cole, Jonathan J.; Duarte, Carlos M.; Tranvik, Lars; Striegl, Robert; McDowell, William H.; Kortelainen, Pirkko; Caraco, Nina; Melack, John M.; Middelburg, Jack. 2006. The global abundance and size distribution of lakes, ponds, and impoundments. Limnology and Oceanography. Vol: 51(5). Pages 2388-2397.

Drake, John M. 2005. Density-dependent demographic variation determines extinction rate of experimental populations. Public Library of Science Biology. Vol: 3(7). Pages 1300-1304.

Drake, John M.. 2005. Population effects of increased climate variation. Proceedings of the Royal Society: Biological Sciences. Vol:272. Pages 1823-1827.

Drake, John M. 2006. Extinction times in experimental populations. Ecology. Vol: 87(9). Pages 2215-2220.

Drake, John M. 2006. Heterosis, the catapult effect, and establishment. Biology Letters. Vol: 2. Pages 304-307.

Drake, John M.. 2006. Limits to forecasting precision for outbreaks of directly transmitted diseases. PLOS Medicine. Vol: 3(1). Pages 57-62.

Drake, John M.; Costello, Christopher; Lodge, David M.. 2005. When did the discovery rate for invasive species in the North American Great Lakes accelerate?. BioScience. Vol: 55(1). Pages 4.

Drake, John M.; Drury, Kevin; Lodge, David M.; Blukacz, Agnes; Yan, Norman; Dwyer, Greg. 2006. Demographic stochasticity, environmental variability, and windows of invasion risk for Bythotrephes longimanus in North America. Biological Invasions. Vol: 8. Pages 843-861.

Drake, John M.; Lodge, David M. 2006. Allee effects, propagule pressure and the probability of establishment: Risk analysis for biological invasions. Biological Invasions. Vol: 8. Pages 365-375.

Drake, John M.; Lodge, David M.. 2006. Forecasting potential distributions of nonindigenous species with a genetic algorithm. Fisheries. Vol: 31(1). Pages 9-16.

Drake, John M.; Lodge, David M.; Lewis, Mark A. 2005. Theory and preliminary analysis of species invasions from ballast water: Controlling discharge and location. American Midland Naturalist. Vol:154. Pages 459-470.

Drake, John M.; Randin, Christophe; Guisan, Antoine. 2006. Modelling ecological niches with support vector machines. Journal of Applied Ecology. Vol: 43. Pages 424-432.

Drechsler, M.; Burgman, Mark. 2004. Combining population viability analysis with decision analysis. Biodiversity and Conservation. Vol: 13.Pages 115-139.

Drinkwater, K. F.; Belgrano, Andrea; Borja, A.; Conversi, A.; Edwards, Martin; Greene, Charles H.; Ottersen, G.; Pershing, Andrew J.; Walker, H.. 2002. The response of marine ecosystems to climate variability associated with the North Atlantic Oscillation. /Edited by / Hurrell, J.; Kushnir, Y.; Ottersen, G.; and Visbeck, M.. The North Atlantic Oscillation: Climate Significance and Environmental Impact (Geophysical Monograph Series). Vol: 134. American Geophysical Union. Washington, DC. Pages 211-234.

Duarte, Carlos M.; Middelburg, Jack; Caraco, Nina. 2004. Major role of marine vegetation on the oceanic carbon cycle. Biogeosciences Discussions. Vol: 1. Pages 659-679.

Duarte, Carlos M.; Middelburg, Jack; Caraco, Nina. 2005. Major role of marine vegetation on the oceanic carbon cycle. Biogeosciences. Vol: 2.Pages 1-8.

Duarte, Carlos M.; Prairie, Yves T. 2005. Prevalence of heterotrophy and atmospheric CO2 emissions from aquatic ecosystems. Ecosystems. Vol:8. Pages 862-870.

Dube, Danielle; Alker, Alisa P.; Kim, Kiho; Harvell, Drew. 2002. Size structure and geographic variation in chemical resistance of sea fan corals (Gorgonia ventalina) to a fungal pathogen. Marine Ecology Progress Series. Vol: 231. Pages 139-150.

Dudik, Miroslav; Schapire, Robert E.; Phillips, Steven. 2005. Correcting sample selection bias in maximum entropy density estimation. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. Vol: 18. Pages 323-330.

Duffy, Emmett. 2006. Biodiversity and the functioning of seagrass ecosystems. Marine Ecology Progress Series. Vol: 311. Pages 233-250.

Duffy, Emmett; Stachowicz, Jay. 2006. Why biodiversity is important to oceanography: potential roles of genetic, species, and trophic diversity in pelagic ecosystem processes. Marine Ecology Progress Series. Vol:311. Pages 179-189.

Worm, Boris; Barbier, Edward; Beaumont, Nicola; Duffy, Emmett; Folke, Carl; Halpern, Ben; Jackson, Jeremy; Lotze, Heike K.; Micheli, Fiorenza; Palumbi, Stephen R.; Sala, Enric; Selkoe, Kimberly; Stachowicz, Jay; Watson, Reg. 2006. Impacts of biodiversity loss on ocean ecosystem services. Science. Vol: 314. Pages 787-790.

Dungan, Jennifer L.; Perry, Joe N.; Dale, Mark; Legendre, Pierre; Citron-Pousty, Steven I.; Fortin, Marie-Josee; Jakomulska, Anna; Miriti, Maria; Rosenberg, Michael S.. 2002. A balanced view of scale in spatial statistical analysis. Ecography. Vol: 25. Pages 626-640.

Duque, Ricardo B.; Ynalvez, Marcus; Sooryamoorthy, R.; Mbatia, Paul; Dzorgbo, Dan-Bright S.; Shrum, Wesley. 2005. Collaboration paradox: Scientific productivity, the Internet, and problems of research in developing areas. Social Studies of Science. Vol: 35(5). Pages 755-785.

Dyer, Rodney; Sork, Victoria L. 2001. Pollen pool heterogeneity in short leaf pine, Pinus echinata Mill. Molecular Ecology. Vol: 10. Pages859-866.

Dyer, Rodney; Westfall, Robert; Smouse, Peter. 2004. Two-generation analysis of pollen flow across a landscape V: A stepwise approach for extracting factors contributing to pollen structure. Heredity. Vol: 92.Pages 204-211.

Easterling, David; Meehl, Gerald A.; Parmesan, Camille; Changnon, Stanley A.; Karl, Thomas R.; Mearns, Linda. 2000. Climate extremes: Observations, modeling, and impacts. Science. Vol: 289. Pages 2068-2074.

Ehler, Charles; Douvere, Fanny. 2007. Visions for a Sea Change: Report of the First International Workshop on Marine Spatial Planning. Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission and Man and the Biosphere Programme. IOC Manual and Guides. UNESCO. Paris.

Elith, Jane; Burgman, Mark; Regan, Helen. 2002. Mapping epistemic uncertainties and vague concepts in predictions of species distribution. Ecological Modeling. Vol: 157. Pages 313-329.

Elith, Jane; Ferrier, Simon; Huettmann, Falk; Leathwick, John. 2005. The evaluation strip: A new and robust method for plotting predicted responses from species distribution models. Ecological Modeling. Vol:186. Pages 280-289.

Elith, Jane; Graham, Catherine; Anderson, Robert P.; Dudik, Miroslav; Ferrier, Simon; Guisan, Antoine; Hijmans, Robert; Huettmann, Falk; Leathwick, John; Lehmann, Anthony; Li, Jin; Lohmann, Lucia; Loiselle, Bette; Manion, Glenn; Moritz, Craig; Nakamura, Miguel; Nakazawa, Yoshinori; Peterson, A. Townsend; Phillips, Steven; Richardson, Karen; Scachetti Pereira, Ricardo; Schapire, Robert E.; Williams, Stephen; Wisz, Mary; Zimmermann, Niklaus E.; Soberon Mainero, Jorge; Overton, Jake. 2006. Novel methods improve prediction of species' distributions from occurrence data. Ecography. Vol: 29. Pages 129-151.

Elith, Jane; Leathwick, John. 2007. Predicting species distributions from museum and herbarium records using multiresponse models fitted with multivariate adaptive regression splines. Diversity and Distributions. Vol: 13(3). Pages 265-275.

Ellner, Stephen P.; McCauley, Edward; Kendall, Bruce E.; Briggs, Cheryl J.; Hosseini, Parviez R.; Wood, Simon; Janssen, Arne; Sabelis, M.; Turchin, Peter; Nisbet, Roger M.; Murdoch, William W.. 2001. Habitat structure and population persistence in an experimental community. Nature. Vol: 412. Pages 538-543.

Ellner, Stephen P.; Seifu, Yodit; Smith, Robert H.. 2002. Fitting population dynamic models to time-series data by gradient matching. Ecology. Vol: 83. Pages 2256-2270.

Elser, James J.; Fagan, William F.; Denno, Robert F.; Dobberfuhl, Dean R.; Folarin, Ayoola; Huberty, Andrea; Interlandi, Sebastian; Kilham, Susan S.; McCauley, Edward; Schulz, Kimberly L.; Siemann, Evan; Sterner, R. W.. 2000. Nutritional constraints in terrestrial and freshwater food webs. Nature. Vol: 408. Pages 578-580.

* Englund, Goran; Sarnelle, Orlando; Cooper, Scott D. 1999. The importance of data selection criteria: Meta-analyses of stream predation experiments. Ecology. Vol: 80. Pages 1132-1141.

Enquist, Brian J.. 2002. Universal scaling in tree and vascular plant allometry: Toward a general quantitative theory linking plant form and function from cells to ecosystems. Tree Physiology. Vol: 22. Pages1045-1064.

Enquist, Brian J.. 2003. Cope's rule and the evolution of long distance transport in vascular plants: Allometric scaling, biomass partitioning and optimization. Plant, Cell and Environment. Vol: 26. Pages 151-161.

Enquist, Brian J.; Haskell, John P.; Niklas, Karl J.; Tiffney, Bruce.2001. The evolution of plant communities: Biodiversity and community./Edited by / Levin, S.. Encyclopedia of Biodiversity. Academic Press. San Diego, CA. Pages 631-643.

Enquist, Brian J.; Haskell, John P.; Tiffney, Bruce. 2002. General patterns of taxonomic and biomass partitioning in extant and fossil plant communities. Nature. Vol: 419. Pages 610-613.

Enquist, Brian J.; Leffler, A. Joshua. 2000. Long-term tree ring chronologies from sympatric tropical dry-forest trees: Individualistic responses to climate variation. Journal of Tropical Ecology. Vol: 17.Pages 41-60.

Enquist, Brian J.; Niklas, Karl J. 2001. Invariant scaling relations across tree-dominated communities. Nature. Vol: 410. Pages 655-660.

Enquist, Brian J.; Niklas, Karl J.. 2002. Global allocation rules for patterns of biomass partitioning in seed plants. Science. Vol: 295.Pages 1517-1520.

Enquist, Brian J.; West, Geoffrey W.; Charnov, Eric; Brown, James H..1999. Allometric scaling of production and life-history variation in vascular plants. Nature. Vol: 401. Pages 907.

Ernest, S. K. Morgan; Enquist, Brian J.; Brown, James H.; Charnov, Eric; Gillooly, James F.; Savage, Van M.; White, Ethan; Smith, Felisa A.; Hadly, Elizabeth A.; Haskell, John P.; Lyons, Kate; Maurer, Brian A.; Niklas, Karl J.; Tiffney, Bruce. 2003. Thermodynamic and metabolic effects on the scaling of production and population energy use. Ecology Letters. Vol: 6. Pages 990-995.

Essington, Timothy. 2001. The precautionary approach in fisheries management: The devil is in the details. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. Vol: 16. Pages 121-122.

Essington, Timothy; Carpenter, Steve R.. 2000. Nutrient cycling in lakes and streams: Insights from a comparative analysis. Ecosystems. Vol: 3(2). Pages 131-143.

Essington, Timothy; Schindler, Daniel E.; Olson, Robert J.; Kitchell, James F.; Boggs, Chris; Hilborn, Ray. 2002. Alternative fisheries and the predation rate of yellow fin tuna in the eastern Pacific Ocean. Ecological Applications. Vol: 12(3). Pages 724-734.

Etter, Ron J.; Mullineaux, L. 2001. Deep-sea communities. /Edited by /Bertness, M. D.; Gaines, S. D.; Hay, M. E. Marine Community Ecology. Sinauer Associates, Inc.. Sunderland, MA. Pages 367-393.

* Etter, Ron J.; Rex, Michael A.; Chase, M. C.; Quattro, J., 1999. A genetic dimension to deep-sea biodiversity. Deep Sea Research I. Vol:46(6). Pages 1095-1099.

Eugster, Werner; Rouse, Wayne; McFadden, Joseph P.; Baldocchi, Dennis; Kittel, Timothy; Chapin, F. Stuart; Liston, Glen E.; Vidale, Pier Luigi;* Vaganov, Eugene; Chambers, Scott. 2000. Land-atmosphere energy exchange in Arctic tundra and boreal forest: Available data and feedbacks to climate. Global Change Biology. Vol: 6(S1). Pages 84-115.

* Fagan, William F.; Cantrell, R.; Cosner, C. 1999. How habitat edges change species interactions. American Naturalist. Vol: 153. Pages 165-182.

Fagan, William F.; Meir, Eli; Prendergast, John; Folarin, Ayoola; Kareiva, Peter. 2001. Characterizing population vulnerability for 758species. Ecology Letters. Vol: 4(2). Pages 132.

Fagan, William F.; Siemann, Evan; Mitter, Charles; Denno, Robert F.; Huberty, Andrea; Woods, H. A.; Elser, James J.. 2002. Nitrogen ininsects: Implications for trophic complexity and species diversification. American Naturalist. Vol: 160. Pages 784-802.

Faith, Dan. 2002. Quantifying biodiversity: A phylogenetic perspective. Conservation Biology. Vol: 16. Pages 248-252.

Fara, Emmanuel. 2002. Sea-level variations and the quality of the continental fossil record. Journal of the Geological Society of London. Vol: 159. Pages 489-491.

Fara, Emmanuel. 2004. Estimating minimum global species diversity for groups with a poor fossil record: A case study of Late Jurassic-Eocene lissamphibians. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology. Vol:207. Pages 59-82.

Farber, Stephen; Costanza, Robert; Childers, Dan L.; Erickson, Jon D.; Gross, Katherine L.; Grove, Morgan; Hopkinson, Chuck; Kahn, James R.; Pincetl, Stephanie; Troy, Austin; Warren, Paige S.; Wilson, Matthew A..2006. Linking ecology and economics for ecosystem management. BioScience. Vol: 56(2). Pages 117-129.

Farber, Stephen; Costanza, Robert; Wilson, Matthew A.. 2002. Economic and ecological concepts for valuing ecosystem services. Ecological Economics. Vol: 41(3). Pages 375-392. Online versionhttp://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/B6VDY-45R7NTR-5/1/51f38fcc3bf3b256d0343f2ee80fa403

Fargione, Joseph; Tilman, David. 2002. Competition and coexistence interrestrial plants. /Edited by / Sommer, U.; Worm, B.. Competition and Coexistence: Ecological Studies. Vol: 161. Springer-Verlag. Berlin.Pages 165-206.

Feldheim, K. A.; Gruber, S. H.; Ashley, Mary V.. 2002. The breeding biology of lemon sharks at a tropical nursery lagoon. Proceedings of the Royal Society: Biological Sciences. Vol: 269. Pages 1655-1661.

Feldheim, K. A.; Gruber, S. H.; de Marignac, R. C.; Ashley, Mary V..2002. Genetic tagging to determine passive integrated transponder tag loss in lemon sharks. Journal of Fish Biology. Vol: 61. Pages 1309-1313.

Ferreira, C. E. L.; Floeter, Sergio R.; Gasparini, J. L.; Ferreira, B.P.; Joyeux, J. C. 2004. Trophic structure patterns of Brazilian reef fishes: A latitudinal comparison. Journal of Biogeography. Vol: 31.Pages 1093-1106.

Ferreira, C. E. L.; Gasparini, J. L.; Carvalho-Filho, A.; Floeter, Sergio R.. 2005. A recently extinct parrotfish species from Brazil. Coral Reefs. Vol: 24. Pages 128.

Festa-Bianchet, Marco; Gaillard, Jean-Michel; Cote, S. D.. 2003.Variable age structure and apparent density dependence in survival of adult ungulates. Journal of Animal Ecology. Vol: 72(4). Pages 640-649.

Fidler, Fiona; Cumming, Geoff; Burgman, Mark; Thomason, N.. 2004. Statistical reform in medicine, psychology and ecology. Journal of Socio-economics. Vol: 33. Pages 615-630.

Field, John; Francis, Robert C.. 2006. Considering ecosystem-based fisheries management in the California Current. Marine Policy. Vol: 30.Pages 552-569.

Field, John; Francis, Robert C.; Aydin, Kerim. 2006. Top-down modeling and bottom-up dynamics: Linking a fisheries-based ecosystem model with climate hypotheses in the Northern California Current. Progress in Oceanography. Vol: 68. Pages 238-270.

Fischer, Janet; Frost, Thomas M.; Ives, Anthony R. 2001. Compensatory dynamics in zooplankton community responses to acidification: Measurement and mechanisms. Ecological Applications. Vol: 11. Pages1060-1072.

Fleming, Michael; Chapin, F. Stuart; Cramer, Wolfgang; Hufford, G. L.; Serreze, Mark. 2000. Geographic patterns and dynamics of Alaskan climate interpolated from a sparse station record. Global Change Biology. Vol:6(S1). Pages 49-58.

Flinn, Kathryn M.; Vellend, Mark. 2005. Recovery of forest plant communities in postagricultural landscapes. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment. Vol: 3(5). Pages 243-250. Floeter, Sergio R.; Behrens, M. D.; Ferreira, C. E. L.; Paddack, M. J.; Horn, M. H. 2005. Geographical gradients of marine herbivorous fishes: Patterns and processes. Marine Biology. Vol: 147. Pages 1435-1447.

Floeter, Sergio R.; Ferreira, C. E. L.; Dominici-Arosemena, A.; Zalmon, I. R. 2004. Latitudinal gradients in Atlantic reef fish communities: Trophic structure and spatial use patterns. Journal of Fish Biology.Vol: 04. Pages 1680-1699.

Floeter, Sergio R.; Halpern, Ben; Ferreira, C. E. L. 2006. Effects of fishing and protection on Brazilian reef fishes. Biological Conservation. Vol: 128. Pages 391-402.

Floeter, Sergio R.; Soares-Gomes, A.; Hajdu, E.. In press. Marine Biogeography. /Edited by / Pereira, R.C.; Soares-Gomes, A.. Biologia Marinha. Vol: 2nd edition. Editora Interciencia. Brazil.

Floyd, Melissa M.; Tang, Jane; Kane, Matthew; Emerson, David. 2005.Captured diversity in a culture collection: Case study of the geographic and habitat distributions of environmental isolates held at the American Type Culture Collection. Applied and Environmental Microbiology. Vol:71(6). Pages 2813-2823.

Foote, Michael. 2001. Inferring temporal patterns of preservation, origination, and extinction from taxonomic survivorship analysis. Paleobiology. Vol: 27(4). Pages 602-630.

Foote, Michael. 2003. Origination and extinction through the Phanerozoic: A new approach. Journal of Geology. Vol: 111. Pages 125-148.

Fortin, Marie-Josee; Keitt, Timothy H.; Maurer, Brian A.; Taper, Mark L.; Kaufman, Dawn; Blackburn, Tim M. 2005. Species' geographic ranges and distributional limits: Pattern analysis and statistical issues. Oikos. Vol: 108. Pages 7-17.

Forys, Elizabeth A.; Allen, Craig R. 2002. Functional group change within and across scales following invasions and extinctions in the Everglades ecosystem. Ecosystems (Special feature on Discontinuous Structure in Ecological Systems). Vol: 5(4). Pages 339-347.

Francis, Robert C.. 2003. A web of small tensions. Fisheries. Vol:28(2). Pages 20-23.

Franklin, Jerry F.; Lindenmayer, D. B.; MacMahon, James A.; McKee, Art; Magnuson, John; Perry, D.; Waide, Robert B.; Foster, David R. 2000.Threads of continuity. Conservation Biology in Practice. Vol: 1. Pages9-16.

Franklin, Jerry F.; MacMahon, James A. 2000. Messages from a mountain. Science. Vol: 288. Pages 1183-1185.

Fromentin, J.; Gjosaeter, J.; Bjornstad, Ottar N.; Stenseth, Nils.2000. Biological processes and environmental factors regulating the dynamics of the Norwegian Skagerrak Cod since 1919. ICES Journal of Marine Science. Vol: 57. Pages 330-338.

Fromentin, J.; Myers, Ransom A.; Bjornstad, Ottar N.; Stenseth, Nils;Gjosaeter, J.; Christie, H. 2001. Effects of density-dependent and stochastic processes on the regulation of cod populations. Ecology. Vol:82(2). Pages 567-579.

Fryxell, John M.; Wilmshurst, John F.; Sinclair, Anthony R. E. 2004.Predictive models of movement by Serengeti grazers. Ecology. Vol: 85(9).Pages 2429-2435.

Fryxell, John M.; Wilmshurst, John F.; Sinclair, Anthony R. E.; Haydon, Daniel T.; Holt, Robert D.; Abrams, Peter A. 2005. Landscape scale, heterogeneity, and the viability of Serengeti grazers. Ecology Letters.Vol: 8(3). Pages 328-335.

Gabet, Emmanuel J.; Reichman, O. J.; Seabloom, Eric. 2003. The effects of bioturbation on soil processes and sediment transport. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences. Vol: 31. Pages 249-273.

Gabriel, Wilfried. 2005. How stress selects for reversible phenotypic plasticity. Journal of Evolutionary Biology. Vol: 18. Pages 873-883.

Gabriel, Wilfried; Luttbeg, Barney; Sih, Andrew; Tollrian, Ralph. 2005.Environmental tolerance, heterogeneity, and the evolution of reversible plastic responses. American Naturalist. Vol: 166(3). Pages 339-353.

Gaines, Steven D.; Gaylord, Brian P.; Largier, John L. 2003. Avoiding current oversights in marine reserve design. Ecological Applications(Supplement: The Science of Marine Reserves). Vol: 13(1). Pages S32-S46.

Gamon, John A.; Rahman, Faiz; Dungan, Jennifer L.; Schildhauer, MarkP.; Huemmrich, Karl F. 2006. Spectral network (SpecNet) - what is it and why do we need it?. Remote Sensing Environment. V 1: 103. Pages227-235.

Gardmark, Anna; Dieckmann, Ulf; Lundberg, Per. 2003. Life-history evolution in harvested populations: The role of natural predation. Evolutionary Ecology Research. Vol: 5. Pages 239-257.

Gasparini, J. L.; Floeter, Sergio R.; Ferreira, C. E. L.; Sazima, I..2005. Marine ornamental trade in Brazil. Biodiversity and Conservation. Vol: 14. Pages 2883-2899.

Gavin, D. G.; Oswald, W. W.; Wahl, E. R.; Williams, John W. 2003. A statistical approach to evaluating distance metrics and analog assignments for pollen records. Quaternary Research. Vol: 60(3). Pages356-367.

Gaylord, Brian P.; Gaines, Steven D.. 2000. Temperature or transport?: Range limits in marine species mediated solely by flow. American Naturalist. Vol: 155. Pages 769-789.

Gelfand, Alan E.; Schmidt, Alexandra M.; Wu, Shanshan; Silander, John; Latimer, Andrew; Rebelo, Anthony G. 2005. Modelling species diversity through species level hierarchical modeling. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series C (Applied Statistics). Vol: 54(1). Pages 1-20.

Gelfand, Alan E.; Silander, John; Wu, Shanshan; Latimer, Andrew; Lewis, Paul; Rebelo, Anthony G.; Holder, Mark. 2003. Explaining species distribution patterns through hierarchical modeling. Bayesian Analysis. Vol: 1(1). Pages 1-35.

* Gerber, Leah. 1999. Marine conservation marine reserves. McGraw-Hill Encyclopedia of Science and Technology. McGraw-Hill Book Co. New York.

Gerber, Leah. 2001. Conservation biology of Cetaceans in marine communities of Baja California: Science or advocacy?. Global Ecology and Biogeography. Vol: 10(3). Pages 335-336.

Gerber, Leah. In press. Biological uncertainty and extinction risk for Steller sea lions (Eumetopias jubatus). /Edited by / Akcakaya, R.A.. Developing Models for Conservation and Management Using RAMAS GIS. Island Press. Washington, DC.

Gerber, Leah; Botsford, Louis W.; Hastings, Alan; Possingham, Hugh P.; Gaines, Steven D.; Palumbi, Stephen R.; Andelman, Sandy J.. 2003. Population models for marine reserve design: A retrospective and prospective synthesis. Ecological Applications (Supplement: The Science of Marine Reserves). Vol: 13(1). Pages S47-S64.

* Gerber, Leah; DeMaster, D.. 1999. A quantitative approach to Endangered Species Act classification of long-lived vertebrates: Application to the North Pacific humpback whale. Conservation Biology. Vol: 13. Pages 1203-1214.

* Gerber, Leah; DeMaster, D.; Kareiva, Peter. 1999. Gray whales and the value of monitoring data in implementing the Endangered Species Act. Conservation Biology. Vol: 13. Pages 1215-1219.

Gerber, Leah; Hatch, Leila. 2002. Are we recovering? An evaluation of recovery criteria under the U.S. Endangered Species Act. Ecological Applications. Vol: 12(3). Pages 668-673.

Gerber, Leah; Hilborn, Ray. 2001. Catastrophic events and recovery from low densities in populations of otariids: Implications for risk of extinction. Mammal Review. Vol: 31. Pages 131-150.

Gerber, Leah; Kareiva, Peter; Bascompte, Jordi. 2002. The influence of life history attributes and fishing pressure on the efficacy of marine reserves. Biological Conservation. Vol: 106. Pages 11-18.

Gerber, Leah; Reichman, O. J.; Roughgarden, Joan. 2004. Food hoarding: Future value in optimal foraging decisions. Ecological Modeling. Vol:175(1). Pages 77-85.

Gerber, Leah; Schultz, Cheryl B. 2001. Authorship and the use of science in Endangered Species Recovery Plans. Conservation Biology. Vol:15. Pages 1308-1314.

Gerber, Leah; Seabloom, Eric; Burton, Rebecca; Reichman, O. J.. 2003.Translocation of an imperiled woodrat population: Integrating spatial and habitat patterns. Animal Conservation. Vol: 6(4). Pages 309-316.

Gerber, Leah; Tinker, M. Tim; Doak, Daniel; Estes, James. 2004. Mortality sensitivity in life-stage simulation analysis: A case study of Southern sea otters. Ecological Applications. Vol: 14(5). Pages 1554-1565.

Gerber, Leah; VanBlaricom, Glenn. 2001. Implications of three viability models for the conservation status of the western population of Stellersea lions (Eumetopias jubatus). Biological Conservation. Vol: 102. Pages261-269.

Gergel, Sarah E. 2002. Assessing cumulative impacts of levees and damson floodplain ponds: A neutral-terrain model approach. Ecological Applications. Vol: 12(6). Pages 1740-1754.

Gergel, Sarah E.; Bennett, Elena; Greenfield, Ben; King, Susan; Overdevest, Christine; Stumborg, Basil. 2004. A test of the Environmental Kuznets Curve using long-term watershed inputs. Ecological Applications. Vol: 14(2). Pages 555-570.

Gergel, Sarah E.; Dixon, M. D.; Turner, Monica G. 2002. Consequences of humanaltered floods: Levees, floods, and floodplain forests along the Wisconsin River. Ecological Applications. Vol: 12(6). Pages 1755-1770.

Gergel, Sarah E.; Reed-Anderson, T.. 2001. Modeling ecosystem processes. /Edited by / Gergel, Sarah E.; Turner, Monica G. Learning Landscape Ecology: A Practical Guide to Concepts and Techniques. Springer-Verlag. Pages 226-277.

Gergel, Sarah E.; Turner, Monica G. 2001. Learning Landscape Ecology: A Practical Guide to Concepts and Techniques. Springer-Verlag. New York. Pages 316.

Gergel, Sarah E.; Turner, Monica G.; Miller, James R.; Melack, John M.; Stanley, Emily H. 2002. Landscape indicators of human impacts to riverine systems. Aquatic Sciences. Vol: 64. Pages 118-128.

Gergel, Sarah E.; Turner, Monica G.; Mladenoff, D. J. 2001. Collecting spatial data at broad scales. /Edited by / Gergel, Sarah E.; Turner, Monica G. Learning Landscape Ecology: A Practical Guide to Concepts and Techniques. Springer-Verlag. New York. Pages 9-16.

Gerrard, Ross; Stine, Peter A.; Church, Richard L.; Gilpin, Michael.2001. Habitat evaluation using GIS: A case study applied to the San Joaquin Kit Fox. Landscape and Urban Planning. Vol: 52. Pages 239-255.

Gerwing, Jeffrey J.; Schnitzer, Stefan A.; Burnham, Robyn J.; Bongers, Frans; Chave, Jerome; DeWalt, Saara J.; Ewango, Corneille E.N.; Foster, Robin; Kenfack, David; Martinez-Ramos, Miguel; Parren, Marc; Parthasarathy, N.; Perez Salicrup, Diego; Putz, Francis; Thomas, Duncan.2006. A standard protocol for liana censuses. Biotropica. Vol: 38(2).Pages 256-261.

Gillanders, Bronwyn; Able, Kenneth; Brown, Jen; Eggleston, David B.; Sheridan, Pete. 2003. Evidence of connectivity between juvenile and adult habitats for mobile marine fauna: An important component of nurseries. Marine Ecology Progress Series. Vol: 247. Pages 281-295.

Gillespie, Rosie G. 2004. Community assembly through adaptive radiation in Hawaiian spiders. Science. Vol: 303. Pages 356-359.

Gillooly, James F.; Allen, Andrew P.; Brown, James H.; Elser, James J.; Martinez del Rio, Carlos; Savage, Van M.; West, Geoffrey W.; Woodruff, W. H.; Woods, H. A. 2005. The metabolic basis of whole-organism RNA and phosphorus content. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Vol: 102(33). Pages 11923-11927.

Gillooly, James F.; Allen, Andrew P.; Charnov, Eric. 2006. Dinosaur fossils predict body temperatures. PLOS Biology. Vol: 4(8). Pages e248.

Gillooly, James F.; Brown, James H.; West, G. B.; Savage, Van M.; Charnov, Eric. 2001. Effects of size and temperature on metabolic rate. Science. Vol: 293. Pages 2248-2251.

Gillooly, James F.; Charnov, Eric; West, G. B.; Savage, Van M.; Brown, James H. 2002. Effects of size and temperature on developmental time. Nature. Vol: 417. Pages 70-73.

* Goldberg, Deborah; Rajaniemi, Tara; Gurevitch, Jessica; Stewart-Oaten, Allan. 1999. Empirical approaches to quantifying interaction intensity: Competition and facilitation along productivity gradients. Ecology. Vol:80. Pages 1118-1131.

Goldsmith, Gregory R.; Comita, Liza S.; Morefield, Leslie L.; Condit, Richard; Hubbell, Stephen P.. 2006. Long-term research impacts on seedling community structure and composition in a permanent forest plot. Forest Ecology and Management. Vol: 234. Pages 34-39.

* Gomulkiewicz, Richard; Holt, Robert D.; Barfield, M. 1999. The effects of density dependence and immigration on adaptation and niche evolution in a black-hole sink environment. Theoretical Population Biology. Vol:55. Pages 283-296.

Gomulkiewicz, Richard; Thompson, John N.; Holt, Robert D.; Nuismer, Scott; Hochberg, Michael. 2000. Hot spots, cold spots, and the geographic mosaic theory of co-evolution. American Naturalist. Vol: 156.Pages 156-174.

Goodale, Christine; Apps, Michael; Birdsey, Richard A.; Field, Christopher B.; Heath, Linda S.; Houghton, Richard A.; Jenkins, Jennifer; Kohlmaier, Gundolf; Kurz, Werner; Liu, Shirong; Nabuurs, Gert-Jan; Nilsson, Sten; Svidenko, Anatoly. 2002. Forest carbon sinks in the Northern Hemisphere. Ecological Applications. Vol: 12(3). Pages891-899.

Goodale, Christine; Lajtha, Kate; Nadelhoffer, Knute; Boyer, Elizabeth W.; Jaworski, Norbert. 2002. Forest nitrogen sinks in large eastern U.S. watersheds: Estimates from forest inventory and an ecosystem model. Biogeochemistry. Vol: 57&58. Pages 239-266.

Goodchild, Michael F.; Case, Ted. 2001. Introduction. /Edited by /Hunsaker, C.; Goodchild, M.; Friedl, M.; Case, T. J.. Spatialun certainty in ecology. Springer-Verlag. Berlin. Pages 20.

Goodell, Karen; Parker, Ingrid M.; Gilbert, Gregory S.. 2000. Biological impacts of species invasions: Implications for policy makers./Edited by / National Research Council of the United States. Incorporating Science, Economics, and Sociology in Developing Sanitary and Phytosanitary Standards in International Trade. National Academy Press. Washington, DC. Pages 87-117.

Goodwin, Brett; Ostfeld, Richard S.; Schauber, Eric M. 2004. Spatiotemporal variation in a Lyme disease host and vector: Black-legged ticks on white-footed mice. Vector-Borne and Zoonotic Diseases. Vol:1(2). Pages 129-138.

Gordon, E. R.; Curns, A.; Krebs, J. W.; Rupprecht, Charles; Real, Leslie A.; Childs, James E.. 2004. Temporal dynamics of rabies in a wildlife host and the risk of cross-species transmission. Epidemiology and Infection. Vol: 132. Pages 515-524.

Gordon, Wendy; Jackson, Robert B. 2000. Nutrient concentrations in fine roots. Ecology. Vol: 81. Pages 275-280.

Gotelli, Nicholas J.; Rohde, Klaus. 2002. Co-occurrence of ectoparasites of marine fishes: A null model analysis. Ecology Letters. Vol: 5(1). Pages 86-94.

Gough, Laura; Osenberg, Craig W.; Gross, Katherine L.; Collins, Scott L. 2000. Fertilization effects on species density and primary productivity in herbaceous plant communities. Oikos. Vol: 89. Pages428-439. Gower, Stith T.; Krankina, Olga; Olson, Richard J.; Apps, Michael; Linder, Sune; Wang, C. 2001. Net primary production and carbon allocation patterns of boreal forest ecosystems. Ecological Applications. Vol: 11. Pages 1395-1411.

Grace, Jim; Anderson, T. Michael; Smith, Melinda D.; Seabloom, Eric; Andelman, Sandy J.; Meche, Gayna; Weiher, Evan; Allain, Larry K.; Jutila, Heli; Sankaran, Mahesh; Knops, Johan; Ritchie, Mark; Willig, Michael R.. 2007. Does species diversity limit productivity in natural grassland communities?. Ecology Letters. Vol: 10. Pages 680-689.

Graham, Catherine; Ferrier, Simon; Huettmann, Falk; Moritz, Craig; Peterson, A. Townsend. 2004. New developments in museum-based informatics and applications in biodiversity analysis. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. Vol: 19(9). Pages 497-503.

Graham, Michael H.; Halpern, Ben. In press. Diversity and dynamics of California subtidal kelp forests: Disentangling trophic interactions from habitat associations. /Edited by / McClanahan, T.. Marine Sublittoral Food Webs. Oxford University Press.

Gram, Wendy; Borer, Elizabeth T.; Cottingham, Kathryn L.; Seabloom, Eric; Boucher, V.; Goldwasser, Lloyd; Micheli, Fiorenza; Kendall, Bruce E.; Burton, Rebecca. 2004. Distribution of plants in a California serpentine grassland: Are rocky hummocks spatial refuges for native species?. Plant Ecology. Vol: 172. Pages 159-171.

Gram, Wendy; Sork, Victoria L. 2001. Association between environmental and genetic heterogeneity in forest tree populations. Ecology. Vol:82(7). Pages 2012-2021.

Gram, Wendy; Sork, Victoria L.; Marquis, R.; Renken, R.; Clawson, R.; Faaborg, J.; Fantz, D.; Le Corff, J.; Lill, John T.; Porneluzi, P. A..2001. Evaluating the effects of ecosystem management: A case study in a Missouri Ozark forest. Ecological Applications. Vol: 11(6). Pages 1667-1669.

Grantham, Brian; Eckert, Ginny; Shanks, Alan L. 2003. Dispersal potential of marine invertebrates in diverse habitats. Ecological Applications (Supplement: The Science of Marine Reserves). Vol: 13(1).Pages S108-S116.

Green, Jessica L.; Bohannan, Brendan. 2006. Spatial scaling of bacterial biodiversity. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. Vol: 21(9).Pages 501-507.

Green, Jessica L.; Bohannan, Brendan. In press. Are microbes fundamentally different? Scaling relationships in microbial diversity./Edited by / Storch, D.; Marquet, P.; West, G.; Brown, J.. Scaling and Biodiversity. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge.

Greenberg, J. G.; Gergel, Sarah E.; Turner, Monica G.. 2001.Understanding landscape metrics II: Effects of changes in scale. /Edited by / Gergel, S. E.; Turner, M. G.. Learning Landscape Ecology: A Practical Guide to Concepts and Techniques. Springer-Verlag. New York. Pages 15-16.

Greene, Charles H.; Pershing, Andrew J.. 2003. The flip-side of the North Atlantic Oscillation and modal shifts in slope-water circulation patterns. Limnology and Oceanography. Vol: 48. Pages 319-322.

Greene, Charles H.; Pershing, Andrew J.. 2004. Climate and the conservation biology of North Atlantic right whales: The right whale at the wrong time?. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment. Vol: 2. Pages29-34.

Greene, Charles H.; Pershing, Andrew J.; Conversi, A.; Planque, B.;Hannah, C.; Sameoto, D.; Head, E.; Smith, P. C.; Reid, P. C.; Jossi, Jack W.; Mountain, D.; Benfield, Mark; Wiebe, P. H.; Durbin, E.. 2003. Trans-Atlantic responses of Calanus finmarchicus populations to basin-scale forcing associated with the North Atlantic Oscillation. Progress in Oceanography. Vol: 58(2-4). Pages 301-312.

Greene, Charles H.; Pershing, Andrew J.; Kenney, Robert D.; Jossi, Jack W. 2003. Impact of climate variability on the recovery of endangered North Atlantic right whales. Oceanography. Vol: 16(4). Pages 98-103.

Grenfell, Bryan; Bjornstad, Ottar N.; Kappey, J.. 2001. Traveling waves and spatial hierarchies in measles epidemics. Nature. Vol: 414. Pages716-723.

Grimm, Nancy B.; Gergel, Sarah E.; McDowell, William H.; Boyer, Elizabeth W.; Dent, Lisa; Groffman, Peter M.; Hart, Stephen C.; Harvey, Jud W.; Johnston, Carol A.; Mayorga, Emilio; McClain, Michael; Pinay, Gilles. 2003. Merging aquatic and terrestrial perspectives of nutrient biogeochemistry. Oecologia. Vol: 137(4). Pages 485-501.

Grimm, Nancy B.; Grove, Morgan; Pickett, Steward T.; Redman, Charles.2000. Integrated approaches to long-term studies of urban ecological systems. BioScience. Vol: 50. Pages 571-584.

Gross, John E.; McAllister, R. R.J.; Abel, N.; Stafford Smith, Mark; Maru, Y. 2006. Australian rangelands as complex adaptive systems: A conceptual model and preliminary results. Environmental Modeling and Software . Vol: 21. Pages 1264-1272.

Gross, Katherine L. 2004. Promoting ecological research in National Parks by investing in the next generation of scientists. Ecological Applications. Vol: 14. Pages 15-17.

* Gross, Katherine L.; Willig, Michael R.; Gough, Laura; Inouye, R.; Cox, Stephen B.. 2000. Patterns of species density and productivity at different spatial scales in herbaceous plant communities. Oikos. Vol:89(3). Pages 417-427.

Grover, James P. 2002. Stoichiometry, herbivory and competition for nutrients: Simple models based on planktonic ecosystems. Journal of Theoretical Biology. Vol: 214. Pages 599-618.

Grover, James P.. 2003. The impact of variable stoichiometry on predator-prey interactions: A multinutrient approach. American Naturalist. Vol: 162. Pages 29-43.

Groves, Craig. 2002. Planning for biodiversity conservation: Putting conservation science into practice. BioScience. Vol: 52. Pages 499-512.

Groves, Craig. 2003. Drafting a Conservation Blueprint: A Practitioner's Guide to Planning for Biodiversity. Island Press. Washington, DC.

Guernier, Vanina; Hochberg, Michael; Guegan, Jean-Francois. 2004. Ecology drives the worldwide distribution of human diseases. Public Library of Science Biology. Vol: 2(6). Pages 1-7.

Guisan, Antoine; Graham, Catherine; Elith, Jane; Huettmann, Falk. 2007. Sensitivity of predictive species distribution models to change in grain size. Diversity and Distributions. Vol: 13(3). Pages 332-340.

Gunderson, Lance H.; Holling, Crawford S. 2001. Panarchy: Understanding Transformations in Human and Natural Systems. Island Press. Washington, DC. Pages 450.

Gunderson, Lance H.; Holling, Crawford S.; Peterson, Garry. 2001. Surprises and sustainability: Cycles of renewal in the Everglades./Edited by / Gunderson, L.; Holling, C. S.. Panarchy: Understanding Transformations in Human and Natural Systems. Island Press. Washington, DC. Pages 315-332.

Hackett, Edward J.. 2005. Essential tensions: Identity, control, and risk in research. Social Studies of Science. Vol: 35(5). Pages 787-826.

Hackett, Edward J.. 2005. Introduction: Special guest-edited issue on scientific collaboration. Social Studies of Science. Vol: 35(5). Pages667-671.

Hadiprakarsa, Y.; Kinnaird, Margaret F. 2004. Foraging characteristics of an assemblage of four Sumatran hornbill species. Bird Conservation International. Vol: 14. Pages S53-S62.

Haeuber, Richard; Michener, William K. 1998. Natural flood control. Issues in Science and Technology. Vol: 15. Pages 74.

Haight, Robert G.; Cypher, Brian L.; Kelly, Patrick A.; Phillips, Scott; Possingham, Hugh P.; Ralls, Katherine; Starfield, Anthony; White, P. J.; Williams, Daniel. 2002. Optimizing habitat protection using demographic models of population viability. Conservation Biology. Vol:16(5). Pages 1386-1397.

Haight, Robert G.; Cypher, Brian L.; Kelly, Patrick A.; Phillips, Scott; Ralls, Katherine; Possingham, Hugh P. 2004. Optimizing reserve expansion for disjunct populations of San Joaquin kit fox. Biological Conservation. Vol: 117(1). Pages 61-72.

Halpern, Ben. 2003. The impact of marine reserves: Do reserves work and does reserve size matter?. Ecological Applications (Supplement: The Science of Marine Reserves). Vol: 13(1). Pages S117-S137.

Halpern, Ben. 2004. Are mangroves a limiting resource for two coral reef fishes?. Marine Ecology Progress Series. Vol: 272. Pages 93-98.

Halpern, Ben. 2004. Habitat bottlenecks in stage-structured species: Hermit crabs as a model system. Marine Ecology Progress Series. Vol:276. Pages 197-207.

Halpern, Ben; Borer, Elizabeth T.; Seabloom, Eric; Shurin, Jonathan B..2004. Predator effects on herbivore and plant stability. Ecology Letters. Vol: 8. Pages 189-194.

Halpern, Ben; Cottenie, Karl; Broitman, Bernardo R.. 2006. Strongtop-down control in Southern California kelp forest ecosystems. Science. Vol: 312. Pages 1230-1232.

Halpern, Ben; Gaines, Steven D.; Warner, Robert R.. 2004. Confounding effects of the export of production and the displacement of fishing effort from marine reserves. Ecological Applications. Vol: 14(4). Pages1248-1256. Online version <../../nceas-web/projects/5020/halpernetal.pdf>

Halpern, Ben; Gaines, Steven D.; Warner, Robert R. 2005. Habitat size, recruitment, and longevity as factors limiting population size in stage-structured species. American Naturalist. Vol: 165(1). Pages 82-94.

Halpern, Ben; Pyke, Chris; Haney, C.; Zaradic, P.; Fox, Helen; Schlapfer, M. 2006. Gaps and mismatches between global conservation priorities and spending. Conservation Biology. Vol: 20(1). Pages 56-64.

Halpern, Ben; Regan, Helen; Possingham, Hugh P.; McCarahy, Mick. 2006. Accounting for uncertainty in marine reserve design. Ecology Letters. Vol: 9. Pages 2-11.

Halpern, Ben; Warner, Robert R. 2002. Marine reserves have rapid and lasting effects. Ecology Letters. Vol: 5. Pages 361-366.

Hamlin, C. S.; Lodge, David M.. 2006. Ecology and religion for a post natural world. /Edited by / Lodge, David M.; Hamlin, C.S. Religion and the New Ecology: Environmental Prudence in a World in Flux. University of Notre Dame Press. Notre Dame, Indiana. Hammond, Debora; Bradshaw, Gay A. 2001. Re-thinking the "and" in humans and nature: Ecology at the boundary of the human dimension. Ecological Society of America Bulletin.

Harden, J. W.; Trumbore, Sue; Stocks, Brian; Hirsch, A.; Gower, StithT.; O'Neill, K. P.; Kasischke, E. S.. 2000. The role of fire in the boreal carbon budget. Global Change Biology. Vol: 6(S1). Pages 174-184.

Harding, E. K.; Crone, Elizabeth E.; Elderd, Bret D.; Hoekstra, Jonathan; McKerrow, Alexa; Perrine, John; Regetz, Jim; Rissler, Leslie; Stanley, Amanda; Walters, Eric L.. 2001. The scientific foundations of habitat conservation plans: A quantitative assessment. Conservation Biology. Vol: 15(2). Pages 488-500.

Harlan, Sharon L.; Brazel, Anthony J.; Prashad, Lela; Stefanov, William L.; Larsen, Larissa. 2006. Neighborhood microclimates and vulnerability to heat stress. Social Science and Medicine. Vol: 63. Pages 2847-2863.

Harmon, Luke; Schulte, James A.; Larson, Allan; Losos, Jonathan B..2003. Tempo and mode of evolutionary radiation in Iguanian lizards. Science. Vol: 301. Pages 961-964.

Hart, K. M.; Mooreside, Peter; Crowder, Larry B. 2006. Interpreting the spatio-temporal patterns of sea turtle strandings: Going with the flow. Biological Conservation. Vol: 129. Pages 283-290.

* Hart, Stephen C.; Perry, D.. 1999. Transferring soils from high- to low-elevation forests increases nitrogen cycling rates: Climate change implications. Global Change Biology. Vol: 5. Pages 23-32.

Harvel, J. E.; Shurin, Jonathan B.. 2006. Mechanisms, effects and scales of dispersal in freshwater zooplankton. Limnology and Oceanography. Vol: 49(4). Pages 1229-1238.

Harvell, Drew; Aronson, Richard B.; Baron, Nancy; Connell, Joseph H.; Dobson, Andrew P.; Ellner, Stephen P.; Gerber, Leah; Kim, Kiho; Kuris, Armand; McCallum, Hamish; Lafferty, Kevin; McKay, Bruce; Porter, James W.; Pascual, Mercedes; Smith, Garriet; Sutherland, Kathryn; Ward, Jessica. 2004. The rising tide of ocean diseases: Unsolved problems and research priorities. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment. Vol:7(2). Pages 375-382.

Harvell, Drew; Mitchell, Charles; Ward, Jessica; Altizer, Sonia; Dobson, Andrew P.; Ostfeld, Richard S.; Samuel, Michael D. 2002.Climate warming and disease risks for terrestrial and marine biota. Science. Vol: 296(5576). Pages 2158-2162.

Harvey, Erik; Hoekstra, Jonathan; O'Connor, Raymond J.; Fagan, William F. 2002. Recovery plan revisions: Progress or due process?. Ecological Applications. Vol: 12. Pages 682-689.

Haskell, John P. 2001. The latitudinal gradient of diversity through the Holocene as recorded by fossil pollen in Europe. Evolutionary Ecology Research. Vol: 3. Pages 345-360.

Hassett, Brooke; Palmer, Margaret A.; Bernhardt, Emily; Smith, Sean; Carr, Jamie; Hart, David. 2005. Restoring watersheds project by project: Trends in Chesapeake Bay tributary restoration. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment. Vol: 3(5). Pages 2 9-267.

Hastings, Alan; Botsford, Louis W.. 2003. Comparing designs of marine reserves for fisheries and for biodiversity. Ecological Applications(Supplement: The Science of Marine Reserves). Vol: 13(1). Pages S65-S70.

Hastings, Alan; Byers, James E.; Crooks, Jeff; Cuddington, Kim; Jones, Clive G.; Lambrinos, John; Talley, Theresa; Wilson, Will G. 2006. Ecosystem engineering in space and time. Ecology Letters. Vol: 10. Pages1-12.

Hastings, Alan; Byers, James E.; Crooks, Jeff; Cuddington, Kim; Jones, Clive G.; Lambrinos, John; Talley, Theresa; Wilson, Will G. In press. Ecosystem Engineering in Space and Time. Elsevier.

Hatch, Leila; Uriarte, Maria; Fink, Daniel; Aldrich-Wolfe, Laura; Allen, Richard; Webb, Colleen T.; Zamudio, Kelly; Power, Alison G..2002. Jurisdiction over endangered species' habitat: The impacts of people and property on recovery planning. Ecological Applications. Vol:12. Pages 690-700.

Havel, John E.; Shurin, Jonathan B.; Jones, J. R. 2002. Estimating dispersal from patterns of spread: Spatial and local control of lake invasions. Ecology. Vol: 83. Pages 3306-3318.

Hawkins, Bradford A.; Field, Richard; Cornell, Howard V.; Currie, David; Guegan, Jean-Francois; Kaufman, Dawn; Kerr, Jeremy T.; Mittelbach, Gary; Oberdorff, Thierry; O'Brien, Eileen M.; Porter, Eric; Turner, John. 2003. Energy, water, and broad-scale geographic patterns of species richness. Ecology. Vol: 84(12). Pages 3105-3117.

Heal, Geoffrey. 2004. Economics of biodiversity: An introduction. Resource and Energy Economics (Special issue on the economics of biodiversity). Vol: 26(2). Pages 105-114.

Heal, Geoffrey; Walker, Brian; Levin, Simon A.; Arrow, Kenneth; Dasgupta, Partha; Daily, Gretchen; Ehrlich, Paul; Maler, Karl-Goran; Kautsky, Nils; Lubchenco, Jane; Schneider, Steve; Starrett, David. 2004. Genetic diversity and interdependent crop

choices in agriculture. Resource and Energy Economics (Special issue on the economics of biodiversity). Vol: 26(2). Pages 175-184.

Heck, Kenneth L.; Hays, G.; Orth, Robert J.. 2003. Critical evaluation of the nursery role hypothesis for seagrass meadows. Marine Ecology Progress Series. Vol: 253. Pages 123-136.

* Hedges, Larry; Gurevitch, Jessica; Curtis, Peter. 1999. The meta-analysis of response ratios in experimental ecology. Ecology. Vol:80. Pages 1150-1156.

Hedges, Simon; Tyson, Martin J.; Sitompul, Arnold F.; Kinnaird, Margaret F.; Gunaryadi, Donny; Aslan, -. 2005. Distribution, status, and conservation needs of Asian elephants (Elephas maximus) in Lampung Province, Sumatra, Indonesia. Biological Conservation. Vol: 124. Pages35-48.

Hellberg, Michael E.; Burton, Ronald; Hedgecock, Dennis; Neigel, Joseph E.; Palumbi, Stephen R.. 2002. Genetic assessment of connectivity among marine populations. Bulletin of Marine Science. Vol: 70. Pages 273-290.

Heppell, Selina; Heppell, Scott A.; Read, Andrew J.; Crowder, Larry B..2005. Effects of fishing on long-lived marine organisms. /Edited by /Norse, Elliot A.; Crowder, Larry B.. Marine Conservation Biology: The Science of Maintaining the Sea's Biodiversity. Island Press. Washington, D.C.. Pages 211-231.

Hilderbrand, Robert; Watts, Adam; Randle, April. 2005. The myths of restoration ecology. Ecology and Society. Vol: 10(1). Pages 19.

Hinke, Jefferson; Kaplan, Isaac; Aydin, Kerim; Watters, George; Olson, Robert J.; Kitchell, James F. 2004. Visualizing the food-web effects of fishing for tuna in the Pacific Ocean. Ecology and Society. Vol: 9(1).Pages 10. Online version<http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol9%20/iss1/art10>

Hobbie, Sarah; Schimel, Josh; Trumbore, Sue; Randerson, James. 2000. Controls over carbon storage and turnover in high-latitude soils. Global Change Biology. Vol: 6(S1). Pages 196-210.

Hochberg, Michael. 2004. A theory of modern cultural shifts and meltdowns. Proceedings of Royal Society London Series B (Supplement 5). Vol: 271. Pages 313-316.

Hochberg, Michael; Holt, Robert D.; Gomulkiewicz, Richard; Thompson, John N. 2000. Weak sinks could cradle mutualistic symbioses – strong sources should harbor parasitic symbioses. Journal of Evolutionary Biology. Vol: 13. Pages 213-222.

Hoekstra, Jonathan; Clark, Alan; Fagan, William F.; Boersma, Dee. 2002.A comprehensive review of Endangered Species Act Recovery Plans. Ecological Applications. Vol: 12. Pages 630-640.

Hoekstra, Jonathan; Fagan, William F.; Bradley, Jeff. 2002. A critical role for critical habitat in the recovery planning process? Not yet. Ecological Applications. Vol: 12. Pages 701-707.

Holl, Karen; Crone, Elizabeth E.; Schultz, Cheryl B. 2003. Landscape restoration: Moving from generalities to methodologies. BioScience. Vol:53(5). Pages 491-502.

Holland, Steven M.; Patzkowsky, Mark E. 2004. Ecosystem structure and stability: Middle Upper Ordovician of central Kentucky, USA. PALAIOS. Vol: 19. Pages 316-331.

Holling, Crawford S.; Gunderson, Lance H.; Peterson, Garry. 2001. Sustainability and panarchies. /Edited by / Gunderson, L.; Holling, C.S.. Panarchy: Understanding Transformations in Human and Natural Systems. Island Press. Washington, DC. Pages 63-102.

Holt, Robert D.; Barfield, M.; Gomulkiewicz, Richard. 2005. Theories of niche conservatism and evolution: Could exotic species be potential tests?. /Edited by / Sax, Dov F.; Stachosicz, John J.; Gaines, Steven D.. Species Invasions: Insights into Ecology, Evolution, and Biogeography. Sinauer Associates, Inc.. Sunderland, Massachusetts. Pages 259-290.

Holt, Robert D.; Dobson, Andrew P.; Begon, M.; Bowers, R. G.; Schauber, Eric M.. 2003. Parasite establishment in host communities. Ecology Letters. Vol: 6(9). Pages 837-842.

Holt, Robert D.; Holyoak, Marcel; Leibold, Mathew. 2005. Future directions in metacommunity ecology. /Edited by / Holyoak, Marcel; Leibold, Mathew A.; Holt, Robert D.. Meta communities: Spatial Dynamics and Ecological Communities. University of Chicago Press. Chicago. Pages465-489.

Holt, Robert D.; Hoopes, Martha F.. 2005. Food web dynamics in a metacommunity context. /Edited by / Holyoak, Marcel; Leibold, Mathew A.; Holt, Robert D.. Metacommunities: Spatial Dynamics and Ecological Communities. University of Chicago Press. Chicago. Pages 68-93.

Holt, Robert D.; Keitt, Timothy H.. 2005. Species' borders: A unifying theme in ecology. Oikos. Vol: 108. Pages 3-6.

Holt, Robert D.; Keitt, Timothy H.; Lewis, Mark A.; Maurer, Brian A.; Taper, Mark L.. 2005. Theoretical models of species' borders: Single species approaches. Oikos. Vol: 108. Pages 18-27.

Holyoak, Marcel; Lawler, Sharon; Crowley, Philip H. 2000. Predicting extinction: Progress with an individual-based model of protozoan predators and prey. Ecology. Vol: 81. Pages 3312-3329. Holyoak, Marcel; Leibold, Mathew; Holt, Robert D. 2005. Metacommunities: Spatial dynamics and ecological communities. University of Chicago Press. Chicago. Pages 513.

Homes, Elizabeth E., 1997. Basic epidemiological concepts in a spatial context . /Edited by / Tilman, David; Kareiva, Peter. Spatial Ecology: The Role of Space in Population Dynamics and Interspecific Interactions. Princeton University Press. Princeton. Pages 111-136.

Hoopes, Martha F.; Holt, Robert D.; Holyoak, Marcel. 2005. The effects of spatial processes on two species interactions. /Edited by / Holyoak, Marcel; Leibold, Mathew A.; Holt, Robert D.. Metacommunities: Spatial Dynamics and Ecological Communities. University of Chicago Press. Chicago. Pages 35-67.

Hosseini, Parviez R.; Dhondt, Andre A.; Dobson, Andrew P. 2004. Seasonality and wildlife disease: How seasonal birth, aggregation and variation in immunity affect the dynamics of Myco plasma gallisepticum in house finches. Proceedings of the Royal Society: Biological Sciences. Vol: 271. Pages 2569-2577.

House, Joanna I.; Archer, Steven R.; Breshears, David D.; Scholes, Robert J. 2003. Conundrums in mixed woody-herbaceous plant systems. Journal of Biogeography. Vol: 30. Pages 1763-1777.

Howarth, Richard; Farber, Stephen. 2002. Accounting for the value of ecosystem services. Ecological Economics. Vol: 41(3). Pages 421-429.Online versionhttp://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/B6VDY-45RFMW5-8/1/6dc704df5bc27eee6d09007be9f590c6>

Howarth, Robert W.. 2002. The nitrogen cycle. /Edited by / Mooney, Harold A.; Canadell, Josep G.. Encyclopedia of Global Environmental Change, The Earth System: Biological and Ecological Dimensions of Global Environmental Change. Vol: 2. John Wiley and Sons, Ltd.. Chichester. Pages 429-435.

Howarth, Robert W.; Anderson, Donald M.; Cloern, James; Elfring, Chris; Hopkinson, Chuck; Lapointe, Brian; Malone, Tom; Marcus, Nancy; McGlathery, Karen J.; Sharpley, Andrew; Walker, Dan. 2000. Nutrient pollution of coastal rivers, bays, and seas. Issues in Ecology. Vol: 7.Pages 1-15.

Howarth, Robert W.; Boyer, Elizabeth W.; Pabich, W. J.; Galloway, Jim.2002. Nitrogen use in the United States from 1961-2000 and potential future trends. Ambio. Vol: 31. Pages 88-96.

* Huang, Y.; Sass, Ron L.; Fisher, F. M. 1998. Model estimates of methane emission from irrigated rice cultivation of China. Global Change Biology. Vol: 4. Pages 809-821.

Hudson, Peter J.; Dobson, Andrew P.; Lafferty, Kevin. 2006. Is a health ecosystem one that is rich in parasites?. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. Vol: 21(7). Pages 381-385.

Huettmann, Falk; Linke, Julia. 2003. An automated method to derive habitat preferences of wildlife in GIS and telemetry studies: A flexible software tool and examples of its application. European Journal for Wildlife Research. Vol: 49(3). Pages 219-232.

Huettmann, Falk; Linke, Julia. 2003. Assessment of different link functions for modeling binary data to derive sound inferences and predictions. ICCSA. Vol: 2669. Pages 43-48.

Hughes, Jennifer B.; Bohannan, Brendan; Brown, James H.; Colwell, Robert K.; Fuhrman, Jed; Green, Jessica L.; Kane, Matthew; Krumins, Jennifer; Kuske, Cheryl; Morin, Peter J.; Naeem, Shahid; Ovreas, Lise; Reysenbach, Anna-Louise; Smith, Val H.; Staley, James T. 2006.Microbial biogeography: Putting microorganisms on the map. Nature Reviews Microbiology. Vol: 4(2). Pages 102-112.

Hungate, Bruce; Dukes, Jeff; Shaw, M. Rebecca; Luo, Yiqi; Field, Christopher B. 2003. Nitrogen and climate change. Science. Vol: 302.Pages 1512-1513.

Hunsaker, Carolyn T.; Goodchild, Michael F.; Friedl, Mark A.; Case, Ted. 2001. Spatial uncertainty in ecology. Springer-Verlag. Berlin.

Hurlbert, Allen; Haskell, John P.. 2003. The effect of energy and seasonality on avian species richness and community composition. American Naturalist. Vol: 161. Pages 83-97.

Huxman, Travis E.; Smith, Melinda D.; Fay, Phil; Knapp, Alan K.; Shaw, M. Rebecca; Loik, Michael E.; Smith, Stanley D.; Tissue, David T.; Zak, John C.; Weltzin, Jake F.; Pockman, William T.; Sala, Osvaldo E.; Haddad, Brent; Harte, John; Koch, George W.; Schwinning, Susan; Small, Eric E.; Williams, David G. 2004. Convergence across biomes to a common rain-use efficiency. Nature. Vol: 429. Pages 651-654.

* Ives, Anthony R.; Carpenter, Steve R.; Dennis, Brian. 1999. Community interaction webs and zooplankton responses to planktivory manipulations. Ecology. Vol: 80. Pages 1405-1421.

Jablonski, David. 2000. Micro- and macroevolution: Scale and hierarchy in evolutionary biology and paleobiology. Paleobiology. Vol: 26. The Paleontological Society. Pages 15-52.

Jackson, Jeremy. 2001. What was natural in the coastal oceans? Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Vol: 98. Pages 5411-5418.

Jackson, Jeremy; Kirby, Michael X.; Berger, Wolfgang H.; Bjorndal, Karen A.; Botsford, Louis W.; Bourque, Bruce J.; Bradbury, Roger; Cooke, Richard G.; Erlandson, Jon;

Estes, James; Hughes, Terence P.; Kidwell, Susan; Lange, Carina; Lenihan, Hunter S.; Pandolfi, John; Peterson, Charles H.; Steneck, Robert S.; Tegner, Mia; Warner, Robert R.. 2001.Historical over fishing and the recent collapse of coastal ecosystems. Science. Vol: 293. Pages 629-638.

* Jackson, Robert B.. 1999. The importance of root distributions for hydrology, biogeochemistry, and ecosystem functioning. /Edited by /Tenhunen, J.; Kabat P. J.. Integrating Hydrology, Ecosystem Dynamics, and Biogeochemistry in Complex Landscapes. John Wiley and Sons, Ltd.. Chichester. Pages 219-240.

Jacobson, A. R.; Provenzale, A.; Von Hardenberg, A.; Bassano, B.; Festa-Bianchet, Marco. 2004. Climate forcing and density dependence in a mountain ungulate population. Ecology. Vol: 85(6). Pages 1598-1610.

* Jaeger, J.; Carlson, I.; Porter, Warren P.. 1999. Endocrine, immune, and behavioral effects of aldicarb (carbamate), atrazine (triazine) and nitrate (fertilizer) mixtures at groundwater concentrations. Toxicology and Industrial Health. Vol: 15 (1-2). Pages 133-150.

Jenkins, Jennifer; Birdsey, Richard A.; Pan, Y.. 2001. Biomass and NP Pestimation for the mid-Atlantic region (USA) using plot-level forest inventory data. Ecological Applications. Vol: 11. Pages 1174-1193.

Jennings, Michael D.; Williams, John W.; Stromberg, Mark. 2005.Diversity and productivity of plant communities across the Inland Northwest, USA. Oecologia. Vol: 143. Pages 607-618.

Johnes, Penny; Butterfield, D.. 2002. Landscape, regional and global estimates of nitrogen flux from land to sea: Errors and uncertainties. Biogeochemistry. Vol: 57&58. Pages 429-476.

Johnson, Nancy C.; Hoeksema, Jason D.; Bever, James; Chaudhary, Bala; Gehring, Catherine; Klironomos, John N.; Koide, Roger; Miller, Mike; Moore, John C.; Moutoglis, Peter; Schwartz, Mark W.; Simard, Suzanne; Swenson, William; Umbanhowar, James A.; Wilson, Gail W.; Zabinski, Catherine. 2006. From lilliput to brobdingnag: Extending models of mycorrhizal function across scales. BioScience. Vol: 56(11). Pages 889-900.

Johnson, Sherri; Swanson, Fred; Grant, Gordon; Wondzell, Steve. 2000.Riparian forest disturbances by a mountain flood - the influence off loated wood. Hydrological Processes. Vol: 14. Pages 3031.

Jolles, Anna E.; Sullivan, Patrick; Alker, Alisa P.; Harvell, Drew.2002. Disease transmission of aspergillosis in sea fans: Inferring process from spatial pattern. Ecology. Vol: 83(9). Pages 2373-2378.

Jones, Matthew B.; Berkley, Chad; Bojilova, Jivka; Schildhauer, MarkP.. 2001. Managing scientific metadata. IEEE Internet Computing. Vol:5(5). Pages 59-68.

Juanes, Francis. 2001. Mediterranean marine protected areas. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. Vol: 16. Pages 169-170.

Juanes, Francis. 2003. The allometry of cannibalism in piscivorous fishes. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. Vol: 60.Pages 594-602.

Kaandorp, Jaap A.; Kubler, Janet E. 2001. The Algorithmic Beauty of Seaweeds, Sponges, and Corals. Springer-Verlag. New York.

Kaplan, David; Botsford, Louis W.. 2005. Effects of variability in spacing of coastal marine reserves on fisheries yield and sustainability. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. Vol:62. Pages 905-912. Online version<../../nceas-web/projects/5020/kaplanbotsford.pdf>

Kaplan, Isaac. 2005. A risk assessment for Pacific leatherback turtles(Dermochelys coriacea). Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. Vol: 62. Pages 1710-1719.

Kareiva, Peter. 2002. Applying ecological science to recovery planning. Ecological Applications. Vol: 12. Pages 629.

Kareiva, Peter; Holmes, Elizabeth E.. 2000. Can we use focal species to anticipate ecosystem-level consequences of environmental pollutants?./Edited by / Albers, P.. Exotoxicology and Wildlife Ecology. Smithsonian Press.

Karl, Dave; Michaels, Anthony F. ; Bergman, Birgitta; Capone, Doug; Carpenter, Ed; Leterlier, R.; Lipschultz, Fred; Paerl, Hans; Sigman, Dan; Stah, L. 2002. Dinitrogen fixation in the world's oceans. Biogeochemistry. Vol: 57&58. Pages 47-98.

Kawecki, Tadeusz; Holt, Robert D. 2002. Evolutionary consequences of asymmetric dispersal rates. American Naturalist. Vol: 160. Pages 333-347.

Keane, Robert; Cary, Geoff; Davies, Ian; Flannigan, Michael; Gardner, Robert H.; Lavorel, Sandra; Lenihan, Jim. In press. Understanding global fire dynamics by classifying and comparing spatial models of vegetation dynamics. GCTE Project Science Volume.

Keane, Robert; Cary, Geoff; Davies, Ian; Flannigan, Michael; Gardner, Robert H.; Lavorel, Sandra; Lenihan, Jim; Li, Chao; Rupp, Scott. 2004. A classification of landscape fire succession models: Spatial simulations of fire and vegetation dynamics. Ecological Modeling. Vol: 179. Pages3-27.
Keane, Robert; Cary, Geoff; Parsons, Russell. 2003. Using simulation to map fire regimes: An evaluation of approaches, strategies, and limitations. International Journal of Wildland Fire. Vol: 12(4). Pages309-322.

Kearns, Carol. 2001. North American dipteran pollinators: Assessing their value and conservation status. Conservation Ecology. Vol: 5(1).Pages 5.

Kearns, Carol. 2002. Flies and flowers, an enduring partnership. Wings.Vol: Fall.

Kearns, Carol. In press. Solicited book review: Conserving Migratory Pollinators (ed. G. Nabhan). Quarterly Review Biology.

Keith, David A.; Burgman, Mark. 2004. The Lazarus effect: Can thedynamics of extinct species lists tell us anything about the status of biodiversity?. Biological Conservation. Vol: 117(1). Pages 41-48.

Keith, David A.; McCarthy, Mick; Regan, Helen; Regan, Tracey; Bowles, Christy; Drill, Claire; Craig, Cory; Pellow, Belinda; Burgman, Mark; Master, Larry; Ruckelshaus, Mary; Mackenzie, Berin; Andelman, Sandy J.; Wade, Paul. 2004. Protocols for listing threatened species can forecast extinction. Ecology Letters. Vol: 7. Pages 1101-1108.

Keitt, Timothy H.; Amaral, Luis A. N.; Buldyrev, Sergey V.; Stanley, H.Eugene. 2002. Scaling in the growth of geographically subdivided populations: Invariant patterns from a continent-wide biological survey. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences.Vol: 357. Pages 627-633.

Keitt, Timothy H.; Bjornstad, Ottar N.; Dixon, Philip; Citron-Pousty, Steven I. 2002. Accounting for spatial pattern when modelingorganism-environment interactions. Ecography. Vol: 25. Pages 616-625.

* Keitt, Timothy H.; Eugene, Stanley. 1998. Dynamics of North American breeding bird populations. Nature. Vol: 393. Pages 257-260.

Keitt, Timothy H.; Lewis, Mark A.; Holt, Robert D.. 2001. Allee effects, invasion pinning, and species' borders. American Naturalist.Vol: 157. Pages 203-216.

Kelly, Dave; Sork, Victoria L. 2002. Mast seedling in perennial plants: Why, how, where?. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics. Vol: 33. Pages 427-447.

Kelt, D. A.; Brown, James H.. 2000. Species as units of analysis in ecology and biogeography: Are the blind leading the blind?. Global Ecology and Biogeography. Vol: 9(3). Pages 213-217.

* Kendall, Bruce E.; Bjornstad, Ottar N.; Bascompte, Jordi; Keitt, Timothy H.; Fagan, William F. 2000. Dispersal, environmental correlation, and spatial synchrony in population dynamics. American Naturalist. Vol: 155. Pages 628-636.

* Kendall, Bruce E.; Briggs, Cheryl J.; Murdoch, William W.; Turchin, Peter; Ellner, Stephen P.; McCauley, Edward; Nisbet, Roger M.; Wood, Simon. 1998. Why do populations cycle?: A synthesis of statistical and mechanistic modeling approaches. Ecology. Vol: 80. Pages 1789-1805.

Kendall, Bruce E.; Ellner, Stephen P.; McCauley, Edward; Wood, Simon; Briggs, Cheryl J.; Murdoch, William W.; Turchin, Peter. 2005. Population cycles in the pine looper moth: Dynamical tests of mechanistic hypotheses. Ecological Monographs. Vol: 75(2). Pages 259-276.

Kenfack, David; Thomas, Duncan; Chuyong, George; Condit, Richard. 2006. Rarity and abundance in a diverse African forest. Biodiversity and Conservation.

Kerkhoff, Andrew J.; Martens, Scott N.; Milne, Bruce T. 2004. An ecological evaluation of Eagleson's optimality hypotheses. Functional Ecology. Vol: 18. Pages 404-413.

Kerkhoff, Andrew J.; Martens, Scott N.; Shore, Gregory A.; Milne, BruceT.. 2004. Contingent effects of water balance variation on tree cover density in semiarid woodlands. Global Ecology and Biogeography. Vol: 13.Pages 237-246.

Kerr, Jeremy T. 2001. Butterfly species richness patterns in Canada: Energy, heterogeneity and the potential consequences of climate change. Conservation Ecology. Vol: 5(1). Pages 10.

Kerr, Jeremy T. 2001. Global biodiversity patterns: From description to understanding. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. Vol: 16. Pages 424-425.

Kerr, Richard. 2001. Putting limits on the diversity of life. Science. Vol: 292. Pages 1481.

Kerr, Suzi; Pfaff, Alexander; Sanchez-Azofeifa, G. A.. 2001. The dynamics of deforestation and the supply of carbon sequestration: Illustrative results from Costa Rica. /Edited by / Harvard Institute for International Development. Central America Project, Environment: Conservation and Competitiveness.

Kerr, Suzi; Pfaff, Alexander; Sanchez-Azofeifa, G. A.; Boscolo, M..2001. What role for tropical forests in climate change mitigation? The case of Costa Rica. /Edited by / Harvard Institute for International Development. Central America Project, Environment: Conservation and Competitiveness.

Kevan, Peter; Phillips, T. P.. 2001. The economic impacts of pollinator declines: An approach to assessing the consequences. Conservation Ecology. Vol: 5(1). Pages 8.

Kiessling, Wolfgang. 2005. Long-term relationships between ecological stability and biodiversity in Phanerozoic reefs. Nature. Vol: 433. Pages410-413.

Kiessling, Wolfgang; Baron-Szabo, R.. 2004. Extinction and recovery patterns of scleractinian corals at the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology. Vol: 214. Pages 195-223.

Kikvidze, Zaal. 2002. Facilitation and competition in alpine plant communities. Global Environmental Research. Vol: 6(1). Pages 53-58.

Kikvidze, Zaal; Pugnaire, Francisco; Brooker, Robin; Choler, Philippe; Lortie, Christopher J.; Michalet, Richard; Callaway, Ragan. 2005. Linking patterns and processes in alpine plant communities: A global study. Ecology. Vol: 86(6). Pages 1395-1400.

Kim, Kiho; Dobson, Andrew P.; Gulland, Frances; Harvell, Drew. In press. Disease and the conservation of marine diversity. /Edited by /Norse, E.; Crowder, L.. Conservation of Marine Ecosystems. Island Press.

Kim, Kiho; Gulland, Frances; Harvell, Drew. 2005. Diseases and the conservation of marine biology. /Edited by / Norse, Elliott A.; Crowder, Larry B. Marine Conservation Biology: The Science of Maintaining the Sea's Biodiversity. Island Press. Washington, D.C.. Pages 149-166.

Kim, Kiho; Harvell, Drew. 2004. The rise and fall of a six-year coral-fungal epizootic. American Naturalist. Vol: 164. Pages S52-S63.

Kim, Kiho; Harvell, Drew; Dobson, Andrew P.; Gulland, Frances. 2001. A plague of marine epidemics. Marine Biodiversity and Conservation. Island Press.

Keyser, Alisa R.; Kimball, John; Nemani, R.R.; Running, Steven W..2000. Simulating the effects of climate change on the carbon balance of North American high-latitude forests. Global Change Biology. Vol: 6(S1). Pages 185-195.

Kingsford, Michael J.; Leis, Jeffrey M.; Shanks, Alan L.; Lindeman, Ken; Morgan, Steven; Pineda, Jesus. 2002. Sensory environments, larval abilities and local self-recruitment. Bulletin of Marine Science. Vol:70. Pages 309-340.

Kinlan, Brian; Hastings, Alan. 2005. Rates of population spread and geographic range expansion: What exotic species tells us. /Edited by /Sax, Dov F.; Stachowicz, John J.; Gaines, Steven D.. Species Invasions: Insights into Ecology, Evolution, and Biogeography. Sinauer Associates, Inc.. Sunderland, Massachusetts. Pages 381-419.

Kinnaird, Margaret F.; O'Brien, Timothy G. 2005. Fast food in the forest: The influence of figs on primates and hornbills across Wallace's Line. /Edited by / Dew, J. Lawrence;

Boubli, Jean P. Tropical Fruits and Frugivores: The Search for Strong Interactors. Springer. New York. Pages155-184.

Kinzig, Ann P. 2002. Opening remarks. /Edited by / Kinzig A.; PacalaS.; Tilman D.. Functional Consequences of Biodiversity: Empirical Progress and Theoretical Extensions. Princeton University Press. Princeton. Pages 1-6.

Kinzig, Ann P.; Pacala, Stephen W.. 2002. Successional biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. /Edited by / Kinzig, A.; Pacala, S.; Tilman, D..Functional Consequences of Biodiversity: Empirical Progress and Theoretical Extensions. Princeton University Press. Princeton. Pages175-212.

Kinzig, Ann P.; Pacala, Stephen W.; Tilman, David. 2002. Looking back and peering forward. /Edited by / Kinzig, A.; Pacala, S.; Tilman, D. Functional Consequences of Biodiversity: Empirical Progress and Theoretical Extensions. Princeton University Press. Princeton. Pages314-329.

Kinzig, Ann P.; Pacala, Stephen W.; Tilman, David. 2002. The Functional Consequences of Biodiversity: Empirical Progress and Theoretical Extensions. Princeton University Press. Princeton. Pages 365.

Kitchell, James F.; Cox, Sean; Harvey, Chris J.; Johnson, T. B.; Mason, Doran M.; Schoen, K. K.; Aydin, Kerim; Bronte, C.; Ebener, M.; Hansen, Michael; Hoff, M.; Schram, S.; Schreiner, D.; Walters, Carl. 2000. Sustainability of the Lake Superior fish community: Interactions in a food web context. Ecosystems. Vol: 3. Pages 545-560.

Kitchell, James F.; Essington, Timothy; Boggs, Chris; Schindler, Daniel E.; Walters, Carl. 2002. The role of sharks and long line fisheries in a pelagic ecosystem of the central Pacific. Ecosystems. Vol: 5. Pages202-216.

Kitchell, James F.; Kaplan, Isaac; Cox, Sean; Martell, Steve J.; Essington, Timothy; Boggs, Chris; Walters, Carl. 2004. Ecological and economic components of alternative fishing methods to reduce by catch of marlin in a tropical pelagic ecosystem. Bulletin of Marine Science. Vol:73(3). Pages 607-619.

Kittel, Timothy; Steffen, Will; Chapin, F. Stuart. 2000. Global and regional modeling of Arctic-boreal vegetation distribution and its sensitivity to altered forcing. Global change biology. Vol: 6(S1). Pages1-18.

Klein, Alexandra-Maria; Vaissiere, Bernard E.; Cane, James H.; Steffan-Dewenter, Ingolf; Cunningham, Saul; Kremen, Claire; Tscharntke,Teja. 2006. Importance of pollinators in changing landscapes for world crops. Proceedings of The Royal Society: Biological Sciences (First Cite Early Online Publishing). Vol: 1471-2954. Online version<http://www.journals.royalsoc.ac.uk/openurl.asp?genre=article&id=doi:10.1098/r spb.2006.3721> Klug, Jen; Cottingham, Kathryn L. 2001. Interactions among environmental drivers: Community responses to changing nutrients and dissolved organic carbon. Ecology. Vol: 82. Pages 3390-3403.

Klug, Jen; Fischer, Janet; Ives, Anthony R.; Dennis, Brian. 2000. Compensatory dynamics in planktonic community responses to pH perturbations. Ecology. Vol: 81. Pages 387-398.

Knapp, Alan K.; Smith, Melinda D.; Collins, Scott L.; Zambatis, Nick; Peel, Mike; Emery, Sarah; Wojdak, Jeremy; Horner-Devine, M. Claire; Biggs, Harry; Kruger, Judith; Andelman, Sandy J.. 2004. Generality in ecology: Testing North American grassland rules in South African savannas. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment. Vol: 2(9). Pages 483-491.

Knight, Tiffany; Steets, Janette; Vamosi, Jana; Mazer, Susan J.; Burd, Martin; Campbell, Diane; Dudash, Michele R.; Johnston, Mark O.; Mitchell, Randall; Ashman, Tia-Lynn. 2005. Pollen limitation of plant reproduction: Pattern and process. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics. Vol: 36. Pages 467-497.

Koelle, Katia; Pascal al, Mercedes. 2004. Disentangling extrinsic from intrinsic factors in disease dynamics: A nonlinear time series approach with an application to cholera. American Naturalist. Vol: 163. Pages 901-913.

Koelle, Katia; Pascual, Mercedes; Yunus, Md. 2005. Pathogen adaptation to seasonal forcing and climate change. Proceedings of the Royal Society: Biological Sciences. Vol: 272. Pages 971-977.

Koenig, Walter; Kelly, Dave; Sork, Victoria L.; Duncan, Richard; Elkinton, Joseph; Peltonen, Mikko; Westfall, Robert. 2003. Dissecting components of population-level variation in seed production and the evolution of masting behavior. Oikos. Vol: 102(3). Pages 581-592.

Koenig, Walter; Liebhold, Andrew S. 2003. Regional impacts of periodical cicadas on oak radial increment. Canadian Journal of Forest Research. Vol: 33. Pages 1084-1089.

Koenig, Walter; Liebhold, Andrew S. 2005. Effects of periodical cicada emergences on abundance and synchrony of avian populations. Ecology.Vol: 86(7). Pages 1873-1882.

Kolstad, Charles. 2004. Special issue on the economics of biodiversity. Resources and Energy Economics. Vol: 26(2). Pages 103.

Konarska, Keri M.; Sutton, Paul; Castellon, Michael J.. 2002. Evaluating scale dependence of ecosystem service valuation: A comparison of NOAA-AVHRR and Landsat TM datasets. Ecological Economics. Vol: 41(3).Pages 491-507. Online versionhttp://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/B6VDY-45W3633-1/1/01bd859785fee6b99ca310102445e2b8>

Kortelainen, Pirkko; Rantakari, M.; Huttunen, J. T.; Mattsson, T.; Alm,J.; Juutinen, S.; Larmola, T.; Silvola, J.; Martikainen, P. J.. 2006. Sediment respiration and lake trophic state are important predictors of large CO2 evasion from small boreal lakes. Global Change Biology. Vol:12. Pages 1554-1567.

* Kraaijeveld, A. R.; Godfray, Charles. 1999. Geographic patterns in the evolution of resistance and virulence in Drosophila and its parasitoids. American Naturalist. Vol: 153. Pages S61-S74.

Kremen, Claire; Williams, Neal M.; Gemmill-Herron, Barbara; LeBuhn,Gretchen; Minckley, Robert; Packer, Laurence; Potts, Simon; Roulston, T'ai; Steffan-Dewenter, Ingolf; Vazquez, Diego; Winfree, Rachael; Adams, Laurie; Crone, Elizabeth E.; Greenleaf, Sarah; Keitt, Timothy H.; Klein, Alexandra-Maria; Regetz, Jim; Ricketts, Taylor. In press. Pollination and other ecosystem services produced by mobile organisms: A conceptual framework for the effects of land-use change. Ecology Letters.

Krivan, Vlastimil. 2000. Optimal intraguild foraging and population stability. Theoretical Population Biology. Vol: 58. Pages 79-94.

Krivan, Vlastimil. 2006. The ideal free distribution and bacterial growth on two substrates. Theoretical Population Biology. Vol: 69. Pages181-191.

Krivan, Vlastimil; Eisner, Jan. 2006. The effect of Holling type II functional response on apparent competition. Theoretical PopulationBiology. Vol: 70. Pages 421-430.

Krug, Andrew Zack; Patzkowsky, Mark E. 2004. Rapid recovery from the Late Ordovician mass extinction. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Vol: 101. Pages 17605-17610.

Kunz, Thomas H.. 2003. Censusing bats: Challenges, solutions, and sampling biases. /Edited by / O'Shea, T. J.; Bogan, M. A.. Monitoring trends in bat populations of the United States and territories: Problems and Prospects. U.S. Geological Survey. Information and Technology Report USGS/BRD/ITR--2003-0003. Fort Collins, CO. Pages 9-20.

Kunz, Thomas H.. 2004. Foraging habits of North American bats. /Editedby / Brigham, R. M.; Kalko, E. K. V.; Jones, G.; Parsons, S.; Limpens, H. J. H. A.. Bat echolocation research: Tools, techniques and analysis. Bat Conservation International. Austin, Texas. Pages 13-25.

Labra, Fabio A.; Abades, Sebastian R.; Marquet, Pablo A.. 2005. Distribution and abundance: Scaling patterns in exotic and native bird species. /Edited by / Sax, Dov F.; Stachowicz, John J.; Gaines, Steven D.. Species Invasions: Insights into Ecology, Evolution, and Biogeography. Sinauer Associates, In ... Sunderland, Massachusetts. Pages421-446.

Lafferty, Kevin. 2004. Fishing for lobsters indirectly increases epidemics in sea urchins. Ecological Applications. Vol: 14(5). Pages1566-1573.

Lafferty, Kevin. 2006. Can the common brain parasite, Toxoplasmagondii, influence human culture. Proceedings for the Royal Society B.Vol: online. Pages doi:10.1098/rspb.2006.3641.

Lafferty, Kevin; Gerber, Leah. 2002. Good medicine for conservation biology: The intersection of epidemiology and conservation theory. Conservation Biology. Vol: 16(3). Pages 593-604.

Lafferty, Kevin; Holt, Robert D.. 2003. How should environmental stress affect the population dynamics of disease?. Ecology Letters. Vol: 6.Pages 654-664.

Lafferty, Kevin; Porter, James W.; Ford, Susan E. 2004. Are diseases increasing in the ocean?. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics. Vol: 35. Pages 31-54.

Lafferty, Kevin; Smith, Katherine F.; Torchin, Mark E.; Dobson, Andrew P.; Kuris, Armand. 2005. The role of infectious diseases in natural communities: What introduced species tell us. /Edited by / Sax, Dov F.; Stachowicz, John J.; Gaines, Steven D.. Species Invasions: Insights into Ecology, Evolution, and Biogeography. Sinauer Associates, Inc.. Sunderland, Massachusetts. Pages 111-134.

Lamoreux, John; Akcakaya, H. Resit; Bennun, L.; Collar, N. J.; Boitani, Luigi; Brackett, D.; Brautigam, A.; Brooks, Thomas; da Fonseca, Gustavo A. B.; Mittermeier, Russell A.; Rylands, Anthony B.; Gardenfors, U.; Hilton-Taylor, C.; Mace, Georgina; Stein, Bruce; Stuart, Simon. 2003.Value of the IUCN Red List. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. Vol: 18(5).Pages 214-215.

Largier, John L. 2003. Considerations in estimating larval dispersal distances from oceanographic data. Ecological Applications (Supplement: The Science of Marine Reserves). Vol: 13(1). Pages S71-S89.

Latimer, Andrew; Wu, Shanshan; Gelfand, Alan E.; Silander, John. 2006.Building statistical models to analyze species distributions. Ecological Applications. Vol: 16(1). Pages 33-50.

Laurie, Henri; Silander, John. 2002. Geometric constraints and spatial pattern of species richness: Critique of range-based null models. Diversity and Distributions. Vol: 8. Pages 351-364.

Law, Richard; Leibold, Mathew. 2005. Assembly dynamics in metacommunities. /Edited by / Holyoak, Marcel; Leibold, Mathew A.; Holt, Robert D.. Metacommunities: Spatial

Dynamics and Ecological Communities. University of Chicago Press. Chicago. Pages 263-278.

Lawler, Joshua J.; Campbell, Steven P.; Guerry, Anne D.; Kolozsvary, Mary Beth; O'Connor, Raymond J.; Seward, Lindsay C.N.. 2002. The scope and treatment of threats in endangered species recovery plans. Ecological Applications. Vol: 12. Pages 663-667.

Lee, Y. F.; McCracken, Gary F. 2002. Foraging activity and food resource use of Brazilian free-tailed bats (Molossidae). Ecoscience. Vol: 9. Pages 306-313.

Lee, Y. F.; McCracken, Gary F. 2005. Dietary variation of Brazilian free-tailed bats links to migratory populations of pest insects. Journal of Mammalogy. Vol: 86(1). Pages 67-76.

Leffler, A. Joshua; Enquist, Brian J.. 2002. Carbon isotope composition of tree leaves from Guanacaste, Costa Rica: Comparison across tropical forests and tree life history. Journal of Tropical Ecology. Vol: 18.Pages 151-159.

Legendre, Pierre; Dale, Mark; Fortin, Marie-Josee; Casgrain, Philippe; Gurevitch, Jessica. 2004. Effects of spatial structure on the results of field experiments. Ecology. Vol: 85(12). Pages 3202-3214

Legendre, Pierre; Dale, Mark; Fortin, Marie-Josee; Gurevitch, Jessica; Hohn, Michael; Myers, Donald. 2002. The consequences of spatial structure for the design and analysis of ecological field surveys. Ecography. Vol: 25. Pages 601-615.

Leibold, Mathew; Holyoak, Marcel; Mouquet, Nicolas; Amarasekare, Priyanga; Chase, Jonathan; Hoopes, Martha F.; Holt, Robert D.; Shurin, Jonathan B.; Law, Richard; Tilman, David; Loreau, Michel; Gonzalez, Andy. 2004. The metacommunity concept: A framework for multi-scale community ecology. Ecology Letters. Vol: 7. Pages 601-613.

Leibold, Mathew; McPeek, Mark A. 2006. Coexistence of the niche and neutral perspectives in community ecology. Ecology. Vol: 87(6). Pages1399-1410.

Leslie, Heather; Ruckelshaus, Mary; Ball, Ian; Andelman, Sandy J.; Possingham, Hugh P. 2003. Using siting algorithms in the design of marine reserve networks. Ecological Applications (Supplement: The Science of Marine Reserves). Vol: 13(1). Pages S185-S198.

Levin, Lisa A.; Etter, Ron J.; Rex, Michael A.; Gooday, Andrew; Smith, Craig; Pineda, Jesus; Stuart, Carol; Hessler, Robert R.; Pawson, Dave.2001. Environmental influences

on regional deep-sea species diversity. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics. Vol: 32. Pages 51-93.

Levin, Simon A.; Muller-Landau, Helene C.; Chave, Jerome; Nathan, R. 2003. The ecology and evolution of seed dispersal: A theoretical perspective. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics. Vol:34. Pages 575-604.

Levine, Jonathan M.; D'Antonio, Carla. 2003. Forecasting biological invasions with increasing international trade. Conservation Biology. Vol: 17(1). Pages 322-326.

Lewis, William. 2002. Yield of nitrogen from minimally disturbed watersheds of the United States. Biogeochemistry. Vol: 57&58. Pages375-385.

Lewison, R. L.; Crowder, Larry B.; Read, Andrew J.; Freeman, S. A. 2004. Understanding impacts of fisheries by catch on marine megafauna. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. Vol: 19(11). Pages 598-604.

Lewison, R. L.; Freeman, S. A.; Crowder, Larry B. 2004. Quantifying the effects of fisheries on threatened species: The impact of pelagic longlines on loggerhead and leatherback turtles. Ecology Letters. Vol:7. Pages 221-231.

Liebhold, Andrew S.; Elkinton, Joseph; Williams, David G.; Muzika, Rose-Marie. 2000. What causes outbreaks of the gypsy moth in North America. Population Ecology. Vol: 42. Pages 257-266.

Liebhold, Andrew S.; Gurevitch, Jessica. 2002. Integrating the statistical analysis of spatial data in ecology. Ecography. Vol: 25.Pages 553-557.

Liebhold, Andrew S.; Kamata, Naoto. 2000. Are population cycles and spatial synchrony a universal characteristic of forest insect populations. Population Ecology. Vol: 42. Pages 205-209.

Liebhold, Andrew S.; Koenig, Walter; Bjornstad, Ottar N. 2004. Spatial synchrony in population dynamics. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics. Vol: 35. Pages 467-490.

Perry, Joe N.; Liebhold, Andrew S.; Rosenberg, Michael S.; Dungan, Jennifer L.; Miriti, Maria; Jakomulska, Anna; Citron-Pousty, Steven I..2002. Illustrations and guidelines for selecting statistical methods for quantifying spatial pattern in ecological data. Ecography. Vol: 25.Pages 578-600.

Liebhold, Andrew S.; Sork, Victoria L.; Peltonen, Mikko; Koenig, Walter; Bjornstad, Ottar N.; Westfall, Robert; Elkinton, Joseph; Knops, Johan. 2004. Within-population spatial synchrony in mast seeding of North American oaks. Oikos. Vol: 104(1). Pages 156-164.

Limburg, Karin; O'Neill, Robert V.; Costanza, Robert; Farber, Stephen.2002. Complex systems and valuation. Ecological Economics. Vol: 41(3).Pages 409-420. Online version<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/B6VDY-45RFMW5-5/1/d2b46921e0777d28323a55d2ffb57917>

Lindenmayer, D. B.; McCarthy, Mick. 2001. The spatial distribution of non-native plant invaders in a pine-eucalypt landscape mosaic in south-eastern Australia. Biological Conservation. Vol: 102. Pages 77-87.

Lipcius, Romuald; Crowder, Larry B.; Morgan, Lance. 2005. Metapopulation structure and marine reserves. /Edited by / Norse, Elliot A.; Crowder, Larry B.. Marine Conservation Biology: The Science of Maintaining the Sea's Biodiversity. Island Press. Washington, D.C.. Pages 328-345.

* Lively, Curtis M. 1999. Migration, virulence, and the geographic mosaic of adaptation by parasites. American Naturalist. Vol: 153. Pages S34-S47.

Lockwood, Dale; Hastings, Alan; Botsford, Louis W.. 2002. The effects of dispersal patterns on marine reserves: Does the tail wag the dog?. Theoretical Population Biology. Vol: 61(3). Pages 297-309.

Lockwood, Julie. 2005. Insights into biogeography. /Edited by / Sax, Dov F.; Stachowicz, John J.; Gaines, Steven D.. Species Invasions: Insights into Ecology, Evolution, and Biogeography. Sinauer Associates, Inc.. Sunderland, Massachusetts. Pages 309-313.

Lockwood, Julie; Russell, Gareth J.; Gittleman, John L.; Daehler, Curtis C.; McKinney, Michael L.; Purvis, Andy. 2002. A metric for analyzing taxonomic patterns of extinction risk. Conservation Biology. Vol: 16. Pages 1137-1142.

Lodge, David M. 2004. Across the border. Nature. Vol: 432. Pages 276-277.

* Lodge, David M.. In press. Responses of lake biodiversity to global changes. /Edited by / Sala, O. E.; Chapin, F. S.. Future Scenarios of Global Change. Elsevier.

Lodge, David M.; Hamlin, C. S.. 2006. Introduction: Fluxes of nature, fluxes of thought. /Edited by / Lodge, David M.; Hamlin, C.S. Religion and the New Ecology: Environmental Prudence in a World in Flux. University of Notre Dame Press. Notre Dame, Indiana. Lodge, David M.; Hamlin, C. S.. 2006. Religion and the New Ecology: Environmental Prudence in a World in Flux. University of Notre Dame Press. Notre Dame, Indiana. Pages 336.

Lomolino, Mark V.; Sax, Dov F.; Brown, James H. 2004. Foundations of Biogeography. University of Chicago Press. Chicago.

Loreau, Michel; Holt, Robert D.. 2004. Spatial flows and the regulation of ecosystems. American Naturalist. Vol: 163. Pages 606-615.

Loreau, Michel; Naeem, Shahid; Inchausti, P.; Bengtsson, J.; Grime, J.P.; Hector, Andy; Hooper, Dave; Huston, M. A.; Raffaelli, D.; Schmid, Bernhard; Tilman, David; Wardle, D. A.. 2001. Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: Current knowledge and future challenges. Science. Vol: 294.Pages 804-808.

Lortie, Christopher J.; Brooker, Robin; Choler, Philippe; Kikvidze, Zaal; Michalet, Richard; Pugnaire, Francisco; Callaway, Ragan. 2004. Rethinking plant community theory. Oikos. Vol: 107. Pages 433-438.

Lortie, Christopher J.; Brooker, Robin; Kikvidze, Zaal; Callaway, Ragan. 2004. The value of stress and limitation in an imperfect world: Areply to Korner. Journal of Vegetation Science. Vol: 15. Pages 577-580.

Lotze, Heike K.; Lenihan, Hunter S.; Bourque, Bruce J.; Bradbury, Roger; Cooke, Richard G.; Kay, Matthew C.; Kidwell, Susan; Kirby, Michael X.; Peterson, Charles H.; Jackson, Jeremy. 2006. Depletion, degradation, and recovery potential of estuaries and coastal seas. Science. Vol: 312. Pages 1806-1809.

Lubchenco, Jane; Palumbi, Stephen R.; Gaines, Steven D.; Andelman, Sandy J. 2003. Plugging a hole in the ocean: The emerging science of marine reserves. Ecological Applications (Supplement: The Science of Marine Reserves). Vol: 13(1). Pages S3-S7.

Lucey, Brendan; Smith, David L.; Russell, C. A.; Wilson, Mark L.; Long, A.; Waller, Lance; Childs, James E.; Real, Leslie A.. 2002. Spatiotemporal analysis of epizootic raccoon rabies propagation in Connecticut, 1991-1995. Vector Borne and Zoonotic Diseases. Vol: 2.Pages 77-86.

Ludaescher, Bertram; Altintas, Ilkay; Berkley, Chad; Higgins, Dan; Jaeger, Efrat; Jones, Matthew B.; Lee, Edward A.; Tao, Jing; Zhao, Yang.2006. Scientific workflow management and the Kepler system. Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience. Vol: 18. Pages 1039-1065.

Lundquist, Carolyn; Diehl, Jennifer M.; Harvey, Erik; Botsford, Louis W. 2002. Factors affecting implementation of recovery plans. Ecological Applications. Vol: 12. Pages 713-718.

Luo, Yiqi; Hui, Dafeng; Zhang, Deqiang. 2006. Elevated CO2 stimulates net accumulations of carbon and nitrogen in land ecosystems: A meta-analysis. Ecology. Vol: 87(1). Pages 53-63.

Luo, Yiqi; Su, B.; Currie, William S.; Dukes, Jeff; Finzi, Adrien; Hartwig, Ueli; Hungate, Bruce; McMurtrie, Ross; Oren, Ram; Parton, William J.; Pataki, Diane; Shaw, M. Rebecca; Zak, Donald R.; Field, Christopher B.. 2004. Progressive nitrogen limitation of ecosystem responses to rising atmospheric carbon dioxide. BioScience. Vol: 54(8).Pages 731-739.

Luttbeg, Barney. 2002. Assessing the robustness and optimality of alternative decision rules with varying assumptions. Animal Behavior. Vol: 63. Pages 805-814.

Luttbeg, Barney. 2004. Female mate assessment and choice behavior affect the frequency of alternative male mating tactics. Behavioral Ecology. Vol: 15(2). Pages 239-247.

Luttbeg, Barney; Langen, T. A. 2004. Comparing alternative models to empirical data: Cognitive models of western scrub-jay foraging behavior. American Naturalist. Vol: 163(2). Pages 263-276.

Luttbeg, Barney; Rowe, Locke; Mangel, Marc. 2003. Prey state and experimental design affect relative size of trait- and density-mediated indirect effects. Ecology. Vol: 84(5). Pages 1140-1150.

Lyons, Kate. 2005. A quantitative model assessing community dynamics of Pleistocene mammals. American Naturalist. Vol: 165(6). Pages E168-E185.

Lyons, Kate; Smith, Felisa A.; Brown, James H. 2004. Of mice, mastodons and men: Human-mediated extinctions on four continents. Evolutionary Ecology Research. Vol: 6(3). Pages 339-358.

Lyons, Kate; Smith, Felisa A.; Wagner, Peter J.; White, Ethan; Brown, James H. 2004. Was a 'hyperdisease' responsible for the late Pleistocene megafaunal extinction?. Ecology Letters. Vol: 7. Pages 859-868.

Lyons, Kate; Willig, Michael R. 2002. Species richness, latitude, and scale-sensitivity. Ecology. Vol: 83. Pages 47-58.

MERCINA. 2001. Oceanographic responses to climate in the Northwest Atlantic. Oceanography. Vol: 14. Pages 77-83. MERCINA. 2004. Supply-side ecology and the response of zooplankton to climate-driven changes in North Atlantic Ocean circulation. Oceanography. Vol: 17(3). Pages 10-21. Mace, Georgina; Gittleman, John L.; Purvis, Andy. 2003. Preserving the tree of life. Science. Vol: 300. Pages 1707-1709.

Mace, Georgina; Masundire, H.; Baillie, J.; Ricketts, Taylor; Brooks, Thomas; Hoffmann, M.; Balmford, Andrew; Purvis, Andy; Reyers, B.; Wang, J.; Revenga, C.; Kennedy, E.; Naeem, Shahid; Alkemade, R.; Allnutt, T.; Bakarr, Mohamed; Bond, William J.; Chanson, Janice S.; Cox, N.; Fonseca, Gustavo; Hilton-Taylor, C.; Loucks, C.; Rodrigues, Ana S. L.; Sechrest, Wes; Stattersfield, Ali; Van Rensburg, B. J.; Whiteman, C.. 2005. Biodiversity. Ecosystems and Human Well-being: Conditions and Trends(Millennium Ecosystem Assessment). Island Press. Washington, DC. Pages53-98.

Madin, Joshua S.; Lyons, Kate. 2005. Incomplete sampling of geographic ranges weakens or reverses the positive relationship between animal species geographic range size and its body size. Evolutionary Ecology Research. Vol: 7. Pages 607-617.

Malmstrom, Carolyn; Raffa, Ken. 2000. Biotic disturbance agents in the boreal forest: Considerations for vegetation change models. Global Change Biology. Vol: 6(S1). Pages 35-48.

Marlin, J. C.; LaBerge, W. E.. 2001. The native bee fauna of Carlinville, Illinois, revisited after 75 years: A case for persistence. Conservation Ecology. Vol: 5(1). Pages 9.

Marshall, David; Work, Timothy; Cavey, Joseph F. 2003. Invasion pathways of karnal bunt of wheat into the United States. Plant Disease.Vol: 87(8). Pages 999-1003.

Marvier, Michelle; Meir, Eli; Kareiva, Peter. 2000. How do the design of monitoring and control strategies affect the chance of detecting and containing transgenic weeds?. /Edited by / Ammann, K.; Jacot, Y.. Risks and Prospects of Transgenic Plants: Where Do We Go From Here?. Birkhauser Press. Basel. Pages 109-122.

Maurer, Brian A. 2003. Adaptive diversification of body size: The roles of physical constraint, energetics, and natural selection. /Editedby / Blackburn, T.; Gaston, K. Macroecology: Concepts and Consequences. Blackwell. Oxford. Pages 174-191.

Mayer, Bernhard; Boyer, Elizabeth W.; Goodale, Christine; Jaworski, Norbert; van Breemen, Nico; Howarth, Robert W.; Seitzinger, Sybil;Billen, Gilles; Lajtha, Kate; Nadelhoffer, Knute; van Dam, Douwe; Hetling, Leo J.; Nosal, Miloslav; Paustian, Keith. 2002. Sources of nitrate in rivers draining sixteen watersheds in the northeastern U.S.: Isotopic constraints. Biogeochemistry. Vol: 57&58. Pages 171-197.

McCain, Christy M. 2005. Elevational gradients in diversity of small mammals. Ecology. Vol: 86(2). Pages 366-372.

McCallum, Hamish; Dobson, Andrew P. 2002. Disease, habitat fragmentation and conservation. Proceedings of the Royal Society: Biological Sciences. Vol: 269. Pages 2041-2049.

McCallum, Hamish; Harvell, Drew; Dobson, Andrew P. 2003. Rates of spread of marine pathogens. Ecology Letters. Vol: 6(12). Pages 1062-1067.

McCallum, Hamish; Kuris, Armand; Harvell, Drew; Lafferty, Kevin; Smith, Garriet; Porter, James W. 2004. Does terrestrial epidemiology apply to marine systems?. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. Vol: 19(11). Pages585-591.

McCann, Kevin S.; Koen-Alonso, Mariano; Hastings, Alan; Moore, John C..2005. Tribute. /Edited by / de Ruiter, Peter C.; Wolters, Volkmar; Moore, John C. Dynamic Food Webs: Multispecies Assemblages, Ecosystem Development and Environmental Change. Elsevier. Amsterdam. Pages 1-9.

McCarthy, Mick; Andelman, Sandy J.; Possingham, Hugh P. 2003. Reliability of relative predictions in population viability analysis. Conservation Biology. Vol: 17(4). Pages 982-989.

McCarthy, Mick; Keith, David A.; Tietjen, Justine; Burgman, Mark; Maunder, Mark; Master, Larry; Brook, Barry W.; Mace, Georgina; Possingham, Hugh P.; Medellin, Rodrigo A.; Andelman, Sandy J.; Regan, Helen; Regan, Tracey; Ruckelshaus, Mary. 2004. Comparing predictions of extinction risk using models and subjective judgement. Acta Oecologica.Vol: 26. Pages 67-74.

McCarthy, Mick; Lindenmayer, D. B.; Possingham, Hugh P. 2001. Assessing spatial PVA models of arboreal marsupials using significance tests and Bayesian statistics. Biological Conservation. Vol: 98. Pages191-200.

McCarthy, Mick; Possingham, Hugh P.; Day, Jon; Tyre, Andrew. 2001.Testing the accuracy of population viability analysis. Conservation Biology. Vol: 15. Pages 1030-1038.

McCarthy, Mick; Possingham, Hugh P.; Gill, A. M. 2001. Using stochastic dynamic programming to determine optimal fire management for Banksia ornata. Journal of Applied Ecology. Vol: 38. Pages 585-592.

McCarthy, Mick; Thompson, Colin. 2001. Expected minimum population size as a measure of threat. Animal Conservation. Vol: 4. Pages 351-355.

* McCauley, Edward; Kendall, Bruce E.; Janssen, Arne; Wood, Simon; Murdoch, William W.; Hosseini, Parviez R.; Briggs, Cheryl J.; Ellner, Stephen P.; Nisbet, Roger M.; Sabelis, M.; Turchin, Peter. 2000. Inferring colonization processes from population dynamics in spatially structured predator-prey systems. Ecology. Vol: 81. Pages 3350-3361. McClain, Michael; Boyer, Elizabeth W.; Dent, C.; Gergel, Sarah E.; Grimm, Nancy B.; Groffman, Peter M.; Hart, Stephen C.; Harvey, Jud W.; Johnston, Carol A.; Mayorga, Emilio; McDowell, William H.; Pinay, Gilles. 2003. Biogeochemical hot spots and hot moments at the inter faceof terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. Ecosystems. Vol: 6(4). Pages301-312.

McCracken, Gary F.. 2003. Estimates of population sizes in summer colonies in Brazilian free-tailed bats (Tadarida brasiliensis). /Editedby / O'Shea, T. J.; Bogan, M. A.. Monitoring Trends in Bat Populationsof the United States and Territories: Problems and Prospects. U.S.Geological Survey. Information and Technology Report USGS/BRD/ITR--2003-0003. Fort Collins, CO. Pages 21-30.

McCullough, Deborah G.; Work, Timothy; Cavey, Joseph F.; Liebhold, Andrew S.; Marshall, David. 2006. Interceptions of non-indigenous plant pests at U.S. ports of entry and border crossings over a 17 year period. Biological Invasions. Vol: 8. Pages 611-630.

McKinney, Michael L.; Lockwood, Julie. 2005. Community composition and homogenization: Evenness and abundance of native and exotic plant species. /Edited by / Sax, Dov F.; Stachowicz, John J.; Gaines, Steven D.. Species Invasions: Insights into Ecology, Evolution, and Biogeography. Sinauer Associates, Inc.. Sunderland, Massachusetts. Pages 365-380.

* McPeek, Mark A.. 2000. Building a regional species pool: Diversification of the Enallagma damselflies in eastern North America. Ecology. Vol: 81. Pages 904-920.

McPeek, Mark A. 2007. The macroevolutionary consequences of ecological differences among species. Palaeontology. Vol: 50(1). Pages 111-129.

McPeek, Mark A.; Brown, Jonathan. 2007. Clade age and not diversification rate explains species richness among animal taxa. American Naturalist. Vol: 169(4). Pages 0003-0147/2007/16904-42093.

Meir, Eli; Andelman, Sandy J.; Possingham, Hugh P. 2004. Does conservation planning matter in a dynamic and uncertain world?. Ecology Letters. Vol: 7(8). Pages 615-622. Online version<../../collab/2135/docs/ele6241.pdf>

Meiri, Shai; Dayan, Tamar. 2003. On the validity of Bergmann's Rule. Journal of Biogeography. Vol: 30. Pages 331-351.

Meiri, Shai; Dayan, Tamar; Simberloff, Daniel S.. 2004. Body size of insular carnivores: Little support for the island rule. American Naturalist. Vol: 163. Pages 469-479.

Michalet, Richard; Brooker, Robin; Cavieres, Lohengrin; Kikvidze, Zaal; Lortie, Christopher J.; Pugnaire, Francisco; Valiente-Banuet, Alfonso; Callaway, Ragan. 2006. Do biotic interactions shape both sides of the humped-back model of species richness in plant communities. Ecology Letters. Vol: 9. Pages 767-773.

Micheli, Fiorenza; Amarasekare, Priyanga; Bascompte, Jordi; Gerber, Leah. 2004. Including species interactions in the design and evaluation of marine reserves: Simple rules from a predator-prey model. Bulletin of Marine Science (Special Issue: Confronting Trade-offs in the Ecosystem Approach to Fisheries Management). Vol: 74(3). Pages 653-669.

* Micheli, Fiorenza; Cottingham, Kathryn L.; Bascompte, Jordi; Bjornstad, Ottar N.; Eckert, Ginny; Fischer, Janet; Keitt, Timothy H.; Kendall, Bruce E.; Klug, Jen; Rusak, James A.. 1999. The dual nature of community variability. Oikos. Vol: 85. Pages 161-169.

Micheli, Fiorenza; Halpern, Ben. 2005. Low functional redundancy in coastal marine assemblages. Ecology Letters. Vol: 8(4). Pages 391-400.

Micheli, Fiorenza; Halpern, Ben; Botsford, Louis W.; Warner, Robert R..2004. Trajectories and correlates of community change in no-take marine reserves. Ecological Applications. Vol: 14(6). Pages 1709-1723. Online version <../../nceasweb/projects/5020/michelietal.pdf>

Michener, William K.; Baerwald, Thomas J.; Firth, Penelope; Palmer, Margaret A.; Rosenberger, James L.; Sandlin, Elizabeth; Zimmerman, Herman. 2001. Defining and unraveling bio-complexity. Bioscience. Vol:51(12). Pages 1018-1023.

Michener, William K.; Brunt, James W.. 2000. Ecological Data: Design, Management and Processing (Ecology Series). Blackwell. Oxford.

Miller, Arnold I.. 2003. On the importance of global diversity trends and the viability of existing paleontological data. Paleobiology. Vol:29(1). Pages 15-18.

Connolly, Sean R.; Miller, Arnold I.. 2001. Global Ordovician faunal transitions in the marine benthos: Proximate causes. Paleobiology. Vol:27(4). Pages 779-795.

Miller, Arnold I.; Connolly, Sean R. 2001. Substrate affinities of higher taxa and the Ordovician Radiation. Paleobiology. Vol: 27(4).Pages 768-778.

Miller, Arnold I.; Foote, Michael. 2003. Increased longevities of post-Paleozoic marine genera after mass extinctions. Science. Vol: 302.Pages 1030-1032.

Miller, William. 2001. The structure of species, outcomes of speciation and the 'species problem': Ideas for paleobiology. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology. Vol: 176. Pages 1-10.

Miller, William. 2002. Regional ecosystems and the origin of species. Neues Jahrbuch fur Geologie und Palaontologie Abhandlungen. Vol: 225(2).Pages 137-156.

Miller, William. 2003. A place for phyletic evolution within the theory of punctuated equilibria: Eldredge pathways. Neues Jahrbuch fur Geologieund Palaontologie, Monatshefte. Vol: 8. Pages 463-476.

Milne, Bruce T.; Gupta, V. K.; Restrepo, Carla. 2002. A scale invariant coupling of plants, water, energy, and terrain. Ecoscience. Vol: 9(2).Pages 191-199.

Milner-Gulland, E. J.; Shea, Katriona; Possingham, Hugh P.; Coulson, Tim; Wilcox, Chris. 2001. Competing harvesting strategies in a simulated population under uncertainty. Animal Conservation. Vol: 4. Pages 157-167.

Minello, Thomas; Able, Kenneth; Weinstein, Michael; Hays, Cynthia.2003. Salt marshes as nurseries for nekton: Testing hypotheses on density, growth and survival through meta-analysis. Marine Ecology Progress Series. Vol: 246. Pages 39-59.

Mitchell, Charles; Agrawal, Anurag; Bever, James; Gilbert, Gregory S.; Hufbauer, Ruth A.; Klironomos, John N.; Maron, John; Morris, William F.; Parker, Ingrid M.; Power, Alison G.; Seabloom, Eric; Torchin, Mark E.; Vazquez, Diego. 2006. Biotic interactions and plant invasions. Ecology Letters. Vol: 9. Pages 726-740.

Mitchell, Charles; Power, Alison G. 2003. Release of invasive plants from fungal and viral pathogens. Nature. Vol: 421. Pages 625-627.

Mitchell, W. A.; Porter, Warren P.. 2001. Foraging games and species diversity. Annales Zoologici. Vol: 38(1). Pages 89-98.

* Mittelbach, Gary; Steiner, Christopher F.; Scheiner, Samuel M.; Gross, Katherine L.; Reynolds, H. L.; Waide, Robert B.; Willig, Michael R.; Dodson, Stanley I.; Gough, Laura. 2001. What is the observed relationship between species richness and productivity?. Ecology. Vol:82(9). Pages 2381-2396.

Mittelbach, Gary; Scheiner, Samuel M.; Steiner, Christopher F. 2003. What is the observed relationship between species richness and productivity: Reply. Ecology. Vol: 84(12). Pages 3390-3395.

Mittelbach, Gary; Schemske, Douglas W.; Cornell, Howard V.; Allen, Andrew P.; Brown, Jonathan; Bush, Mark; Harrison, Susan; Hurlbert, Allen; Knowlton, Nancy; Lessios, Harilaos A.; McCain, Christy M.; McCune, Amy R.; McDade, Lucinda A.; McPeek, Mark A.; Near, Thomas J.; Price, Trevor; Ricklefs, Robert E.; Roy, Kaustuv; Sax, Dov F.; Schluter, Dolph; Sobel, Jay; Turelli, Michael. In press. Evolution and the latitudinal diversity gradient: Speciation, extinction and biogeography. Ecology Letters. Moe, Jannicke; Stelzer, R. S.; Forum, M. R.; Harpole, W. Stanley; Daufresne, T.; Yoshida, T.. 2005. Recent advances in ecological stoichiometry: Insights for population and community ecology. Oikos.Vol: 109. Pages 29-39.

Moehlman, Patricia D.. 2005. Endangered wild equids. Scientific American. Vol: March. Pages 86-93.

Moglen, Glenn E.. 2002. Frequency analysis under non-stationary land use conditions. /Edited by / McCuen, R. H.. Modeling Hydrologic Change. CRC Press. Boca Raton. Pages 367-385.

Moglen, Glenn E.; Beighley, Edward. 2002. Spatially explicit hydrologic modeling of land use change. Journal of the American Water Resources Association. Vol: 38(1). Pages 241-253.

Moles, Angela; Ackerly, David D.; Webb, Campbell O.; Tweddle, John C.; Dickie, John B.; Westoby, Mark. 2005. A brief history of seed size. Science. Vol: 307. Pages 576-580.

Moles, Angela; Warton, David I.; Stevens, Richard; Westoby, Mark. 2004.Does a latitudinal gradient in seedling survival favor larger seeds in the tropics?. Ecology Letters. Vol: 7. Pages 911-914.

Moore, John C.; Berlow, Eric; Coleman, David C.; de Ruiter, Peter C.; Dong, Quan; Hastings, Alan; Johnson, Nancy C.; McCann, Kevin S.; Melville-Smith, Kim; Morin, Peter J.; Nadelhoffer, Knute; Rosemond, Amy; Post, David M.; Sabo, John; Scow, Kate; Vanni, Michael J.; Wall, Diana H.. 2004. Detritus, trophic dynamics and biodiversity. Ecology Letters. Vol: 7. Pages 584-600.

Moore, John C.; McCann, Kevin S.; Setala, Heikki; de Ruiter, Peter C..2003. Top-down is bottom-up: Does predation in the rhizosphere regulate above ground dynamics?. Ecology. Vol: 84. Pages 846-857.

Morgan, Lance; Botsford, Louis W.. 2001. Managing with reserves: Modeling uncertainty in larval dispersal for a sea urchin fishery. Proceedings of the Symposium on Spatial Processes and Management of Marine Populations. University of Alaska, Sea Grant. Pages 720.

Morgan, Martin; Wilson, Will G. 2005. Self-fertilization and the escape from pollen limitation in variable pollination environments. Evolution. Vol: 59(5). Pages 1143-1148.

Morgan, Martin; Wilson, Will G.; Knight, Tiffany. 2005. Plant population dynamics, pollinator foraging, and the selection of self-fertilization. American Naturalist. Vol: 166(2). Pages 169-183.

Morris, William F.; Bloch, Philip; Hudgens, Brian; Moyle, Leonie C.; Stinchcombe, John R.. 2002. Population Viability Analysis in endangered species recovery plans: Past use and future improvements. Ecological Applications. Vol: 12. Pages 708-712.

Mosier, Arvin R.; Bleken, Marina Azzaroli; Chaiwanakupt, Pornpimol; Ellis, E. C.; Freney, John; Howarth, Robert W.; Matson, Pamela; Minami, Katsuyuki; Naylor, Roz; Weeks, K. N.; Zhu, Zhao-Liang. 2002. Policy implications of human-accelerated nitrogen cycling. Biogeochemistry.Vol: 57&58. Pages 477-516.

Moss, Cynthia J.. 2001. The demography of an African elephant (Loxodonta africana) population in Amboseli, Kenya. Journal of Zoology. Vol: 255. Pages 145-156.

Mouquet, Nicolas; Hoopes, Martha F.; Amarasekare, Priyanga. 2005. The world is patchy and heterogeneous!. /Edited by / Holyoak, Marcel; Leibold, Mathew A.; Holt, Robert D.. Metacommunities: Spatial Dynamics and Ecological Communities. University of Chicago Press. Chicago. Pages237-262.

Muller, Erik; Nisbet, Roger M.; Kooijman, S. A. L. M.; Elser, James J.; McCauley, Edward. 2001. Stoichiometric food quality and herbivore dynamics. Ecology Letters. Vol: 4. Pages 519-529.

Muller, Jeffrey; Albers, Heidi J.. 2004. Enforcement, payments, and development projects near protected areas: How the market setting determines what works where. Resource and Energy Economics (Special issue on the economics of biodiversity). Vol: 26(2). Pages 185-204.

Muller-Landau, Helene C. 2003. Seeds of understanding of plant diversity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Vol: 100(4).Pages 1469-1471.

Muller-Landau, Helene C. 2004. Interspecific and inter-site variation in wood specific gravity of tropical trees. Biotropica. Vol: 36. Pages20-32.

Muller-Landau, Helene C.; Hardesty, B D. 2005. Seed dispersal of woody plants in tropical forests: Concepts, examples, and future directions./Edited by / Burslem, D.; Pinard, M.; Hartley, S.. Biotic Interactions in the Tropics. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge. Pages 267-309.

Muller-Landau, Helene C.; Levin, Simon A.; Keymer, J. E. 2003. Theoretical perspectives on evolution of long-distance dispersal and the example of specialized pests. Ecology. Vol: 84(8). Pages 1957-1967.

Munch, S.; Snover, M.; Watters, George; Mangel, Marc. 2005. A unified treatment of top-down and bottom-up control of reproduction in populations. Ecology Letters. Vol: 8(7). Pages 691-695.

Munday, Philip L.; Buston, Peter M.; Warner, Robert R. 2006. Diversity and flexibility of sex-change strategies in animals. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. Vol: 21(1). Pages 89-95.

Murdoch, William W.; Briggs, Cheryl J.; Nisbet, Roger M.; Kendall, Bruce E.; McCauley, Edward. 2003. Natural enemy specialization and the period of population cycles: Reply. Ecology Letters. Vol: 6. Pages 384-387.

Murdoch, William W.; Kendall, Bruce E.; Nisbet, Roger M.; Briggs, Cheryl J.; McCauley, Edward; Bolser, Robin. 2002. Single-species models for many-species food webs. Nature. Vol: 417. Pages 541-543.

Naiman, Robert J.; Decamps, Henri; McClain, Michael. In press. Riparian Ecology. Academic Press. San Diego, CA.

Neff, Jason C.; Holland, Elisabeth A.; Dentener, Frank J.; McDowell, William H.; Russell, Kristina M.. 2002. The origin, composition and rates of organic nitrogen deposition: A missing piece of the nitrogen cycle?. Biogeochemistry. Vol: 57&58. Pages 99-136.

Nehring, Klaus; Puppe, Clemens. 2004. Modeling phylogenetic diversity. Resource and Energy Economics (Special issue on the economics of biodiversity). Vol: 26(2). Pages 205-235.

Neigel, Joseph E. 2003. Species-area relationships and marine conservation. Ecological Applications (Supplement: The Science of Marine Reserves). Vol: 13(1). Pages S138-S145.

Newman, Mark. 2001. A new picture of life's history on Earth. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Vol: 98. Pages 5955-5956.

Ni, Jian; Zhang, Xinshi; Scurlock, Jonathan. 2001. Synthesis and analysis of biomass and net primary production in Chinese forests. Annals of Forest Science. Vol: 58. Pages 351-384.

Niklas, Karl J.; Enquist, Brian J.. 2001. Invariant scaling relationships for inter specific plant biomass production rates and body size. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Vol: 98. Pages2922-2927.

Niklas, Karl J.; Enquist, Brian J.. 2002. Canonical rules for plant organ biomass partitioning and annual allocation. American Journal of Botany. Vol: 89. Pages 812-819.

Niklas, Karl J.; Enquist, Brian J.. 2002. On the vegetative biomass partitioning of seed plant leaves, stems, and roots. American Naturalist. Vol: 159. Pages 482-497.

Niklas, Karl J.; Enquist, Brian J.. 2003. An allometric model for seed plant reproduction. Evolutionary Ecology Research. Vol: 5. Pages 79-88.

Nilsson, Christer; Pizzuto, James E.; Moglen, Glenn E.; Palmer, Margaret A.; Stanley, Emily H.; Bockstael, Nancy; Thompson, Lisa C..2003. Ecological forecasting and the urbanization of stream ecosystems: Challenges for economists, hydrologists, geomorphologists, and ecologists. Ecosystems. Vol: 6(7). Pages 659-674.

Nisbet, Roger M.; Muller, Erik; Lika, K.; Kooijman, S. A. L. M. 2000.From molecules to ecosystems through dynamic energy budget models. Journal of Animal Ecology. Vol: 69. Pages 913.

Norse, Elliott; Crowder, Larry B. 2005. Marine Conservation Biology: The Science of Maintaining the Sea's Biodiversity. Island Press. Washington, D.C. Pages 470.

Norse, Elliott; Crowder, Larry B. 2005. Why marine conservation biology?. /Edited by / Norse, Elliot A.; Crowder, Larry B. Marine Conservation Biology: The Science of Maintaining the Sea's Biodiversity. Island Press. Washington, D.C. Pages 1-18.

Norse, Elliott; Crowder, Larry B.; Gjerde, Kristina; Hyrenbach, K. David; Roberts, Callum; Safina, Carl; Soule, Michael E.. 2005. Place-based ecosystem management in the open ocean. /Edited by / Norse, Elliot A.; Crowder, Larry B.. Marine Conservation Biology: The Science of Maintaining the Sea's Biodiversity. Island Press. Washington, D.C..Pages 302-327.

Novak, Stephen J.; Mack, Richard N.. 2005. Genetic bottlenecks in alien plant species: Influence of mating systems and introduction dynamics./Edited by / Sax, Dov F.; Stachowicz, John J.; Gaines, Steven D. Species Invasions: Insights into Ecology, Evolution, and Biogeography. Sinauer Associates, Inc.. Sunderland, Massachusetts. Pages 201-228.

Nuismer, Scott; Thompson, John N.; Gomulkiewicz, Richard. 2000. Coevolutionary clines across selection mosaics. Evolution. Vol: 54(4).Pages 1102-1115.

Nunn, Charles L. 2003. Behavioral defenses against sexually transmitted diseases in primates. Animal Behaviour. Vol: 66(1). Pages37-48.

Nunn, Charles L.; Altizer, Sonia. 2004. Sexual selection, behavior and sexually transmitted diseases. /Edited by / Kappeler, P. M.; van Schaik, C. P.. Sexual Selection in Primates: New and Comparative Perspectives. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge. Pages 117-130.

Nunn, Charles L.; Altizer, Sonia; Jones, Kate; Sechrest, Wes. 2003. Comparative tests of parasite species richness in primates. American Naturalist. Vol: 162(5). Pages 597-614.

Nunn, Charles L.; Gittleman, John L.; Antonovics, Janis. 2003. A comparative study of white blood cell counts and disease risk in carnivores. Proceedings of the Royal Society London Series B. Vol: 270.Pages 347-356.

O'Brien, Timothy G.; Kinnaird, Margaret F. 2004. Conservation policy in coffee landscapes: Response. Science. Vol: 303. Pages 625-626.

O'Brien, Timothy G.; Kinnaird, Margaret F.; Groom, Martha; Coppollilo, P.. 2005. Ecologically functional populations: Revisiting how much is enough. /Edited by / Groom, Martha J.; Meffe, Gary K.; Carroll, Ronald Principles of Conservation Biology. Vol: 3rd edition. Sinauer Associates, Inc.. Sunderland, MA. Pages 779.

O'Connor, Mary I.; Bruno, John F.; Gaines, Steven D.; Halpern, Ben; Lester, Sarah E.; Kinlan, Brian; Weiss, Jack M. 2007. Temperature control of larval dispersal and the implications for marine ecology, evolution, and conservation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Pages pnas.0603422104.

O'Grady, J. J.; Burgman, Mark; Keith, David A.; Master, Larry; Andelman, Sandy J.; Brook, Barry W.; Hammerson, Geoffrey A.; Regan, Tracey; Frankham, R. 2004. Correlations among extinction risks assessed by different systems of threatened species categorization. Conservation Biology. Vol: 18. Pages 1624-1635.

Oechel, Walter C.; Vourlitis, George; Verfaillie, J.; Crawford, T.; Brooks, Shane; Dumas, E.; Hope, A.; Stow, D.; Boynton, Bill; Nosov, V.; Zulueta, R. 2000. A scaling approach for quantifying the net CO2 flux of the Kuparuk River Basin, Alaska. Global Change Biology. Vol: 6(S1).Pages 160-173.

Ogutu, Joseph O.; Owen-Smith, Norman. 2003. ENSO, rainfall and temperature influences on extreme population declines among African savanna ungulates. Ecology Letters. Vol: 6(5). Pages 412-419.

Olson, Robert J.; Watters, George. 2003. A model of the pelagic ecosystem in the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean. Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission, Bulletin. Vol: 22(3). Pages 133-219.

Olszewski, Tom. 2004. A unified mathematical framework for the measurement of richness and evenness within and among multiple communities. Oikos. Vol: 104. Pages 377-387.

Orth, Robert J.; Carruthers, Tim J.; Dennison, William C.; Duarte, Carlos M.; Fourqurean, James W.; Heck, Kenneth L.; Hughes, Anne R.; Kendrick, Gary A.; Kenworthy, W. Judson; Olyarnik, Suzanne; Short, Frederick T.; Waycott, Michelle; Williams, Susan. 2006. A global crisis for sea grass ecosystems. BioScience. Vol: 56(12). Pages 987-996.

* Osenberg, Craig W.; Sarnelle, Orlando; Cooper, Scott D.; Holt, Robert D.. 1999. Resolving ecological questions through meta-analysis: Goals, metrics, and models. Ecology. Vol: 80. Pages 1105-1117.

*Osenberg, Craig W.; Sarnelle, Orlando; Goldberg, Deborah. 1999.Meta-analysis in ecology: Concepts, statistics, and applications. Ecology. Vol: 80. Pages 1103-1104.

Osenberg, Craig W.; St. Mary, Colette; Wilson, James; Lindberg, W. J..2002. A quantitative framework to evaluate the attraction-production controversy. ICES Journal of Marine Science. Vol: 59(S). Pages S214-S221.

Ostfeld, Richard S.; Keesing, F.; Schauber, Eric M.; Schmidt, Kenneth A.. 2002. The ecological context of infectious disease: Diversity, habitat fragmentation, and Lyme disease risk in North America. /Editedby / Aguirre, A.; Ostfeld, R. S.; House, C. A.; Tabor, G.; Pearl, M.. Conservation Medicine: Ecological Health in Practice. Oxford University Press. New York. Pages 207-219.

Ostfeld, Richard S.; Pearl, M.; Meffe, G. 2002. Conservation medicine: The birth of another crisis discipline. /Edited by / Aguirre, A.; Ostfeld, R. S.; House, C. A.; Tabor, G.; Pearl, M.. Conservation Medicine: Ecological Health in Practice. Oxford University Press. New York. Pages 17-26.

Ovaskainen, Otso; Sato, Kazunori; Bascompte, Jordi; Hanski, Ilkka.2002. Metapopulation models for extinction threshold in spatially correlated landscapes. Journal of Theoretical Biology. Vol: 215(1).Pages 95-108.

Owen-Smith, Jason D. 2001. Managing laboratory work through skepticism: Processes of evaluation and control. American Sociological Review. Vol: 66(3). Pages 427-452.

Owen-Smith, Jason D. 2005. Dockets, deals, and sagas: Commensuration and the rationalization of experience in University licensing. Social Studies of Science. Vol: 35(1). Pages 69-97.

Owen-Smith, Norman; Mason, Darryl R.; Ogutu, Joseph O.. 2005. Correlates of survival rates for 10 African ungulate populations: Density, rainfall and predation. Journal of Animal Ecology. Vol: 74.Pages 774-788.

Pacala, Stephen W.; Hurtt, George; Baker, David; Peylin, P.; Houghton, Richard A.; Birdsey, Richard A.; Heath, Linda S.; Sundquist, E.; Stallard, R.; Ciais, P.; Moorcroft, Paul; Caspersen, John; Shevliakova, E.; Moore, Berrien; Kohlmaier, Gundolf; Holland, Elisabeth A.; Gloor, M.; Harmon, Mark; Fan, S.-M.; Sarmiento, J.; Goodale, Christine; Schimel, David W.; Field, John. 2001. Consistent land- and atmosphere-based U.S. carbon sink estimates. Science. Vol: 292. Pages2316-2320. Pacala, Stephen W.; Kinzig, Ann P.. 2002. Introduction to theory and the common ecosystem model. /Edited by / Kinzig, A.; Pacala, S.; Tilman, D.. Functional Consequences of Biodiversity: Empirical Progress and Theoretical Extensions. Princeton University Press. Princeton. Pages169-174.

Pacala, Stephen W.; Tilman, David. 2002. The transition from sampling to complementarily. /Edited by / Kinzig, A. P.; Pacala, S. W.; Tilman, D.. The Functional Consequences of Biodiversity: Empirical Progress and Theoretical Extensions. Princeton University Press. Princeton. Pages151-166.

Pace, Michael; Groffman, Peter M. 1998. Successes, limitations, and frontiers in ecosystem science: Reflections on the seventh Cary Conference. Ecosystems. Vol: 1. Pages 137-142.

Packer, Craig; Hilborn, Ray; Mosser, Anna; Kissui, Bernard; Borner, Markus; Hopcraft, Grant; Wilmshurst, John F.; Mduma, Simon A. R.; Sinclair, Anthony R. E. 2005. Ecological change, group territoriality, and population dynamics in Serengeti lions. Science. Vol: 307. Pages390-393.

Packer, Craig; Holt, Robert D.; Hudson, Peter J.; Lafferty, Kevin; Dobson, Andrew P.. 2003. Keeping the herds healthy and alert: Implications of predator control for infectious disease. Ecology Letters. Vol: 6. Pages 797-802.

Packer, Laurence; Owen, R. D.. 2001. Population genetic aspects of pollinator decline. Conservation Ecology. Vol: 5(1). Pages 4.

Palmer, Margaret A.; Bernhardt, Emily; Allan, J. David; Lake, P. S.; Alexander, Gretchen; Brooks, Shane; Carr, Jamie; Clayton, Steve; Dahm, Cliff; Follstad-Shah, Jennifer; Galat, David L.; Loss, S. G.; Goodwin, Peter; Hart, David; Hassett, Brooke; Jenkinson, Robin; Kondolf, G. Mathias; Lave, Rebecca; Meyer, Judy; O'Donnell, T. Kevin; Pagano, Laura; Sudduth, Elizabeth. 2005. Standards for ecologically successful river restoration. Journal of Applied Ecology. Vol: 42. Pages 208-217.

Palmer, Margaret A.; Moglen, Glenn E.; Bockstael, Nancy; Brooks, Shane; Pizzuto, James E.; Wiegand, Cameron; Van Ness, Keith. 2002. The ecological consequences of changing land use for running waters, with a case study of urbanizing watersheds in Maryland. Yale School of Forestry and Environmental Studies Bulletin Series. Vol: 107. Pages 85-113.

Palumbi, Stephen R. 2002. Marine Reserves: An Ecosystem Tool for Marine Management and Conservation. Pew Oceans Commission. Arlington, VA.

Palumbi, Stephen R.. 2003. Population genetics, demographic connectivity, and the design of marine reserves. Ecological Applications(Supplement: The Science of Marine Reserves). Vol: 13(1). Pages S146-S158.

Pandolfi, John; Bradbury, Roger; Sala, Enric; Hughes, Terence P.; Bjorndal, Karen A.; Cooke, Richard G.; McArdle, Deborah; McClenachan, Loren; Newman, Marah; Paredes, Gustavo; Warner, Robert R.; Jackson, Jeremy. 2003. Global trajectories of the long-term decline of coral reef ecosystems. Science. Vol: 301. Pages 955-958.

Pandolfi, John; Jackson, Jeremy; Baron, Nancy; Bradbury, Roger; Guzman, H. M.; Hughes, Terence P.; Kappel, Carrie; Micheli, Fiorenza; Ogden, John C.; Possingham, Hugh P.; Sala, Enric. 2005. Are U.S. coral reefs on the slippery slope to slime?. Ecology. Vol: 307. Pages 1725-1726.

* Parker, Ingrid M.; Simberloff, Daniel S.; Lonsdale, Mark; Goodell, Karen; Wonham, Marjorie; Kareiva, Peter; Williamson, Mark; Von Holle, Betsy; Moyle, Peter; Byers, James E.; Goldwasser, Lloyd. 1999. Impact: Toward a framework for understanding the ecological effects of invaders. Biological Invasions. Vol: 1. Pages 3-19.

* Parker, Matthew A. 1999. Mutualism in meta populations of legumes and rhizobia. American Naturalist. Vol: 153. Pages S48-S60.

Parmesan, Camille; Ryrholm, N.; Stefanescu, C.; Hill, Jane; Thomas, C.; Descimon, H.; Huntley, Brian; Kaila, L.; Kullberg, J.; Tammaru, T.; Tennet, John; Thomas, Jeremy A.; Warren, M. 1999. Pole ward shifts in geographical ranges of butterfly species associated with regional warming. Nature. Vol: 399. Pages 579-583.

Parody, Jennifer M.; Milne, Bruce T. 2004. Implications of rescaling rules for multiscaled habitat models. Landscape Ecology. Vol: 19. Pages691-701.

Parris, Kirsten; McCarthy, Mick. 2001. Identifying effects of toe clipping on anuran return rates: The importance of statistical power. Amphibia Reptilia. Vol: 22. Pages 275-289.

Parton, William J.; Silver, Whendee L.; Burke, Ingrid C.; Grassens, Leo; Harmon, Mark; Currie, William S.; King, Jennifer; Adair, Carol; Brandt, Leslie A.; Hart, Stephen C.; Fasth, Becky. 2007. Global-scale similarities in Nitrogen release patterns during long-term decomposition. Science. Vol: 315. Pages 361-364.

Pascual, Mercedes; Bouma, Menno J.; Dobson, Andrew P. 2002. Cholera and climate: Revisiting the quantitative evidence. Microbes and Infection. Vol: 4. Pages 237-245.

Pascual, Mercedes; Dobson, Andrew P. 2005. Seasonal patterns of infectious diseases. Public Library of Science Medicine. Vol: 2(1).Pages 18-20. Pastorok, R. A.; Akcakaya, H. Resit; Regan, Helen; Ferson, Scott; Bartell, S. M. 2003. Role of ecological modeling in risk assessment. Human and Ecological Risk Assessment. Vol: 9(4). Pages 939-972.

Patterson, Murray. 2002. Ecological production based pricing of biosphere processes. Ecological Economics. Vol: 41(3). Pages 457-478.Online version<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/B6VDY-45RFMW5-6/1/d8aed0fbaad391fe4fc1ba27695bacf8>

Patzkowsky, Mark E.; Holland, Steven M. 2003. Lack of community saturation at the beginning of the Paleozoic plateau: The dominance of regional over local processes. Paleobiology. Vol: 29(4). Pages 545-560.

Peltonen, Mikko; Liebhold, Andrew S.; Bjornstad, Ottar N.; Williams, David W. 2002. Spatial synchrony in forest insect outbreaks: Roles of regional stochasticity and dispersal. Ecology. Vol: 83. Pages 3120-3129.

Pershing, Andrew J.; Greene, Charles H.; Planque, B.; Fromentin, J..2004. The influence of climate variability on North Atlantic zoo plankton populations. /Edited by / Stenseth,

N. C.; Ottersen, G.; Hurrell, J.; Belgrano, A.. Ecological Effects of Climate Variations in the North Atlantic. Oxford University Press. Pages 59-69.

Petchey, Owen; Downing, A. L.; Mittelbach, Gary; Persson, L.; Steiner, Christopher F.; Warren, P. H.; Woodward, G. 2004. Species loss and the structure and functioning of multi-trophic aquatic ecosystems. Oikos. Vol: 104(3). Pages 467-478.

Peterson, A. Townsend. 2006. Uses and requirements of ecological niche models and related distributional models. Biodiversity Informatics. Vol:3. Pages 59-72

Peterson, Charles H.; Jackson, Jeremy; Kirby, Michael X.; Lenihan, Hunter S.; Bourque, Bruce J.; Bradbury, Roger; Cooke, Richard G.; Kidwell, Susan. 2001. Response to: Factors in the decline of coastal ecosystems. Science. Vol: 293. Pages 1590-1591.

Peterson, Garry. 2000. Political Ecology and ecological resilience: An integration of human and ecological dynamics. Ecological Economics. Vol:35. Pages 323-336.

Peterson, Garry. 2001. Multiple stable states and landscape resilience./Edited by / Gergel, S. E.; Turner, M. G.. Learning Landscape Ecology: A Practical Guide to Concepts and Techniques. Springer-Verlag. New York. Pages 166-186.

Peterson, Garry. 2002. Contagious disturbance, ecological memory, and the emergence of landscape pattern. Ecosystems. Vol: 5(4). Pages 329-338.

Peterson, Garry. 2002. Using ecological dynamics to move towards an adaptive architecture. /Edited by / Kibert, C.; Sendzimir, J.; Guy, G.B.. Construction Ecology: Nature as the Basis for Green Buildings. Spon Press. London. Pages 127-150.

Peterson, Garry; Cummingham, S.; Deutsch, L.; Erickson, Jon D.; Quinlan, Allyson; Raez-Luna, E.; Tinch, R.; Troell, M.; Woodbury, P.; Zens, S. 2000. The risks and benefits of genetically modified crops: A multidisciplinary perspective. Conservation Ecology. Vol: 4. Pages 13.Online version http://www.consecol.org/vol4/iss1/art13

Peterson, Garry; Heemskerk, Marieke. 2001. Deforestation and forest regeneration following small-scale gold mining in the Amazon: The case of Suriname. Environmental Conservation. Vol: 28(2). Pages 117-126.

Pfaff, Alexander; Kerr, Suzi; Hughes, Flint; Liu, Shuguang; Sanchez, Arturo; Schimel, David W.; Tosi, Joseph; Watson, Vicente. 2000. The Kyoto Protocol and payments for tropical Forest: An inter-disciplinary method for estimating carbon-offset supply and increasing the feasibility of a carbon market under the CDM. Ecological Economics. Vol:35. Pages 203.

Pfaff, Alexander; Sanchez-Azofeifa, G. A.. 2004. Deforestation pressure and biological reserve planning: A conceptual approach and an illustrative application for Costa Rica. Resource and Energy Economics (Special issue on the economics of biodiversity). Vol: 26(2). Pages237-254.

* Porter, Warren P.; Budaraju, S.; Stewart, W.; Ramankutty, Navin. 2000. Calculating climate effects on birds and mammals: Impacts on biodiversity, conservation, population parameters, and global community structure. American Zoologist. Vol: 40(4). Pages 597-630.

Porter, Warren P.; Sabo, John; Tracy, Christopher R.; Reichman, O. J.; Ramankutty, Navin. 2002. Physiology on a landscape scale: Plant-animal interactions. Integrative and Comparative Biology. Vol: 42(3). Pages431-453.

Possingham, Hugh P.; Andelman, Sandy J.; Burgman, Mark; Medellin, Rodrigo A.; Master, Larry; Keith, David A.. 2002. Limits to the use of threatened species lists. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. Vol: 17.Pages 503-507.

Possingham, Hugh P.; Ball, Ian; Andelman, Sandy J.. 2000. Mathematical methods for identifying representative reserve networks. /Edited by /Ferson, S.; Burgman, M.. Quantitative Methods for Conservation Biology. Springer-Verlag. New York. Pages 291-306.

* Possingham, Hugh P.; Lindenmayer, D. B.; McCarthy, Mick. 2001. Population viability analysis. /Edited by / Levin, S.. Encyclopedia of Biodiversity. Academic Press. San Diego, CA. Pages 831-843.

Possingham, Hugh P.; Shea, Katriona. 1999. The business of biodiversity. Australian Zoologist. Vol: 31. Pages 3-5.

* Possingham, Hugh P.; Shea, Katriona. 1999. The business of biodiversity: Response to responses. The Australian Zoologist. Vol: 31.Pages 9-10.

Post, David M. 2002. The long and short of food-chain length. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. Vol: 17(6). Pages 269-277.

Post, David M. 2002. Using stable isotopes to estimate trophic position: Models, methods, and assumptions. Ecology. Vol: 83. Pages703-718.

Post, David M. 2003. Individual variation in the timing of onto genetic niche shifts in largemouth bass. Ecology. Vol: 84. Pages 1298-1310.

* Post, David M.; Taylor, J. P.; Kitchell, James F.; Olson, M. H.; Schindler, Daniel E.; Herwig, B. R. 1998. The role of migratory waterfowl as nutrient vectors in a managed wetland. Conservation Biology. Vol: 12. Pages 910-920.

Prairie, Yves T.; Duarte, Carlos M.. 2007. Direct and indirect metabolic CO2 release by humanity. Biogeosciences. Vol: 4. Pages 215-217.

* Pritchard, Lowell; Folke, Carl; Gunderson, Lance H. 2000. Valuation of ecosystem services in institutional context. Ecosystems. Vol: 3. Pages36-40.

Purvis, Andy; Agapow, Paul-Michael; Gittleman, John L.; Mace, Georgina.2000. Nonrandom extinction and the loss of evolutionary history. Science. Vol: 288. Pages 328-330.

Purvis, Andy; Gittleman, John L.; Brooks, Thomas. 2005. Phylogeny and Conservation. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge. Pages 446.

Purvis, Andy; Mace, Georgina; Gittleman, John L. 2001. Past and future carnivore extinctions: A phylogenetic perspective. /Edited by /Gittleman, J. L.; Funk, S.; MacDonald, D. W.; Wayne, R. K. Carnivore Conservation. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge. Pages 11-34.

Pyke, Chris; Andelman, Sandy J.; Midgley, Guy F. 2005. Identifying priority areas for bioclimatic representation under climate change: A case study for Proteaceae in the Cape Floristic Region, South Africa. Biological Conservation. Vol: 125(1). Pages 1-9.

Pyke, Chris; Bierwagen, Britta. 2001. Pedaling conservation biology across America. Wild Earth. Vol: Fall/Winter 01-02. Pages 86-88.

Quattro, J.; Chase, M. R.; Rex, Michael A.; Greig, T. W.; Etter, Ron J. 2001. Extreme mitochondrial DNA divergence within populations of the deep-sea gastropod Frigidoalvania brychia. Marine Biology. Vol: 139.Pages 1107-1113.

Ranta, Esa; Lundberg, Per. 2006. Ecology of Populations. Cambridge University Press. New York. Pages 373.

Raymond, Anne; Metz, C. 2004. Ice and its consequences: Glaciation in the Late Ordovician, Late Devonian, Pennsylvania-Permian and Cenozoic compared. Journal of Geology. Vol: 112. Pages 655-670.

Rees, Mark; Condit, Richard; Crawley, M.; Pacala, Stephen W.; Tilman, David. 2001. Long-term studies of vegetation dynamics. Science. Vol:293. Pages 650-655.Rees, Mark; Kelly, Dave; Bjornstad, Ottar N.. 2002. Snow tussocks, chaos and the evolution of mast seeding. American Naturalist. Vol:160(1). Pages 44-59.

Regan, Helen. 2001. Population models: Individual-based. /Edited by /Pastorok, R. A.; Bartell, S. M.; Ferson, S.; Ginzburg, L. R.. Ecological Modeling in Risk Assessment: Chemical Effects on Populations, Ecosystems and Landscapes. Lewis Publishers. Boca Raton, FL. Pages 65-82.

Regan, Helen; Akcakaya, H. Resit; Ferson, Scott; Root, K. V.; Carroll, S.; Ginzburg, Lev. 2003. Treatments of uncertainty and variability in ecological risk assessment of single-species populations. Human and Ecological Risk Assessment. Vol: 9. Pages 889-906.

Regan, Helen; Auld, T. D.. 2004. Australian shrub Grevillea caleyi: Recovery through management of fire and predation. /Edited by /Akcakaya, H. R.; Burgman, M. A.; Kindvall, O.; Wood, C. C.;Sjogren-Gulve, P.; Hatfield, J.; McCarthy, M.. Species Conservation and Management: Case Studies. Oxford University Press. Oxford. Pages 23-35.

Regan, Helen; Auld, T. D.; Keith, David A.; Burgman, Mark. 2003. The effects of fire and predators on the long-term persistence of an endangered shrub, Grevillea caleyi. Biological Conservation. Vol: 109.Pages 73-83.

Regan, Helen; Ben-Haim, Yakov; Langford, Bill T.; Wilson, Will G.; Lundberg, Per; Andelman, Sandy J.; Burgman, Mark. 2005. Robust decision-making under severe uncertainty for conservation management. Ecological Applications. Vol: 15(4). Pages 1471-1477.

Regan, Helen; Colyvan, Mark; Burgman, Mark. 2002. A taxonomy and treatment of uncertainty for ecology and conservation biology. Ecological Applications. Vol: 12. Pages 618-628.

Regan, Helen; Ferson, Scott; Berleant, D.. 2004. Equivalence of methods for uncertainty propagation of real-valued random variables. International Journal of Approximate Reasoning. Vol: 36. Pages 1-30.

Regan, Helen; Hope, B. K.; Ferson, Scott. 2002. Analysis and portrayal of uncertainty in a food-web exposure model. Human and Ecological Risk Assessment. Vol: 8(7). Pages 1757-1777.

Regan, Helen; Sample, B. E.; Ferson, Scott. 2002. Comparison of deterministic and probabilistic calculation of ecological soil screening levels. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. Vol: 21(4). Pages 882-890.

Regan, Tracey; Master, Larry; Hammerson, Geoffrey A.. 2004. Capturing expert knowledge for threatened species assessments: A case study using NatureServe conservation status ranks. Acta Oecologica. Vol: 26. Pages95-107.

Reich, Peter B.; Knops, Johan; Tilman, David; Craine, Joseph M.; Ellsworth, D.; Tjoelker, M.; Lee, Todd G.; Wedin, D.; Naeem, Shahid; Bahauddin, D.; Hendrey, George; Jose, S.; Wrage, K.; Goth, J.; Bengston, W.. 2001. Plant diversity enhances ecosystem responses to elevated CO2and nitrogen deposition. Nature. Vol: 410. Pages 809-810.

Reichman, O. J.; Seabloom, Eric. 2002. Ecosystem engineering: A trivialized concept?: Response from Reichman and Seabloom. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. Vol: 17. Pages 308.

Reichman, O. J.; Seabloom, Eric. 2002. The role of pocket gophers as subterranean ecosystem engineers. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. Vol:17. Pages 44-49.

Reiners, William A.. 2005. Reciprocal cause and effect between environmental heterogeneity and transport processes. /Edited by /Lovett, G. M.; Jones, C. G.; Turner, M. G.; Weathers, K. C.. Ecosystem Function in Heterogeneous Landscapes. Springer. New York.

Reiners, William A.; Driese, Kenneth L. 2001. The propagation of ecological influences through heterogeneous environmental space. BioScience. Vol: 51(11). Pages 939-950.

Reiners, William A.; Driese, Kenneth L. 2003. Transport of energy, information, and material through the biosphere. Annual Review of Environment and Resources. Vol: 28. Pages 107-135.

Reiners, William A.; Driese, Kenneth L.. 2004. Transport Processes in Nature: Propagation of Ecological Influences through Environmental Space. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge. Pages 314. Reiners, William A.; Liu, S.; Gerow, K.; Keller, Michael; Schimel, D.S.. 2002. Historical and future land use effects on N2O and NO emissions using an ensemble modeling approach: Costa Rica's Caribbean Lowlands as an example. Global Biogeochemical Cycles. Vol: 16(4). Pages 223-240.

Resetarits, W. J.; Binckley, Christopher A.; Chalcraft, David R.. 2005. Habitat selection, species interactions, and processes of community assembly in complex landscapes. /Edited by / Holyoak, Marcel; Leibold, Mathew A.; Holt, Robert D.. Metacommunities: Spatial Dynamics and Ecological Communities. University of Chicago Press. Chicago. Pages374-398.

Rex, Michael A.; Etter, Ron J.; Clain, A. J.; Hill, M. S. 1999. Bathymetric patterns of body size in deep-sea gastropods. Evolution. Vol: 53. Pages 1298-1301.

Rex, Michael A.; McClain, Craig R.; Johnson, Nicholas A.; Etter, RonJ.; Allen, John A.; Bouchet, Philippe; Waren, Anders. 2005. Asource-sink hypothesis for abyssal biodiversity. American Naturalist.Vol: 165. Pages 163-178.

Rex, Michael A.; Stuart, Carol; Coyne, G. 2000. Latitudinal gradients of species richness in the deep-sea benthos of the North Atlantic. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Vol: 97. Pages 4082-4085.

Rice, William; Sax, Dov F.. 2005. Testing fundamental evolutionary questions at large spatial and demographic scales: Species invasions as an underappreciated tool. /Edited by / Sax, Dov F.; Stachowicz, John J.; Gaines, Steven D.. Species Invasions: Insights into Ecology, Evolution, and Biogeography. Sinauer Associates, Inc.. Sunderland, Massachusetts. Pages 291-308.

Richards, Shane A. 2002. Temporal partitioning and aggression among foragers: Modeling the effects of stochasticity and individual state. Behavioral Ecology. Vol: 13. Pages 427-438.

* Richards, Shane A.; Nisbet, Roger M.; Wilson, Will G.; Possingham, Hugh P. 2000. Grazers and diggers: Exploitation competition and coexistence among foragers with different feeding strategies on a single resource. American Naturalist. Vol: 155. Pages 266-279.

Richards, Shane A.; de Roos, Andre. 2001. When is habitat assessment an advantage when foraging?. Animal Behavior. Vol: 61. Pages 1101-1112.

Ricklefs, Robert E.. 2005. Taxon cycles: Insights from invasive species. /Edited by / Sax, Dov F.; Stachowicz, John J.; Gaines, Steven D.. Species Invasions: Insights into Ecology, Evolution, and Biogeography. Sinauer Associates, Inc.. Sunderland, Massachusetts. Pages165-199.

Ricklefs, Robert E. 2006. Global variation in the diversification rate of passerine birds. Ecology. Vol: 87(10). Pages 2468-2478.

Roberts, Callum. 2005. Marine protected areas and biodiversity conservation. /Edited by / Norse, Elliott A.; Crowder, Larry B.. Marine Conservation Biology: The Science of Maintaining the Sea's Biodiversity. Island Press. Washington, D.C.. Pages 265-279.

Roberts, Callum; Andelman, Sandy J.; Branch, George M.; Bustamante, Rodrigo; Castilla, Juan Carlos; Dugan, Jenifer E.; Halpern, Ben; Lafferty, Kevin; Leslie, Heather; Lubchenco, Jane; McArdle, Deborah; Possingham, Hugh P.; Ruckelshaus, Mary; Warner, Robert R.. 2003. Ecological criteria for evaluating candidate sites for marine reserves. Ecological Applications (Supplement: The Science of Marine Reserves).Vol: 13(1). Pages S199-S215.

Roberts, Callum; Branch, George M.; Bustamante, Rodrigo; Castilla, Juan Carlos; Dugan, Jenifer E.; Halpern, Ben; Lafferty, Kevin; Leslie, Heather; Lubchenco, Jane; McArdle, Deborah; Ruckelshaus, Mary; Warner, Robert R.. 2003. Application of ecological criteria in selecting marine reserves and developing reserve networks. Ecological Applications (Supplement: The Science of Marine Reserves). Vol: 13(1). Pages S215-S228.

Roberts, Callum; Halpern, Ben; Palumbi, Stephen R.; Warner, Robert R..2001. Designing marine reserve networks: Why small, isolated protected areas are not enough. Conservation Biology in Practice. Vol: 2(3). Pages11-17.

Robles, Carlos; Alvarado, M. A.; Desharnais, Robert A.. 2001. The shifting balance of littoral predator-prey interactions in regimes of hydrodynamic stress. Oecologia. Vol: 128. Pages 142-152.

Robles, Carlos; Desharnais, Robert A.. 2002. History and current development of a paradigm of predation in rocky intertidal communities. Ecology. Vol: 83(6). Pages 1521-1536.

Rodrigues, Ana S. L.; Akcakaya, H. Resit; Andelman, Sandy J.; Bakarr, Mohamed; Boitani, Luigi; Brooks, Thomas; Chanson, Janice S.; Fishpool, Lincoln; da Fonseca, Gustavo A. B.; Gaston, Kevin J.; Hoffmann, M.; Marquet, Pablo A.; Pilgrim, J. D.; Pressey, Robert; Schipper, Jan; Sechrest, Wes; Stuart, Simon; Underhill, Les G.; Waller, R. W.; Watts, M.E. J.; Yan, Xie. 2004. Global gap analysis: Priority regions for expanding the global protected-area network. BioScience. Vol: 54(12).Pages 1092-1100.

Rodrigues, Ana S. L.; Andelman, Sandy J.; Bakarr, Mohamed; Boitani, Luigi; Brooks, Thomas; Cowling, Sharon A.; Fishpool, Lincoln; da Fonseca, Gustavo A. B.; Gaston, Kevin J.; Hoffmann, M.; Long, Janice; Marquet, Pablo A.; Pilgrim, J. D.; Pressey, Robert; Schipper, Jan; Sechrest, Wes; Stuart, Simon; Underhill, Les G.; Waller, R. W.; Watts, M.E. J.; Yan, Xie. 2004. Effectiveness of the global protected area network in representing species diversity. Nature. Vol: 428. Pages 640-643.

Rodrigues, Ana S. L.; Pilgrim, J. D.; Lamoreux, John; Hoffmann, M.; Brooks, Thomas. 2006. The value of the IUCN Red List for conservation. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. Vol: 21(2). Pages 71-76.

Roemer, Gary W.; Coonan, Tim J.; Garcelon, Dave K.; Bascompte, Jordi; Laughgrin, L.. 2001. Feral pigs facilitate hyper predation by golden eagles and indirectly cause the decline of the island fox. Animal Conservation. Vol: 4. Pages 307-318.

Romanach, Stephanie S.; Reichman, O. J.; Seabloom, Eric. 2005. Seasonal influences on burrowing activity of a subterranean rodent, Thomomys bottae. Journal of Zoology. Vol: 266(3). Pages 319-325.

Romanach, Stephanie S.; Seabloom, Eric; Reichman, O. J.; Rogers, W. E.; Cameron, G. N.. 2005. Effects of species, sex, age, and habitat on geometry of pocket gopher foraging tunnels. Journal of Mammalogy. Vol:86(4). Pages 750-756.

Rosenthal, S. K.; Lodge, David M.; Muohi, W.; Ochieng, P.; Mkoji, G.M.; Mavuti, K. M. 2005. Comparing macrophyte herbivory by introduced Louisiana crayfish (Procambarus clarkii) (Crustacea: Cambardae) and native dytiscid beetles (Cybister tripunctatus) (Coleoptera:Dystiscidae), in Kenya. African Journal of Aquatic Science. Vol: 30(2).Pages 157-162.

Roubik, David W. 2001. Ups and downs in pollinator populations: When is there a decline?. Conservation Ecology. Vol: 5(1). Pages 2.

Roughgarden, Joan. 2001. Guide to diplomatic relations with economists. Bulletin of the Ecological Society of America. Vol: 82. Pages 85-88.

Roughgarden, Joan; Armsworth, Paul R. 2001. Ecological economic theory for managing ecosystem services. /Edited by / Press, M. C.; Huntly, N.; Levin, S. Ecology: Achievement and Challenge. Blackwell. London. Pages337-356.

Rouse, Wayne. 2000. The energy and water balance of high-latitude wetlands: Controls and extrapolation. Global Change Biology. Vol: 6(S1).Pages 59-68.

Roy, Kaustuv; Jablonski, David; Martien, K. K.. 2000. Invariant size-frequency distributions along a latitudinal gradient in marine bivalves. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Vol: 97.Pages 13150-13155.

Rusak, James A.; Yan, Norman; Somers, K.; Cottingham, Kathryn L.; Micheli, Fiorenza; Carpenter, Steve R.; Frost, Thomas M.; Paterson, Michael; McQueen, D. 2002. Temporal, spatial, and taxonomic patterns of crustacean zooplankton variability in unmanipulated north-temperate lakes. Limnology and Oceanography. Vol: 47. Pages 613-625.

* Rusak, James A.; Yan, Norman; Somers, K.; McQueen, D. 1999. The temporal coherence of zooplankton population abundances in neighboring north-temperate lakes. American Naturalist. Vol: 153. Pages 46-58.

Russell, Gareth J.; Brooks, Thomas; McKinney, Michael L.; Anderson, Gregory J. 1998. Present and future taxonomic selectivity in bird and mammal extinctions. Conservation Biology. Vol: 12(6). Pages 1365-1376.

Rustad, Lindsey; Campbell, John; Marion, Giles M.; Norby, Richard J.; Mitchell, Myron J.; Hartley, Anne; Cornelissen, Hans; Gurevitch, Jessica. 2001. A meta-analysis of the response of soil respiration, net nitrogen mineralization, and aboveground plant growth to experimental ecosystem warming. Oecologia. Vol: 126. Pages 543-562.

* Saitoh, T.; Bjornstad, Ottar N.; Stenseth, Nils. 1999. Density dependence in voles and mice: A comparative analysis. Ecology. Vol: 80.Pages 638-650.

Sala, Osvaldo E.; Chapin, F. Stuart; Armesto, Juan; Berlow, Eric; Bloomfield, Janine; Dirzo, Rodolfo; Huber-Sannwald, Elisabeth; Huenneke, Laura F.; Jackson, Robert B.; Kinzig, Ann P.; Leemans, Rik; Lodge, David M.; Mooney, Harold A.; Oesterheld, Martin; Poff, N. LeRoy; Sykes, Martin; Walker, Brian; Walker, Marilyn D.; Wall, Diana H.. 2000. Global biodiversity scenarios for the year 2100. Science. Vol: 287. Pages1770-1774.

* San Jose, Jose; Montes, Ruben A.; Mazorra, M. 1998. The nature of savanna heterogeneity in the Orinoco basin. Global Ecology and Biogeography Letters. Vol: 7. Pages 441-455.

Sanchez, Juan A.; Lasker, Howard R.; Nepomuceno, Erivelton G.; Sanchez, J. Dario; Woldenberg, Michael J.. 2004. Branching and self-organization in marine modular colonial organisms: A model. American Naturalist. Vol:163. Pages E24-E39.

Sax, Dov F.; Brown, James H.; White, Ethan; Gaines, Steven D.. 2005. The dynamics of species invasions: Insights into the mechanisms that limit species diversity. /Edited by / Sax, Dov F.; Stachowicz, John J.; Gaines, Steven D.. Species Invasions: Insights into Ecology, Evolution, and Biogeography. Sinauer Associates, Inc.. Sunderland, Massachusetts. Pages 447-465.

Sax, Dov F.; Gaines, Steven D.; Stachowicz, Jay. 2005. Introduction./Edited by / Sax, Dov F.; Stachowicz, John J.; Gaines, Steven. Species Invasions: Insights into Ecology, Evolution, and Biogeography. Sinauer Associates, Inc.. Sunderland, Massachusetts. Pages 1-7.

Sax, Dov F.; Stachowicz, Jay; Gaines, Steven D.. 2005. Capstone: Where do we go from here?. /Edited by / Sax, Dov F.; Stachowicz, John J.; Gaines, Steven D.. Species Invasions: Insights into Ecology, Evolution, and Biogeography. Sinauer Associates, Inc.. Sunderland, Massachusetts. Pages 467-480.

Sax, Dov F.; Stachowicz, Jay; Gaines, Steven D.. 2005. Species Invasions: Insights into Ecology, Evolution, and Biogeography. Sinauer Associates, Inc.. Sunderland, Massachusetts. Pages 495.

Schaefer, Jacob; Gido, Keith; Smith, Melinda D.. 2005. A test for community change using a null model approach. Ecological Applications. Vol: 15(5). Pages 1761-1771.

* Scheiner, Samuel M.; Cox, Stephen B.; Willig, Michael R.; Mittelbach, Gary; Osenberg, Craig W.; Kaspari, Michael. 2000. Species richness, species-area curves, and Simpson's paradox.. Evolutionary Ecology Research. Vol: 2. Pages 791-802.

Schenk, H. Jochen; Jackson, Robert B.. 2002. Rooting depths, lateral root spreads and below-ground/above-ground allometries of plants in water-limited ecosystems. Journal of Ecology. Vol: 90(3). Pages 480-494.

Schenk, H. Jochen; Jackson, Robert B.. 2002. The global biogeography of roots. Ecological Monographs. Vol: 72. Pages 311-328.

Schenk, H. Jochen; Jackson, Robert B.. 2005. Mapping the global distribution of deep roots in relation to climate and soil characteristics. Geoderma. Vol: 126(1-2). Pages 129-140.

Schindler, Daniel E.; Essington, Timothy; Kitchell, James F.; Boggs, Chris; Hilborn, Ray. 2002. Sharks and tunas: Fisheries impacts on predators with contrasting life histories. Ecological Applications. Vol:12(3). Pages 735-748.

Schmid, Bernhard; Hector, Andy; Huston, M. A.; Inchausti, P.; Nijs, I.; Leadley, Paul W.; Tilman, David. 2002. The design and analysis of biodiversity experiments. /Edited by / Loreau, M.; Naeem, S.; Inchausti,P.. Biodiversity and Ecosystem Functioning: Synthesis and Perspectives. Oxford University Press. Oxford. Pages 61-75. Schultz, Cheryl B.. 2001. Restoring resources for an endangered butterfly. Journal of Applied Ecology. Vol: 38. Pages 1007-1019.

Schultz, Cheryl B.; Crone, Elizabeth E.. 2001. Edge-mediated dispersal behavior in a prairie butterfly. Ecology. Vol: 82. Pages 1879-1892.

Schultz, Cheryl B.; Gerber, Leah. 2002. Are recovery plans improving with practice?. Ecological Applications. Vol: 12(3). Pages 641-647.

Schultz, Cheryl B.; Hammond, P. C.. 2003. Using population viability analysis to develop recovery criteria for endangered insects: Case study of the Fender's blue butterfly. Conservation Biology. Vol: 17(5). Pages1372-1385.

Schultz, Cheryl B.; Hammond, P. C.; Wilson, M. V. 2003. Biology of Fender's blue butterfly (Icaricia icarioides fenderi Macy), an endangered species of western Oregon native prairies. Natural Areas Journal. Vol: 23. Pages 61-71.

Schwartz, Mark W.; Hoeksema, Jason D.; Gehring, Catherine; Johnson, Nancy C.; Klironomos, John N.; Abbott, Lynette K; Pringle, Anne. 2006. The promise and the potential consequences of the global transport of mycorrhizal fungal inoculum. Ecology Letters. Vol: 9. Pages 501-515.

Seabloom, Eric; Bjornstad, Ottar N.; Bolker, Ben; Reichman, O. J..2005. Spatial signature of environmental heterogeneity, dispersal, and competition in successional grasslands. Ecological Monographs. Vol:75(2). Pages 199-214.

Seabloom, Eric; Borer, Elizabeth T.; Boucher, V.; Burton, Rebecca; Cottingham, Kathryn L.; Goldwasser, Lloyd; Gram, Wendy; Kendall, Bruce E.; Micheli, Fiorenza. 2003. Competition, seed limitation, disturbance, and reestablishment of California native annual forbs. Ecological Applications. Vol: 13(3). Pages 575-592.

Seabloom, Eric; Dobson, Andrew P.; Stoms, David. 2002. Extinction rates under nonrandom patterns of habitat loss. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Vol: 99. Pages 11229-11234.

Seabloom, E ic; Harpole, W. Stanley; Reichman, O. J.; Tilman, David.2003. Invasion, competitive dominance, and resource use by exotic and native California grassland species. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Vol: 100. Pages 13384-13389.

Seabloom, Eric; Moloney, Kirk A.; van der Valk, A. G. 1998. The role of water depth and soil temperature in determining initial composition of prairie wetland coenoclines. Plant Ecology. Vol: 138(2). Pages 203-216.

Seabloom, Eric; Reichman, O. J.. 2001. Simulation models of the interactions between herbivore foraging strategies, social behavior, and plant community dynamics. American Naturalist. Vol: 157. Pages 76-95.

Seabloom, Eric; Reichman, O. J.; Gabet, Emmanuel J.. 2000. The effect of hill slope angle on pocket gopher (Thomomys bottae) burrow geometry. Oecologia. Vol: 125. Pages 26-34.

Seabloom, Eric; Richards, Shane A.. 2003. Multiple stable equilibria in grasslands mediated by herbivore population dynamics and foraging behavior. Ecology. Vol: 84(1). Pages 2891-2904.
Seabloom, Eric; van der Valk, A. G. 2003. Plant diversity, composition, and invasion of restored and natural prairie pothole wetlands: Implications for restoration. Wetlands. Vol: 23. Pages 1-12.

Seabloom, Eric; van der Valk, A. G. 2003. The development of vegetative zonation patterns in restored prairie pothole wetlands. Journal of Applied Ecology. Vol: 40. Pages 92-100.

Sechrest, Wes; Brooks, Thomas; da Fonseca, Gustavo A. B.; Konstant, William R.; Mittermeier, Russell A.; Purvis, Andy; Rylands, Anthony B.; Gittleman, John L. 2002. Hotspots and the conservation of evolutionary history. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Vol: 99. Pages2067-2071.

Seitzinger, Sybil; Styles, R. V.; Boyer, Elizabeth W.; Alexander, Richard B.; Billen, Gilles; Howarth, Robert W.; Mayer, Bernhard; van Breemen, Nico. 2002. Nitrogen retention in rivers: Model development and application to watersheds in the northeastern USA. Biogeochemistry. Vol:57&58. Pages 199-237.

Settle, Chad; Shogren, Jason. 2004. Hyperbolic discounting and time inconsistency in a native-exotic species conflict. Resource and Energy Economics (Special issue on the economics of biodiversity). Vol: 26(2).Pages 255-274.

Shanks, Alan L.; Grantham, Brian; Carr, Mark H.. 2003. Propagule dispersal distance and the size and spacing of marine reserves. Ecological Applications (Supplement: The Science of Marine Reserves).Vol: 13(1). Pages S159-S169.

Shannon, L. J.; Christensen, Villy; Walters, Carl. 2004. Modelling stock dynamics in the southern Benguela ecosystem for the period1978-2002. African Journal of Marine Science. Vol: 26. Pages 179-196.

Shaver, Gus; Canadell, Josep; Chapin, F. Stuart; Gurevitch, Jessica; Harte, John; Henry, Greg; Ineson, Phil; Jonasson, Sven; Melillo, Jerry M.; Pitelka, Louis F.; Rustad, Lindsey. 2000. Global warming and terrestrial ecosystems: A conceptual framework for analysis. BioScience. Vol: 50. Pages 871-882.

Shea, Katriona; Possingham, Hugh P.. 2000. Optimal release strategies for biological control agents: An application of stochastic dynamic programming to population management. Journal of Applied Ecology. Vol:37. Pages 77-86.

Shea, Katriona; Possingham, Hugh P.; Murdoch, William W.; Roush, Rick.2002. Active adaptive management in insect pest and weed control: Intervention with a plan for learning. Ecological Applications. Vol:12(3). Pages 927-936.

Sheridan, Pete; Hays, Cynthia. 2003. Are mangroves nursery habitat for transient fishes and decapods?. Wetlands. Vol: 23(2). Pages 449-458.

Shrum, Wesley; Chompalov, Ivan; Genuth, Joel. 2001. Trust, conflict and performance in scientific collaborations. Social Studies of Science. Vol: 31(5). Pages 681-730.

Shuman, B. N.; Webb, T. III; Bartlein, P. J.; Williams, John W. 2002. The anatomy of a climatic oscillation: Vegetation change in eastern North America during the Younger Dryas chronozone. Quarternary Science Reviews. Vol: 21. Pages 1777-1791.

Shurin, Jonathan B. 20 1. Interactive effects of predation and dispersal on zooplankton communities. Ecology. Vol: 82. Pages 3404-3416.

Shurin, Jonathan B.; Allen, Emily G. 2001. Effects of competition, predation, dispersal on species richness at local and regional scales. American Naturalist. Vol: 158. Pages 624-637.

Shurin, Jonathan B.; Amarasekare, Priyanga; Chase, Jonathan; Holt, Robert D.; Hoopes, Martha F.; Leibold, Mathew. 2004. Alternative stable states and regional community structure. Journal of Theoretical Biology. Vol: 227(3). Pages 359-368.

Shurin, Jonathan B.; Borer, Elizabeth T.; Seabloom, Eric; Anderson, Kurt E.; Blanchette, Carol A.; Broitman, Bernardo R.; Cooper, Scott D.; Halpern, Ben. 2002. A cross-ecosystem comparison of the strength of trophic cascades. Ecology Letters. Vol: 5. Pages 785-791.

Shurin, Jonathan B.; Gergel, Sarah E.; Kaufman, Dawn; Post, David M.; Seabloom, Eric; Williams, John W.. 2001. In defense of ecology. Scientist. Vol: 15. Pages 6.

Shurin, Jonathan B.; Gruner, Daniel S.; Hillebrand, Helmut. 2006. All wet or dried up? Real differences between aquatic and terrestrial foodwebs. Proceedings of The Royal Society: Biological Sciences. Vol: 273.Pages 1-9.

Shurin, Jonathan B.; Havel, John E. 2002. Hydrologic connections and overland dispersal in an exotic freshwater crustacean. Biological Invasions. Vol: 4. Pages 431-439.

Shurin, Jonathan B.; Seabloom, Eric. 2005. The strength of trophic cascades across ecosystems: Predictions from allometry and energetics. Journal of Animal Ecology. Vol: 74. Pages 1029-1038.

Shurin, Jonathan B.; Srivastava, Diane S.. 2005. New perspectives onlocal and regional diversity. /Edited by / Holyoak, Marcel; Leibold, Mathew A.; Holt, Robert D.. Metacommunities: Spatial Dynamics and Ecological Communities. University of Chicago Press. Chicago. Pages399-417.

Shurin, Jonathan B.; Srivastava, Diane S.. 2005. New perspectives on local and regional diversity: Beyond saturation. /Edited by / Holyoak, M.; Holt, R.; Leibold, M.. Metacommunities. University of Chicago Press. Chicago. Pages 271-290.

Sickman, J. O.; Melack, John M.; Stoddard, J. L. 2002. Regional analysis of inorganic nitrogen yield and retention in high-elevation ecosystems of the Sierra Nevada and Rocky Mountains. Biogeochemistry.Vol: 57&58. Pages 341-374.

* Simberloff, Daniel S.; Von Holle, Betsy. 1999. Positive interactions of non-indigenous species: Invasional meltdown?. Biological Invasions. Vol:1. Pages 21-32.

Sitompul, Arnold F.; Kinnaird, Margaret F.; O'Brien, Timothy G. In press. Size matters: The effects of forest fragmentation and resource availability on a large frugivore, the endemic Sumba Island hornbill. Bird Conservation International.

* Sklar, Fred H.; Hunsaker, Carolyn T.. 2001. The use and uncertainty of spatial data for landscape models: An overview with examples from the Florida Everglades. /Edited by / Hunsaker, C.; Goodchild, M.; Friedl, M.; Case T. J.. Spatial Uncertainty in Ecology. Springer-Verlag. New York. Pages 15-46.

Smith, David L.; Lucey, Brendan; Waller, Lance; Childs, James E.; Real, Leslie A.. 2002. Predicting the spatial dynamics of rabies epidemics on heterogeneous landscapes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Vol: 99. Pages 3668-3672.

Russell, Colin; Smith, David L.; Waller, Lance; Childs, James E.; Real, Leslie A. 2004. A priori prediction of disease invasion dynamics in a novel environment. Proceedings of the Royal Society: Biological Sciences. Vol: 271(1534). Pages 21-25. Online version<http://www.journals.royalsoc.ac.uk/openurl.asp?genre=article&id=doi:10.1098/r spb.2003.2559>

Smith, Felisa A.; Brown, James H.; Haskell, John P.; Lyons, Kate; Alroy, John; Charnov, Eric; Dayan, Tamar; Enquist, Brian J.; Ernest, S.K. Morgan; Hadly, Elizabeth A.; Jones, Kate; Kaufman, Dawn; Marquet, Pablo A.; Maurer, Brian A.; Niklas, Karl J.; Porter, Warren P.; Tiffney, Bruce; Willig, Michael R. 2004. Similarity of mammalian body size across the taxonomic hierarchy and across space and time. American Naturalist. Vol: 163. Pages 672-691.

Smith, Felisa A.; Lyons, Kate; Ernest, S. K. Morgan; Jones, Kate; Kaufman, Dawn; Dayan, Tamar; Marquet, Pablo A.; Brown, James H.; Haskell, John P.. 2003. Body mass of late Quaternary mammals. Ecology. Vol: 84(12). Pages 3403-3403.

Smith, Katherine F.; Dobson, Andrew P.; McKenzie, Ellis; Real, Leslie A.; Smith, David L.; Wilson, Mark L. 2005. Ecological theory to enhance infectious disease control and public health policy. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment. Vol: 3(1). Pages 29-37.

Smith, Melinda D.; Wilcox, Julia C.; Kelly, Theresa; Knapp, Alan K..2004. Dominance not richness determines invasibility of tall grass prairie. Oikos. Vol: 106. Pages 253-262.

Smith, Val H.; Foster, Bryan L.; Grover, James P.; Holt, Robert D.; Leibold, Mathew; de Noyelles Jr., Frank. 2005. Phytoplankton species richness scales consistently from laboratory microcosms to the world's oceans. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Vol: 102(12).Pages 4393-4396.

Smouse, Peter; Dyer, Rodney; Westfall, Robert; Sork, Victoria L. 2001. Two-generation analysis of pollen flow across a landscape. I. Male gamete heterogeneity among females. Evolution. Vol: 55(2). Pages 260-271.

Smouse, Peter; Sork, Victoria L. 2004. Measuring pollen flow in forest trees: An exposition of alternative approaches. Forest Ecology and Management. Vol: 197. Pages 21-38.

* Snelgrove, Paul; Smith, Craig. 2002. A riot of species in an environmental calm: The paradox of the species-rich deep sea. Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review. Vol: 40. Pages 331-342.

Snyder, R. E.; Borer, Elizabeth T.; Chesson, Peter L. 2005. Examining the relative importance of spatial and non-spatial co-existence mechanisms. American Naturalist. Vol: 166(4). Pages E75-E94.

Sobek, Sebastian; Tranvik, Lars; Cole, Jonathan J.. 2005. Temperature independence of carbon dioxide supersaturation in global lakes. Global Biogeochemical Cycles. Vol: 19(2). Pages GB2003.

Sole, Ricard V.; Alonso, David; Bascompte, Jordi; Manrubia, S.C.. 2001. On the fractal nature of ecological and macro-evolutionary dynamics. Fractals. Vol: 9. Pages 1-16.

Sole, Ricard V.; Bascompte, Jordi. 2006. Self-Organization in Complex Ecosystems. /Edited by / Levin, Simon A.; Horn, Henry S. Princeton Monographs in Population Biology. Vol: 42. Princeton University Press. Princeton. Online version<http://www.pupress.princeton.edu/titles/8224.html>

Sork, Victoria L.; Apsit, Victoria; Dyer, Rodney. In press. Patterns of mating in an insectpollinated tree species in the Missouri Ozark Forest Ecosystem Project. Natural Areas Journal.

Sork, Victoria L.; Dyer, Rodney; Smouse, Peter; Apsit, Victoria; Westfall, Robert. 2005. A two-generation analysis of pollen pool genetic structure in flowering dogwood, Cornus florida (Cornaceae), in the Missouri Ozarks. American Journal of Botany. Vol: 92(2). Pages 262-271.

Sponaugle, Su; Cowen, Robert; Shanks, Alan L.; Morgan, Steven; Leis, Jeffrey M.; Pineda, Jesus; Boehlert, George; Kingsford, Michael J.; Lindeman, Ken; Grimes, Churchill; Munro, John L.. 2002. Predicting self-recruitment in marine populations:

Biophysical correlates and mechanisms. Bulletin of Marine Science. Vol: 70. Pages 341-375.

Srivastava, Diane S.; Vellend, Mark. 2005. Biodiversity-ecosystem function research: Is it relevant to conservation?. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics. Vol: 36. Pages 267-294.

Stachowicz, Jay; Tilman, David. 2005. Species invasions and the relationships between species diversity, community saturation, and ecosystem functioning. /Edited by / Sax, Dov F.; Stachowicz, John J.; Gaines, Steven D.. Species Invasions: Insights into Ecology, Evolution, and Biogeography. Sinauer Associates, Inc. Sunderland, Massachusetts. Pages 41-64.

Steiner, Christopher F.; Leibold, Mathew. 2004. Cyclic assembly trajectories and scaledependent productivity-diversity relationships. Ecology. Vol: 85. Pages 107-113.

Stevens, Richard. 2004. Untangling latitudinal richness gradients at higher taxonomic levels: Familial perspectives on the diversity of New World bat communities. Journal of Biogeography. Vol: 31(4). Pages 665-674.

Stevens, Richard; Cox, Stephen B.; Willig, Michael R.; Strauss, R. E. 2003. Patterns of functional diversity across an extensive environmental gradient: Vertebrate consumers, hidden treatments, and latitudinal trends. Ecology Letters. Vol: 6. Pages 1099-1108.

Stevens, Richard; Willig, Michael R. 2002. Geographical ecology at the community level: Perspectives on the diversity of New World bats. Ecology. Vol: 83. Pages 545-560.

Stevens, Richard; Willig, Michael R.; Gamarra de Fox, I.. 2004. Comparative community ecology of bats from Eastern Paraguay: Taxonomic, ecological, and biogeographic perspectives. Journal of Mammalogy. Vol:85(4). Pages 698-707.

Stoms, David; Davis, Frank W.; Andelman, Sandy J.; Carr, Mark H.; Gaines, Steven D.; Halpern, Ben; Hoenicke, Rainer; Leibowitz, Scott; Leydecker, Al; Madin, Elizabeth M.P.; Tallis, Heather; Warner, Robert R. 2005. Integrated coastal reserve planning: Making the land-sea connection. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment. Vol: 3(8). Pages429-436.

Strathmann, Richard R; Hughes, Terence P.; Kuris, Armand; Lindeman, Ken; Morgan, Steven; Pandolfi, John; Warner, Robert R.. 2002. Evolution of local recruitment and its consequences for marine populations. Bulletin of Marine Science. Vol: 70. Pages 377-396.

Strayer, David; Beighley, Edward; Thompson, Lisa C.; Brooks, Shane; Nilsson, Christer; Pinay, Gilles; Naiman, Robert J.. 2003. Effects of land cover on stream ecosystems: Roles of empirical models and scaling issues. Ecosystems. Vol: 6. Pages 407-423. Online version<http://www.springerlink.com/app/home/content.asp?wasp=n9a4bpwvrr3jnb7hw kak&referrer=contribution&format=13&page=1&pagecount=0>

Stuart, Carol; Rex, Michael A.; Etter, Ron J.. 2003. Large-scale spatial and temporal patterns of deep-sea benthic species diversity./Edited by / Tyler, P. A.. Ecosystems of the World: Ecosystems of the Deep Oceans. Elsevier. Amsterdam. Pages 295-311.

Suding, Katharine N.; Gross, Katherine L. 2004. Alternative states and positive feedbacks in restoration ecology. Trends in Ecology and Evolution. Vol: 19(1). Pages 46-53.

Sutton, Paul; Costanza, Robert. 2002. Global estimates of market and non-market values derived from nighttime satellite imagery, land cover, and ecosystem service valuation. Ecological Economics. Vol: 41(3). Pages509-527. Online version<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/B6VDY-45R7NTR-3/1/9fb50b13373807f0652828c08d97fe1f>

Swearer, Stephen E.; Shima, Jeffrey; Hellberg, Michael E.; Thorrold, Simon; Jones, Geoffrey P.; Robertson, D. Ross; Morgan, Steven; Selkoe, Kimberly; Ruiz, Greg; Warner, Robert R.. 2002. Evidence of self-recruitment in demersal marine populations. Bulletin of Marine Science. Vol: 70. Pages 251-271.

Tabor, Gary; Ostfeld, Richard S.; Poss, Mary; Dobson, Andrew P.; Aguirre, A. A.. 2001. Conservation biology and the health sciences: Research priorities of conservation medicine. /Edited by / Soule, M. E.; Orians, G. H.. Conservation Biology: Research Priorities for the Next Decade. Island Press. Washington, DC. Pages 155-174.

Taper, Mark L.; Lele, Subhash R.. 2004. The Nature of Scientific Evidence: Statistical Philosophical, and Empirical Considerations. University of Chicago Press. Chicago, IL.

Tartowski, S.; Howarth, Robert.. 2000. Nitrogen, nitrogen cycling. Encyclopedia of Biodiversity. Vol: 4. Academic Press. San Diego, CA. Pages 377-388. Taylor, R. J.; Regan, Tracey; Regan, Helen; Burgman, Mark; Bonham, K..2003. Impacts of plantation development, harvesting schedules and rotation lengths on the rare snail Tasmaphena lamproides in northwest Tasmania: A population viability analysis. Forest Ecology and Management. Vol: 175. Pages 455-466.

* Thompson, John N. 1999. Coevolution and escalation: Are ongoing coevolutionary meanderings important?. American Naturalist. Vol: 153.Pages S92-S93.

* Thompson, John N. 1999. Specific hypotheses on the geographic mosaic of coevolution. American Naturalist. Vol: 153. Pages S1-S14. * Thompson, John N. 1999. The raw material for coevolution. Oikos. Vol:84. Pages 5-16.

* Thompson, John N.. 2005. The Geographic Mosaic of Coevolution. University of Chicago Press. Chicago. Pages 443.

Thomson, James. 2001. Using pollination deficits to infer pollinator declines: Can theory guide us?. Conservation Ecology. Vol: 5(1). Pages 6.

Thorrold, Simon; Jones, Geoffrey P.; Hellberg, Michael E.; Burton, Ronald; Swearer, Stephen E.; Neigel, Joseph E.; Morgan, Steven; Warner, Robert R.. 2002. Quantifying larval retention and connectivity in marine populations with artificial and natural markers. Bulletin of Marine Science. Vol: 70. Pages 291-308.

Tilman, David; Cassman, Ken; Matson, Pamela; Naylor, Roz; Polasky, Stephen. 2002. Agricultural sustainability and intensive production practices. Nature. Vol: 418. Pages 671-677.

Tilman, David; Fargione, Joseph; Wolff, Brian; D'Antonio, Carla; Dobson, Andrew P.; Howarth, Robert W.; Schindler, David W.; Schlesinger, William H.; Simberloff, Daniel S.; Swackhamer, Deborah. 2001. Forecasting agriculturally driven global environmental change. Science. Vol: 292. Pages 281-284.

Tilman, David; Kareiva, Peter. 1997. Spatial ecology: The role of space in population dynamics and inter specific interactions. Princeton University Press. Princeton.

Tilman, David; Knops, Johan; Wedin, D.; Reich, Peter B. 2002. Experimental and observational studies of diversity, productivity and stability. /Edited by / Kinzig, A. P.; Pacala, S. W.; Tilman, D.. The Functional Consequences of Biodiversity: Empirical Progress and Theoretical Extensions. Princeton University Press. Princeton. Pages 42-70.

Tilman, David; Knops, Johan; Wedin, D.; Reich, Peter B.. 2002. Plant diversity and composition: Effects on productivity and nutrient dynamics of experimental grasslands. /Edited by / Loreau, M.; Naeem, S.; Inchausti, P. Biodiversity and Ecosystem Functioning: Synthesis and Perspectives. Oxford University Press. Oxford. Pages 21-35. Tilman, David; Lehman, Clarence L.. 2002. Biodiversity, composition, and ecosystem processes: Theory and concepts. /Edited by / Kinzig, A.P.; Pacala, S. W.; Tilman, D.. The Functional Consequences of Biodiversity: Empirical Progress and Theoretical Extensions. Princeton University Press. Princeton. Pages 9-41.

Tilman, David; Reich, Peter B.; Knops, Johan; Wedin, D.; Mielke, T.; Lehman, Clarence L. 2001. Diversity and productivity in a long-term grassland experiment. Science. Vol: 294. Pages 843-845.

Torchin, Mark E.; Lafferty, Kevin; Dobson, Andrew P.; McKenzie, Valerie J.; Kuris, Armand. 2003. Introduced species and their missing parasites. Nature. Vol: 421. Pages 628-630.

Townsend, Alan R.; Howarth, Robert W.; Bazzaz, Fakhri A.; Booth, Mary; Cleveland, Cory; Collinge, Sharon; Dobson, Andrew P.; Epstein, Paul R.; Holland, Elisabeth A.; Keeney, Dennis; Mallin, Michael; Rogers, Christine A.; Wayne, Peter; Wolfe, Amir H.. 2003. Human health effects of a changing global nitrogen cycle. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment. Vol: 1(5). Pages 240-246.

* Tuda, Midori. 1998. Evolutionary character changes and population responses in an insect host-parasitoid experimental system. Researches on Population Ecology. Vol: 40. Pages 293-299.

Tuda, Midori; Shimada, Masakazu. 2005. Complexity, evolution, and persistence in hostparasitoid experimental systems with Callosobruchus beetles as the host. Advances in Ecological Research. Vol: 37. Pages 37-75.

* Turchin, Peter. 1999. Population regulation: A synthetic view. Oikos.Vol: 84. Pages 153-159.

Turchin, Peter; Briggs, Cheryl J.; Ellner, Stephen P.; Fischlin, A.; Kendall, Bruce E.; McCauley, Edward; Murdoch, William W.; Wood, Simon.2002. Population cycles of the larch budmoth in Switzerland. /Edited by/ Berryman, A. A.. Population Cycles: The Case for Trophic Interactions. Oxford University Press. New York. Pages 130-141.

Turchin, Peter; Ellner, Stephen P.. 2000. Living on the edge of chaos: Population dynamics of fennoscandian voles. Ecology. Vol: 81. Pages3099-3116.

Turchin, Peter; Wood, Simon; Ellner, Stephen P.; Kendall, Bruce E.; Murdoch, William W.; Fischlin, A.; Casas, J.; McCauley, Edward; Briggs, Cheryl J.. 2003. Dynamical effects of plant quality and parasitism on population cycles of larch bud moth. Ecology. Vol: 84(5). Pages 1207-1214.

Turner, Monica G.; Tinker, D. B.; Gergel, Sarah E.. 2001. Landscape disturbance: Location, pattern and dynamics. /Edited by / Gergel, S. E.; Turner, M. G.. Learning Landscape Ecology: A Practical Guide to Concepts and Techniques. Springer-Verlag. New York. Pages 147-165.

Tyre, Andrew; Tenhumberg, B.; McCarthy, Mick; Possingham, Hugh P..2000. Swapping space for time and unfair tests of ecological models. Austral Ecology. Vol: 25. Pages 327-331.

Urban, Dean; Keitt, Timothy H.. 2001. Landscape connectivity: A graph-theoretical perspective. Ecology. Vol: 82(5). Pages 1205-1218.

VanBlaricom, Glenn; Gerber, Leah; Brownell, R. 2001. Extinctions of marine mammals. Encyclopedia of Biodiversity. Vol: 4. Academic Press. San Diego, CA. Pages 37-69.

Vazquez, Diego; Aizen, Marcelo A. 2004. Asymmetric specialization: A pervasive feature of plant-pollinator interactions. Ecology. Vol: 85(5).Pages 1251-1257.

Vazquez, Diego; Aizen, Marcelo A.. 2005. Community-wide patterns of specialization in plant-pollinator interactions revealed by null models./Edited by / Waser, N. M.; Ollerton, J.. Specialization and Generalization in Plant-Pollinator Interactions. University of Chicago Press. Chicago.

Vazquez, Diego; Simberloff, Daniel S. 2003. Changes in interaction biodiversity induced by an introduced ungulate. Ecology Letters. Vol: 6.Pages 1077-1083.

Vazquez, Diego; Stevens, Richard. 2004. The latitudinal gradient in niche breadth: Concepts and evidence. American Naturalist. Vol: 164.Pages E1-E19.

Vellend, Mark. 2005. Species diversity and genetic diversity: Parallel processes and correlated patterns. American Naturalist. Vol: 166. Pages199-215.

Vellend, Mark. 2006. The consequences of genetic diversity in competitive communities. Ecology. Vol: 87(2). Pages 304-311.

Vellend, Mark; Geber, M. A. 2005. Connection between species diversity and genetic diversity. Ecology Letters. Vol: 8. Pages 767-781.

Vellend, Mark; Hughes, Anne R.; Grosberg, Richard K.; Holt, Robert D..2005. Introduction: Insights into evolution from species invasion./Edited by / Sax, D. F.; Gaines, S. D.; Stachowicz, J. J.. Species Invasions: Insights to Ecology, Evolution, and Biogeography. Sinauer Associates, Inc.. Sunderland, MA.

Vellend, Mark; Hughes, Anne R.; Grosberg, Richard K.; Holt, Robert D..2005. Insights into evolution. /Edited by / Sax, Dov F.; Stachowicz, John J.; Gaines, Steven D.. Species Invasions: Insights into Ecology, Evolution, and Biogeography. Sinauer Associates, Inc.. Sunderland, Massachusetts. Pages 135-137.

Vellend, Mark; Knight, Tiffany; Drake, John M.. 2006. Antagonistic effects of seed dispersal and herbivory on plant migration. Ecology Letters. Vol: 9. Pages 319-326.

Vellend, Mark; Verheyen, Kris; Jacquemyn, Hans; Kolb, A.; Van Calster, H.; Peterken, G.; Hermy, M. 2006. Extinction debt of forest plants persists for more than a century following habitat fragmentation. Ecology. Vol: 87(3). Pages 542-548.

Ver Hoef, Jay M.; Cressie, N.; Fisher, Robert N.; Case, Ted. 2001. Uncertainty and spatial linear models for ecological data. /Edited by /Hunsaker, C.; Goodchild, M.; Friedl, M.; Case, T. J.. Spatial Uncertainty in Ecology. Springer-Verlag. Berlin. Pages 214-237.

Villa, Ferdinando; Wilson, Matthew A.; de Groot, Rudolf; Farber, Stephen; Costanza, Robert; Boumans, Roelof M.. 2002. Designing an integrated knowledge base to support ecosystem services valuation. Ecological Economics. Vol: 41(3). Pages 445-456. Online versionhttp://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/B6VDY-45RFMW5-2/1/8a03f5263bb4a88888fded48a356c867>

Villier, L.; Korn, Dieter. 2004. Morphological disparity of ammonoids and the mark of Permian mass extinctions. Science. Vol: 306. Pages 264-266.

Vitousek, Peter; Cassman, Ken; Cleveland, Cory; Crews, Tim; Field, Christopher B.; Grimm, Nancy B.; Howarth, Robert W.; Marino, R.; Martinelli, Luiz; Rastetter, Edward; Sprent, Janet. 2002. Towards an ecological understanding of biological nitrogen fixation. Biogeochemistry. Vol: 57&58. Pages 1-45.

Wagner, Frederic H. 1999. Objective science and politics: Can they coexist? (Review of Wilkinson, T. 1999. Science under siege: The politicians' war on nature and truth. Johnson Books, Boulder, CO).BioScience. Vol: 50(3). Pages 262-263.

Wagner, Frederic H. 1999. Perspective. Yellowstone Science. Vol: 7(3).Pages 12-14.

Wagner, Frederic H. 2006. Yellowstone's Destabilized Ecosystem: Elk Effects, Science, and Policy Conflicts. Oxford University Press. New York. Pages 392.

* Waide, Robert B.; Willig, Michael R.; Mittelbach, Gary; Steiner, Christopher F.; Gough, Laura; Dodson, Stanley I.; Juday, Glenn P.; Parmenter, Robert R.. 1999. The relationship between productivity and species richness. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics. Vol: 30. Pages 257-300.

* Walker, Brian; Steffen, Will. 1997. An overview of the implications of global change for natural and managed terrestrial ecosystems. Conservation Ecology [Online]. Vol: 1(2). Online versionhttp://www.consecol.org/vol1/iss2/art2

Walker, Donald (Skip) A.. 2000. Hierarchical subdivision of Arctic tundra based on vegetation response to climate, parent material and topography. Global Change Biology. Vol: 6(S1). Pages 19-34.

* Wall, Diana H.; Adams, G.; Parsons, A. N.. In press. Soil biodiversity under global change scenarios. /Edited by / Chapin, F. S.; Sala, O. E.. Future Scenarios of Climate Change. Elsevier.

Waller, Lance; Smith, David L.; Childs, James E.; Real, Leslie A..2003. Monte Carlo assessments of goodness-of-fit for ecological simulation models. Ecological Modelling. Vol: 164. Pages 49-63.

Walters, Carl. 2003. Folly and fantasy in the analysis of spatial catch rate data. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. Vol:60(12). Pages 1433-1436.

Walters, Carl. 2004. Simple representation of the dynamics of biomass error propagation for stock assessment models. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. Vol: 61(7). Pages 1061-1065.

Walters, Carl; Christensen, Villy; Martell, Steve J.; Kitchell, James F. 2005. Possible ecosystem impacts of applying MSY policies from single-species assessment. ICES Journal of Marine Science. Vol: 62(3).Pages 558-568.

Walters, Carl; Hilborn, Ray. 2005. Exploratory assessment of recruitment patterns using relative abundance and catch data. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. Vol: 62. Pages 1985-1990.

Walters, Carl; Kitchell, James F. 2001. Cultivation/depensation effects on juvenile survival and recruitment: Implications for the theory of fishing. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. Vol: 58(1). Pages 39-50.

Walters, Carl; Martell, Steve J. 2004. Fisheries Ecology and Management. Princeton University Press. Princeton. Pages 448.

Walters, Carl; Pauly, D. M.; Christensen, Villy; Kitchell, James F..2000. Representing density dependent consequences of life history strategies in aquatic ecosystems: EcoSim II. Ecosystems. Vol: 3. Pages70-83.

Ward, Jessica; Lafferty, Kevin. 2004. The elusive baseline of marine disease: Are diseases in ocean ecosystems increasing?. Public Library of Science Biology. Vol: 2(4). Pages 542-547.

Wares, John; Hughes, Anne R.; Grosberg, Richard K.. 2005. Mechanisms to drive evolutionary change: Insights from species introductions and invasions. /Edited by / Sax, Dov F.; Stachowicz, John J.; Gaines, Steven D.. Species Invasions: Insights into Ecology, Evolution, and Biogeography. Sinauer Associates, Inc.. Sunderland, Massachusetts. Pages229-257.

Warner, Robert R.; Cowen, Robert. 2002. Local retention of production in marine populations: Evidence, mechanisms, and consequences. Bulletin of Marine Science. Vol: 70. Pages 245-249.

Watchman, L. H.; Groom, Martha; Perrine, John. 2001. Science and uncertainty in habitat conservation planning. American Scientist. Vol:89(4). Pages 351-359.

Watters, George; Olson, Robert J.; Francis, Robert C.; Fiedler, Paul; Polovina, Jeffrey; Reilly, Stephen; Aydin, Kerim; Boggs, Chris; Essington, Timothy; Walters, Carl; Kitchell, James F. 2003. Physical forcing and the dynamics of the pelagic ecosystem in the eastern tropical Pacific: Simulations with ENSO-scale and global-warming climate drivers. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. Vol: 60(9).Pages 1161-1175.

Webb, Campbell O.; Ackerly, David D.; McPeek, Mark A.; Donoghue, Michael J. 2002. Phylogenie and community ecology. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics. Vol: 33. Pages 475-505.

Webb, Campbell O.; Losos, Jonathan B.; Agrawal, Anurag. 2006. Integrating phylogenies into community ecology. Ecology (Special Issue: Phylogenetic approaches to community ecology). Vol: 87(7). Pages S1-S2.

Webb, T. III; Shuman, B. N.; Williams, John W.. 2003. Climatically forced vegetation dynamics in North America during the Late-Quaternary./Edited by / Gillespie, A. R.; Porter, S. C.; Atwater, B. F.. The Quaternary Period in the United States. Elsevier. Boston. Pages 459-478.

Weltzin, Jake F.; Loik, Michael E.; Schwinning, Susan; Williams, David G.; Fay, Phil; Haddad, Brent; Harte, John; Huxman, Travis E.; Knapp, Alan K.; Lin, Guanghui; Pockman, William T.; Shaw, M. Rebecca; Small, Eric E.; Smith, Melinda D.; Smith, Stanley D.; Tissue, David T.; Zak, John C.. 2003. Assessing the response of terrestrial ecosystems to potential changes in precipitation. BioScience. Vol: 53(10). Pages 941-952.

Werner, Earl E.; Peacor, Scott D. 2003. A review of trait-mediated indirect interactions in ecological communities. Ecology. Vol: 84(5).Pages 1083-1100.

West, Geoffrey W.; Brown, James H.; Enquist, Brian J. 2001. A general model for ontogenetic growth. Nature. Vol: 413. Pages 628-631.

Whittaker, Robert J.; Willis, K. J.; Field, Richard. 2001. Scale and species richness: Towards a general, hierarchical theory of species diversity. Journal of Biogeography. Vol: 28. Pages 453-470.

Wilcox, Chris; Possingham, Hugh P.. 2002. Do life history traits affect the accuracy of diffusion approximations for mean time to extinction?. Ecological Applications. Vol: 12(4). Pages 1163-1179.

Wilf, Peter; Johnson, Kirk R. 2004. Land plant extinction at the end of the Cretaceous: A quantitative analysis of the North Dakota megafloral record. Paleobiology. Vol: 30(3). Pages 347-368.

Williams, John W.. 2000. Book Review: Bonnicksen, T. M. 2000. America's ancient forests: From the Ice Age to the Age of Discovery. Wiley, New York. Conservation Ecology. Vol: 4(2). Pages 2. Online versionhttp://www.consecol.org/vol4/iss2/art2

Williams, John W.. 2003. Variations in tree cover distributions in North America since the last glacial maximum. Global Planetary Change. Vol: 35. Pages 1-23.

Williams, John W.; Jackson, Stephen. 2003. Palynological and AVHRR observations of modern vegetational gradients in eastern North America. The Holocene. Vol: 13(4). Pages 485-497.

Williams, John W.; Post, David M.; Cwynar, L. C.; Lotter, A. F.; Levesque, A. J. 2002. Rapid and widespread vegetation responses to past climate change in the North Atlantic Region. Geology. Vol: 30. Pages971-974.

Williams, John W.; Seabloom, Eric; Slayback, Dan; Stoms, David; Viers, Joshua H.. 2004. Anthropogenic impacts upon plant species richness and net primary productivity in California. Ecology Letters. Vol: 8. Pages127-137.

Williams, John W.; Shuman, B. N.; Webb, T. III. 2001. Dissimilarity analyses of late-Quaternary vegetation and climate in eastern North America. Ecology. Vol: 82. Pages 3346-3362.

Williams, John W.; Shuman, B. N.; Webb, T. III; Bartlein, P. J.; Leduc, P. 2004. Late-Quaternary vegetation dynamics in North America: Scaling from taxa to biomes. Ecological Monographs. Vol: 74. Pages 309-334.

Williams, John W.; Webb, T. III; Shuman, B. N.; Bartlein, P. J.. 2000.Do low CO2 concentrations affect pollen-based reconstructions of LGM climates? A response to "Physiological significance of low atmosphericCO2 for plant-climate interactions" by Cowling and Sykes. Quaternary Research. Vol: 53. Pages 402-404.

Williams, Mark W.. 1998. Measuring the impact of plant invaders in Britain. /Edited by / Starfinger, U.; Edwards, K.; Kowark, I.; and Williamson, M. Plant Invasions: Ecological Mechanisms and Human Responses. Backhuys. Leiden, Netherlands. Pages 57-68.

Williams, Matt; Eugster, Werner; Rastetter, Edward; McFadden, Joseph P.; Chapin, F. Stuart. 2000. The controls on net ecosystem productivity along an Arctic transect: A model comparison with flux measurements. Global Change Biology. Vol: 6(S1). Pages 116-126.

Williams, Neal M.; Minckley, Robert; Silveira, F. 2001. Variation in native bee faunas and its implications for detecting community changes. Conservation Ecology. Vol: 5(1). Pages 7.

Williams, Paul; Faith, Dan; Manne, Lisa L.; Sechrest, Wes; Preston, Chris. 2006. Complementarity analysis: Mapping the performance of surrogates for biodiversity. Biological Conservation. Vol: 128. Pages253-264.

*Williamson, Mark. 2001. Can the impacts of invasive species be predicted?. /Edited by / Groves, R. H.; Panetta, F. D.; Virtue J. G.. Weed Risk Assessment. CSIRO. Collingwood, Australia. Pages 20-33.

Willig, Michael R. 2000. Latitude, common trends within. /Edited by /Levin, S. Encyclopedia of Biodiversity. Vol: 3. Academic Press. San Diego, CA. Pages 701-714.

Willig, Michael R.; Kaufman, Dawn; Stevens, Richard. 2003. Latitudinal gradients of biodiversity: Pattern, process, scale, and synthesis. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics. Vol: 34. Pages273-309.

Wilsey, Brian J.; Chalcraft, David R.; Bowles, Christy; Willig, Michael R. 2005. Relationships among indices suggest that richness is an incomplete surrogate for grassland biodiversity. Ecology. Vol: 86(5).Pages 1178-1184.

Wilson, Matthew A.; Howarth, Richard. 2002. Discourse-based valuation of ecosystem services: Establishing fair outcomes through group deliberation. Ecological Economics. Vol: 41(3). Pages 431-443.

Onlineversion<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/B6VDY-45RFMW5-1/1/ef4fe94e9bd8fd6f70d943cb77be8cad>

Wilson, Will G.; Abrams, Peter A.. 2005. Coexistence of cycling and dispersing consumer species: Armstrong and McGehee in space. American Naturalist. Vol: 165(2). Pages 193-205.

Wilson, Will G.; Harder, L. D.. 2003. Reproductive uncertainty and the relative competitiveness of simultaneous hermaphroditism versus dioecy. American Naturalist. Vol: 162. Pages 220-241.

Wilson, Will G.; Lundberg, Per; Vazquez, Diego; Shurin, Jonathan B.; Smith, Melinda D.; Langford, Bill T.; Gross, Katherine L.; Mittelbach, Gary. 2003. Biodiversity and species interactions: Extending Lotka-Volterra community theory. Ecology Letters. Vol: 6. Pages 944-952.

Work, Timothy; McCullough, Deborah G.; Cavey, Joseph F.; Komsa, Ron.2005. Arrival rate of non-indigenous insect species into the United States through foreign trade. Biological Invasions. Vol: 7. Pages 323-332.

Wright, Joseph; Muller-Landau, Helene C.; Calderon, O.; Hernandez, Andres. 2005. Annual and spatial variation in seedfall and seedling recruitment in a neotropical forest. Ecology. Vol: 86(4). Pages 848-860.

Wright, S. J.; Muller-Landau, Helene C.; Condit, Richard; Hubbell, Stephen P. 2003. Gap-dependent recruitment, realized vital rates, and size distributions of tropical trees. Ecology. Vol: 84(12). Pages3174-3185.

Zhu, A-Xing. 2001. Uncertainty in spatial data for ecological analysis./Edited by / Hunsaker, C.; Goodchild, M.; Friedl, M.; Case, T. J.. Perspectives on Uncertainty in Spatial Data for Ecological Models. Springer-Verlag. Berlin. Pages 330-350.

Xing, Guan xi; Zhu, Zhao-Liang. 2002. Regional nitrogen budgets for China and its major watersheds. Biogeochemistry. Vol: 57&58. Pages 405-427.

de Groot, Rudolf; Wilson, Matthew A.; Boumans, Roel of M.. 2002. A typology for the classification, description and valuation of ecosystem functions, goods and services. Ecological Economics. Vol: 41(3). Pages393-408. Online version<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/B6VDY-45SRGBG-1/1/2bc2921171db0db8ace5513567799550>

de Ruiter, Peter C.; Wolters, Volkmar; Moore, John C.. 2005. Dynamic Food Webs: Multispecies Assemblages, Ecosystem Development and Environmental Change. /Edited by / de Ruiter, Peter; Wolters, Volkmar; Moore, John C. Elsevier. Amsterdam. Pages 590.

de Valpine, Perry. 2002. Review of methods for fitting time-series models with process and observation error and likelihood calculations for nonlinear, non-Gaussian state-space models. Bulletin of Marine Science. Vol: 70. Pages 455-471.

de Valpine, Perry. 2003. Better inferences from population-dynamics experiments using Monte Carlo state-space likelihood methods. Ecology. Vol: 84. Pages 3064-3077.

de Valpine, Perry. 2004. Monte Carlo state-space likelihoods by weighted posterior kernel density estimation. Journal of the American Statistical Association. Vol: 99(466). Pages 523-536.

van Breemen, Nico; Boyer, Elizabeth W.; Goodale, Christine; Jaworski, Norbert; Paustian, Keith; Seitzinger, Sybil; Lajtha, Kate; Mayer, Bernhard; van Dam, Douwe; Howarth, Robert W.; Nadelhoffer, Knute; Eve,M.; Billen, Gilles. 2002. Where did all the nitrogen go? Fate of nitrogen inputs to large watersheds in the northeastern USA. Biogeochemistry. Vol: 57&58. Pages 267-293.

von Euler, Fredrik. 2001. Selective extinction and rapid loss of evolutionary history in the bird fauna. Proceedings of The Royal Society: Biological Sciences . Vol: 268. Pages 127-130.

NCEAS Related Data Sets registered or uploaded to NCEAS Data Repository

Aguilar, Ramiro and Aizen, Marcelo. 2007. Plant reproductive susceptibility to habitat fragmentation meta-analysis.

Allen, Andrew P. 2006. Global compilation of molecular evolution data for planktonic foraminifera.

Alroy, John; Marshall, Charles R; Miller, Arnold I. 2004. Paleobiology database.

Altizer, Sonia; Nunn, Charles L.; Cunningham, Andrew A; Ezenwa, Vanessa; Lindenfors, Patrik; Poss, Mary; Antonovics, Janis; Dobson, Andrew P.; Gittleman, John L.; Jones, Kate; Pedersen, Amy; Thrall, Peter. 2005. The global mammal parasite database.

Arai, H. P.; Chinniah, V. C.; Arthur, J. R.; Dechtiar, A. O.; Holmes, J. C.; Bangham, R. V.; Margolis, L.; Mudry, D. R.; Leong, T. S. 2006. Canadian freshwater fish and their metazoan parasites (multiple sources).

Bascompte, Jordi; Melian, Carlos J.; Sala, Enric. 2006. Caribbean food web.

Beck, Michael W. and Heck, Kenneth L. 2006. A review of studies on the nursery role of nearshore habitats.

Berghe, Edward V.; Cunningham, Clifford W.; Costello, Mark J. 2006. North Atlantic register for marine species (NARMS).

Boersma, Dee; Kareiva, Peter; Fagan, William F.; Miller, Julie; Bradley, Jeff; Hoekstra, Jonathan; Regetz, Jim; Crouse, Debby; Orians, Gordon. 2004. The science of recovery plans database.

Borer, Elizabeth T. 2004. Multi-system trophic cascade dataset.

Borer, Elizabeth T. and Briggs, Cheryl J. 2004. Empirical intraguild predation studies.

Brooks, Marjorie. 2006. Geochemistry of Colorado Spring.

Burdon, Jeremy. 2006. Incidence of the rust Triphragmium ulmariae on Filipendula ulmaria in the Skeppsvik archipelago, Sweden.

Burgman, Mark and Regan, Tracey. 2006. Main causes for discrepancies in species classifications.

Burton, Rebecca and Gerber, Leah. 2004. Trapping locations, animal body mass, and breeding conditions of Neotoma fuscipes on Sedgwick Reserve.

Carpenter, Steve R. and Cottingham, Kathryn L. 2005. Physical data for six lakes in Wisconsin, USA, 1984-1995.

Carpenter, Steve R. and Cottingham, Kathryn L. 2005. Sedimentation rates for nitrogen and phosphorus in five lakes in Wisconsin, USA, 1991-1995.

Chaudhary, Bala; Walters, Lawrence; Hoeksema, Jason D.; Johnson, Nancy C.; Umbanhowar, James A. 2006. MycoDB: Database for meta-analyses of mycorrhizal inoculation.

Christie, Patrick. 2007. Marine EBM governance data.

Citron-Pousty, Steven I. 2005. Exhaustive map and gridded maps of locations of isopod burrows and environmental variables in a desert site in Israel.

Clark, Deborah A. 1999. Annotated dataset on tropical forest NPP components.

Clark, Deborah A.; Brown, Sandra; Kicklighter, David W.; Chambers, Jeff Q.; Thomlinson, John R.; Holland, Elisabeth A. 2005. Net primary production in tropical forests: An evaluation and synthesis of existing field data.

Clark, Deborah A.; Brown, Sandra; Kicklighter, David W.; Chambers, Jeff Q.; Thomlinson, John R.; Ni, Jian; Holland, Elisabeth A. 2005. Published data from tropical forest sites with data on forest biomass and at least one component of NPP, with documentation of the methods underlying these data (and associated references).

Cleland, Elsa; Suding, Katharine N.; Collins, Scott L.; Clark, Christopher; Fargione, Joseph; Gough, Laura; Gross, Katherine L.; Pennings, Steven C.; Milchunas, Daniel; Cox, Stephen B.; Grace, Jim. 2006. Synthesis of nitrogen fertilization experiments in North America - plant species relative abundance.

Condit, Richard; Gunatilleke, C; Gunatilleke, I. 2007. Growth and mortality of tropical tree species in Sinharaja, Sri Lanka.

Condit, Richard and Sukumar, R. 2007. Growth and mortality of tropical tree species in Mudumalai, India

Condit, Richard and Valencia, R. 2007. Growth and mortality of tropical tree species in Yasuni, Ecuador.

Condit, Richard. 2007. Growth and mortality of tropical tree species in La Planada, Colombia.

Costanza, Robert and Farber, Stephen. 2006. Value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital datasets.

Crandall, Keith. 2006. Evolutionary significant units (ESU) table.

Davis, Frank W. 2006. California ecological condition 2000.

Davis, Frank W. 2006. California index of ecological condition in the year 2040 based on projected 2040 land use.

Davis, Frank W. 2006. Intersection of Calwater River Basins and ECOMAP subsections.

De Angelis, Don; Gardner, Robert H; Shugart, Hank. 2005. Productivity of forest ecosystems studied during the International Biological Programme (IBP): The woodlands data set.

Dixon, Philip. 2007. Exhaustive map locations of tupelo trees sampled in bottomland forests.

Drake, John M. 2005. Foreign game bird liberations in the U.S., 1960-1978.

Ekstrom, Julie. 2007. Digital library of management laws: California current large marine ecosystem

Elser, James. 2007. Global analysis of nitrogen and phosphorus limitation.

Enquist, Brian J. 2006. Biomass allocation and growth data of seeded plants.

Ernest, Morgan. 2006. Life history characteristics of non-volant placental mammals.

Esser, G.; Lieth, H.; Scurlock, Jonathan; Olson, Richard J. 2005. Osnabruck NPP dataset: Worldwide estimates and bibliography of net primary productivity derived from pre-1982 publications.

Fagan, William F. 2006. Ecological stoichiometry of plant-herbivore interactions.

Field, Richard and Hawkins, Bradford A. 2006. Scale and righness gradients.

Floeter, Sergio R.; Robertson, D. Ross; Ferreira, C. E. L.; Gasparini, J. L.; Rocha, Luiz; Edwards, Alasdair; Wirtz, Peter; Smith-Vaniz, William. 2004. Atlantic reef fish database.

Gill, R.; Kelly, Robin; Parton, William J.; Day, Ken; Jackson, Robert B.; Morgan, Jack A.; Scurlock, Jonathan; Tieszen, Larry; Castle, J.; Ojima, Dennis S.; Zhang, Xinshi. 2005. NPP grassland: Constistent worldwide site estimates, 1954-1990.

Gower, Stith T.; Krankina, Olga; Apps, Michael; Linder, Sune; Wang, C.; Olson, M. H. 2006. NPP boreal forest: Consistent worldwide site estimates, 1977-1994.

Gruner, Daniel S.; Seabloom, Eric; Smith, Jennifer E.; Ngai, Jackie; Bracken, Matthew; Harpole, W. Stanley; Cleland, Elsa; Elser, James J.; Hillebrand, Helmut; Borer, Elizabeth T. 2006. Experimental manipulations of nutrients and trophic structure across ecosystems.

Halpern, Ben. 2006. U.S. National Park Service Kelp Monitoring Database.

Halpern, Ben 2006. Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor (SeaWiFS).

Halpern, Ben. 2007. Ranking and mapping the impact of human activities on global ocean ecosystems.

Harmon, Mark. 2006. Mass loss datafile and nitrogen concentration data.

Harmon, Mark. 2007. Analysis of long-term litter decomposition experiments.

Hilborn, Ray. 2007. Coho salmon.

Hochberg, Michael; Cornell, Howard V.; Nettle, Daniel; Guegan, Jean-Francois; Choisy, Marc. 2006. Human cultural diversity - a cross-national data set.

Horvitz, Carol. 2006. Calathea ovandensis demography data.

Hurlbert, Allen. 2006. Geographic range occupancy of North American birds.

Ives, Anthony R. and Frost, Thomas M. 1999. Aquatic community dynamics data sets.

Ives, Anthony R. 2007. Insights on community dynamics from large-scale, long-term data: time series for zooplankton and environmental variates from 35 North American lakes.

Ives, Anthony R.; Frost, Thomas M.; Hastings, Alan; Carpenter, Steve R.; Cottingham, Kathryn L.; Dennis, Brian; Fischer, Janet; Fee, Everett; Klug, Jen; McEvoy, Peter; Melack, John M.; Micheli, Fiorenza; Yan, Norman; Wootton, Tim; Rusak, James A. 2006. Insights on community dynamics from large-scale, long-term data: Time series for zooplankton and environmental variates from 35 North American lakes.

Izmest'eva, Lyubov. 2006. Lake Baikal plankton.

Jackson, Robert B. and Gill, R. 2004. Global distribution of root turnover in terrestrial ecosystems.

Jackson, Robert B. and Gordon, Wendy. 2004. Global compilation of fine-root nutrient concentrations and root diameter.

Jackson, Robert B. and Gordon, Wendy. 2004. Global distribution of root nutrient concentrations in terrestrial ecosystems.

Jackson, Robert B. and Schenk, H. Jochen. 2004. Global distribution of root profiles in terrestrial ecosystems.

Jennings, Michael D. 2006. Multisource field plot data for studies of vegetation alliances: Northwestern USA.

Juanes, Francis. 2007. Bluefish life histories.

Juanes, Francis. 2007. Predator prey allometry in fishes.

Kareiva, Peter. 1999. Habitat conservation plan data.

Kareiva, Peter. 2006. Data for the habitat conservation planning for endangered species study.

Kelly, David and Koenig, Walter. 2007. Masting dynamics data set.

Kicklighter, David W. 2005. Terrestrial ecosystem model (TEM) dataset - data from 16 vegetation types worldwide.

Knight, Tiffany; Ashman, Tia-Lynn; Steets, Janette. 2006. Pollen supplementation metaanalysis.

Koop, A. L. 2006. Ardisia elliptica (invasive exotic) demography in Florida: Light and moisture dependence.

Krankina, Olga. 2005. Scots pine NPP dataset (Siberia) - data from 14 boreal forest stands in easter Russia.

Kruger, Judith. 2006. Large herbivore censuses from Kruger Park.

Lafferty, Kevin. 2006. Age-adjusted prevalence, aggregate neuroticism, the cultural dimensions of uncertainty avoidance and masculinity among nations.

Lafferty, Kevin. 2006. Symmetrical web of Carpenteria salt marsh.

Lauenroth, W. and Adler, P. 2007. Mapped plant community time series, Hays, KS, 1932-1972.

Liebhold, Andrew S.; Gurevitch, Jessica. 1999. Data sets for integrating the statistical modeling of spatial data in ecology.

Loik, Michael E. and Huxman, Travis, E. 2005. PrecipNet RUEmax assessment.

Luo, Yiqi; Zhang, Deqiang; Hui, Dafeng. 2006. Elevated CO2 stimulates net accumulations of carbon and nitrogen in land ecosystems: A meta-analysis database.

Lyons, Kate. Latitudinal extents of New World mammals: Northern and Southern range termini for New World mammals generated using the maps of Patterson et al., 2003.

Lyons, Kate. Mammal assemblages with associated body sizes by species.

McRae, Brad. 2006. Puma genetic data: southwestern USA.

Micheli, Fiorenza. 1999. Plankton responses to manipulations of nutrients and food-web structure data set.

Micheli, Fiorenza. 1999. Time series data of nitrogen concentration, primary productivity, and zooplankton abundance.

Micheli, Fiorenza and Halpern, Ben. 2006. Summary table of marine protected areas.

Miriti, Maria. 2007. Spatial locations and size measurements of Ambrosia dumosa in Joshua Tree National Park.

Moss, Cynthia. 2007. Amboseli elephant database.

Myers, Ransom. 2007. Coho salmon online data from Myers' stock recruitment database.

Ojima, Dennis S. and Mosier, Arvin R. 1999. Trace gas flux data.

Olson, Richard J. and Scurlock, Jonathan. 2005. NPP multi-biome: Grassland, boreal forest, and tropical forest sites, 1939-1996.

Orth, Robert, and Dennison, William. 2007. Global seagrass trajectories database compiled October 2006.

Osenberg, Craig W.; Sarnelle, Orlando; Cooper, Scott D.; Holt, Robert D. 2005. Resolving ecological questions through meta-analysis: Goals, metrics, and models.

Packer, Craig; Mduma, Simon; Coughenour, Michael; and Ritchie, Mark. 2006. Serengeti Ecosystem.

Palmer, Margaret A.; Bernhardt, Emily; Allan, J. David. 2006. The national river restoration science synthesis database at NBII.

Pascarella, John. 2006. Hurricane related demography of Ardisia escallonioides (FL).

Philpott, Stacy. 2007. Ants, birds, and tree diversity: Coffee agroecosystem metaanalysis.

Porter, Warren. 2007. Physiology and landscape.

Prendergast, John. 1999. Global population dynamics database. NERC Centre for Population Biology, Imperial College.

Prendergast, John; Anderson, Robert P.; Bazeley-White, Ellen. 2004. The global population dynamics database.

Prince, Stephen D. 1999. Worldwide Net Primary Production (NPP) database.

Prince, Stephen D.; Haskett, J.; Steininger, Marc; Strand, H.; Wright, R. 2005. NPP cropland: Gridded estimates for the central USA, 1982-1996.

Pyke, Chris. 2006. Vernal pool hydroregime surfaces for the central valley of California.

Russell, Gareth J. 1996. Threatened species data.

Schnitzer, Stefan; Burnham, R; Bongers, F; Chave, J; Clark, D; DeWalt, S; Ewango, C; Fine, P; Foster, R; Gerwing, J; Kenfack, D; Lohmann, L; Martinez, Ramos M; Muller-Landau, H; Parren, M; Parthasarathy, N; Pérez Salicrup, D; Putz, F; Romero, Saltos H; Thomas, D; and Webb, C. 2006. Determining pan-tropical patterns of Liana distributions from regional datasets.

Smith, Felisa A.; Lyons, Kate; Ernest, S. K. Morgan; Jones, Kate; Kaufman, Dawn; Dayan, Tamar; Marquet, Pablo A.; Brown, James H.; Haskell, John P. 2003. Body mass of late quaternary mammals. Ecological Archives. Vol: E084-094.

Smith, Jennifer E. 2006. Coral reef nutrient herbivore database.

Stephens, Patrick. 2006. Molecular sequence data for emydid turtles.

Stromberg, Mark; D'Antonio, Carla; Harrison, Susan; Rice, Kevin. 2005. Grassland survey questions.

Stromberg, Mark; Rice, Kevin; D'Antonio, Carla; Harrison, Susan. 2005. Answers.

Templer, Pamela; Mack, Michelle; Nadelhoffer, Knute. 2005. Fate of nitrogen inputs to terrestrial ecosystems: A meta-analysis of ecosystem scale 15N tracer studies.

Urban, Mark. 2007. Foraging trait variation in Ambystoma maculatum.

Urban, Mark. 2007. Lizards in Baja California.

Vazquez, Diego. 2003. Interaction web database.

Walker, Marilyn D. and Jones, Michael Hunt. 2006. A circumpolar comparison of tundra response to temperature manipulation: A synthesis of international tundra experiment data.

Ward, Jessica. 2006. Marine disease and temperature database.

Waring, Richard; Law, Beverly; Bond, Barbara J. 2005. Nine treatments from six distinct study sites in Oregon transect ecosystem research project, northwestern USA.

Worm, Boris. 2006. Impacts of biodiversity loss on ocean ecosystem services data.

Yan, Norman. 2006. Zooplankton for Middle and Hannah lakes (Sudbury).

Yan, Norman. 2006. Updated zooplankton data for 7/8 Dorset lakes in 1993-96.

Yan, Norman. 2006. Zooplankton for all 8 Dorset lakes.

Yan, Norman. 2006. Chaoborus abundances in the 8 Dorset lakes on one evening.

Yan, Norman. 2006. Bythotrephes abundance in Harp lake.

Yan, Norman. 2006. Chemistry for Plastic and Harp lakes.

Yan, Norman. 2006. Chemistry for the Dorset lakes.

Zheng, Daolan; Prince, Stephen D.; Wright, R. 2005. NPP multi-biome: Gridded estimates for selected regions worldwide, 1989-2001, R1.

Appendix 2 – Figures and tables

Figures and Tables cited in the text of this report.

Figure 1. Proposals submitted and supported.

Number of proposals submitted to and supported by NCEAS each year since its establishment in 1995.



Figure 2. Percent of First Time Visitors by Year.

Percent of NCEAS Working Group participants in each year who have never visited NCEAS before, since the establishment of NCEAS.



Figure 3. Frequency of Visits by Participants

Most visitors to NCEAS visit only one or two times; with more than 1,000 visits to NCEAS each year (a), the network of ecologists who are using NCEAS continues to grow rapidly (b).

a)



Frequency of Working Group Visits per Participant

Number of Visits per Participant

b)



Figure 4. Number of Publications

Number of publications by year reported to NCEAS (by participants) as NCEAS products. A previous survey demonstrated that NCEAS publications were underreported by approximately 60% (i.e., NCEAS was cited in the acknowledgement of papers in Ecology that had not been reported to us). The years 2006 and 2007 are presently underreported because we have not yet issued our request for product reporting for these years. This request may increase 2005 reporting significantly as well.



Number of NCEAS Publications by Year

Figure 5. Gender Balance

Representation by women in each category of activity at NCEAS from 1995 to 2007. Recent figures from the faculty in the Ecology Evolution and Marine Biology department at UCSB and ESA's 2006 WAMIE II report are shown for comparison.



Percent Women Participating in NCEAS Activities

Table 1. Jobs for Former Postdocs

Institutions in which former NCEAS Postdoctoral Associates accepted positions during this reporting period.

City of Santa Barbara East Carolina University* Instituto Argentino de Investigaciones de las Zonas Aridas* Louisiana State University* Old Dominion University* Oregon State University (2)* San Diego State University* Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute* Texas Tech University* Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina* University of British Columbia (3) 3* University of Calgary* University of California, Berkeley* University of California, Davis (3) 1* University of California, Santa Barbara (2) University of Colorado, Boulder* University of Georgia (2) 1* University of Guelph* University of Minnesota, St. Paul* University of New South Wales* University of Oslo* University of Puerto Rico* University of Toronto* University of Wisconsin, Madison* US Environmental Protection Agency USDA Forest Service, PSW, Sierra Nevada Research Center, Davis Utah State University* Washington State University* Washington University (2)* Yale University (2)*

*Career Positions

Table 2. Breadth of Journals

Journals in which NCEAS scientists have published since the establishment of NCEAS. The total number of journals is 202.

LIST OF DISTINCT JOURNALS OF NCEAS PUBLISHED ARTICLES AS OF OCT. 1, 2007

African Journal of Aquatic Science African Journal of Marine Science Agricultural and Forest Meteorology Ambio American Fisheries Society Symposium American Journal of Botany American Midland Naturalist American Naturalist American Scientist American Sociological Review American Zoologist Amphibia Reptilia Animal Behaviour Animal Conservation Annales Zoologici Annals of Forest Science Annals of the Association of American Geographers Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics Annual Review of Environment and Resources Applied and Environmental Microbiology **Aquatic Sciences** Astrobiology Austral Ecology Australian Journal of Botany Australian Zoologist **Bayesian Analysis** Behavioral Ecology Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology **Biodiversity and Conservation Biodiversity Informatics** Biogeochemistry Biogeosciences **Biogeosciences Discussions Biological Conservation Biological Invasions** Biological Journal of the Linnean Society **Biology Letters** BioScience **BioTechniques** Biotropica **Bird Conservation International Bulletin of Marine Science** Bulletin of the Ecological Society of America

Bulletin of the Geological Society of France Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences Canadian Journal of Forest Research Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience **Conservation Biology Conservation Biology in Practice** Conservation Ecology Coral Reefs Deep Sea Research I Deep-Sea Research II **Diversity and Distributions** Ecography **Ecological Applications Ecological Economics** Ecological Entomology **Ecological Modelling Ecological Monographs** Ecological Society of America Bulletin Ecology Ecology and Society Ecology Letters Ecoscience Ecosystems Encyclopedia of Biodiversity **Endangered Species UPDATE** Environment Environmental and Ecological Statistics **Environmental Conservation** Environmental Modelling and Software Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry Epidemiology and Infection European Journal for Wildlife Research Evolution Evolutionary Ecology Evolutionary Ecology Research Fisheries Forest Ecology and Management Forest Science Fractals Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment Functional Ecology Geoderma Geological Society of America Bulletin Geology

Geomorphology **Global Biogeochemical Cycles** Global Change Biology Global Ecology and Biogeography **Global Environmental Research Global Planetary Change** Heredity Human and Ecological Risk Assessment Hydrological Processes **ICCSA** ICES Journal of Marine Science **IEEE Internet Computing** Imprint Integrative and Comparative Biology Integrative Biology: Issues, News and Reviews Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission, Bulletin Interciencia International Journal of Approximate Reasoning International Journal of Wildland Fire Issues in Ecology Issues in Science and Technology Journal of Animal Ecology Journal of Applied Ecology Journal of Applied Meteorology Journal of Biogeography Journal of Ecology Journal of Evolutionary Biology Journal of Fish Biology Journal of Geology Journal of Hydrologic Engineering Journal of Mammalogy Journal of Mathematical Biology Journal of Paleontology Journal of Plankton Research Journal of Socio-economics Journal of the American Statistical Association Journal of the American Water Resources Association Journal of the Geological Society of London Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series C (Applied Statistics) Journal of Theoretical Biology Journal of Tropical Ecology Journal of Vegetation Science Journal of Zoology Landscape and Urban Planning Landscape Ecology Limnology and Oceanography Location Science Mammal Review Marine Biology Marine Ecology Progress Series

Marine Policy Microbes and Infection Molecular Ecology Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution Natural Areas Journal Natural Hazards Review Nature Nature Reviews Microbiology Neues Jahrbuch fur Geologie und Palaontologie Abhandlungen Neues Jahrbuch fur Geologie und Palaontologie, Monatshefte Oceanography Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review Oecologia Oikos Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology Palaeontology PALAIOS Paleobiology Papers in Regional Science Parks Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: **Biological Sciences** Physica D Plant and Soil Plant Disease Plant Ecology Plant, Cell and Environment PLOS Biology **PLOS Medicine** Population Ecology Proceedings for the Royal Society B Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences Progress in Oceanography Quarterly Review of Biology **Quarternary Science Reviews Quaternary Research** Regulation **Remote Sensing Environment** Researches on Population Ecology **Resources and Energy Economics Restoration and Management Notes** Restoration Ecology Science Scientific American Scientist Social Science and Medicine Social Studies of Science Society for Conservation Biology Newsletter Spatial Ecology Systematic Biology The Australian Zoologist

The George Wright Forum The Holocene Theoretical and Applied Genetics Theoretical Population Biology Toxicology and Industrial Health Transactions in GIS Tree Physiology Trends in Ecology and Evolution Vector Borne and Zoonotic Diseases Wetlands Wild Earth Wings Yale School of Forestry and Environmental Studies Bulletin Series Yellowstone Science

Table 3. Home Institutions for Visitors

Home institutions for visitors to NCEAS since its establishment in 1995. The total number of institutions is approximately 1,100.

INTSTITUTE NAME

A.F. Clewell, Incorporated Academia Sinica Academy of Natural Sciences Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, **Biological Research Center** Advanced Conservation Strategies Advancing National Strategies and Enabling Results African Wildlife Foundation AgResearch Agricultural Research Center Agricultural Research Service Agricultural University of Norway Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Alameda County Community Development Agency Alaska Department of Fish and Game Alaska Fisheries Science Center Aldo Leopold Wilderness Research Institute Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research Allegheny Power Company ALTERRA, Green World Research Alverno College Amboseli Elephant Research Project American Cyanamid Company American Institute of Biological Sciences American Land Conservency American Museum of Natural History American Rivers American University Amherst College Andrew W. Mellon Foundation ANH Canberra Animal Disease Research Institute APHL-NCID/CDC Applied Biomathematics Archbold Biological Station Argonne National Laboratory Arizona State University Arnold Arboretum of Harvard University Arthur Rylah Institute for Environmental Research Association for Biodiversity Information Association of State Wetland Managers, Inc. AT&T Labs-Research

ATCC Auburn University, Alabama Audubon Appleton-Whittell Research Ranch Sanctuary Australian Institute of Marine Science Australian Museum Australian National University **Avian Systems** Balboa Bldg Management Ball State University **Barnard College Baruch College Bates College** Ben-Gurion University of the Negev **Bennington College** Bermuda Biological Station for Research **Binghamton University** Biological Resources Division, U.S. Geological Survey

BIOSIS

BIOSIS UK Biotechnology Regulatory Services Organization Birdlife International Bishop Museum Boise State University Boston University Botanic Garden and Botanical Museum Berlin-Dahlem Bowling Green State University Brigham Young University British Trust for Ornithology Brown University Bryn Mawr College Bureau of Fisheries Management and Habitat Protection

Bureau of Land Management Bureau of Reclamation Bureau of Rural Sciences Busgenweg 2

CA Ocean Protection Council Cal State University CALFED Bay Delta Program CALFED Science Program California Academy of Sciences California Coastal Commission

Centre for Ecology and Hydrology Banchory California Coastal Conservancy California Department of Fish and Game Centre for Ecology and Hydrology Bangor California Department of Forestry and Fire ProtectionCentre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture California Department of Water Resources Science California Environmental Protection Agency Centre for Resource and Environmental Studies California Institute of Technology Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) California Ocean Science Trust Centro Agronomico Tropical de Investigacion y California Polytechnic State University Ensenanza California Resources Agency Centro Científico Tropical California State University Centro de Ecologia UNAM California State University, Chico Centro de Investigacion en Matematicas California State University, Fresno Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados California State University, Fullerton Centro de Investigaciones Biologicas del Noroeste California State University, Hayward (CIBNOR) California State University, Long Beach Centro de Investigaciones y Estudios Superiores en California State University, Los Angeles Antropolog¿a Social del Sureste California State University, Monterey Bay Centro de Refer¿ncia em Informa¿¿o Ambiental - CRIA California State University, Northridge Centro di Ecologia Alpina California State University, Sacramento Centro Nacional Patagonico (CENPAT) California State University, San Marcos Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary California State University, Stanislaus Channel Islands National Park California Tahoe Conservancy Charles Darwin Research Station California Trade and Commerce Agency Chiba University CALTRANS Chinese Academy of Forestry Cambridge University Chinese Academy of Sciences Canada Centre for Remote Sensing Chinese Research Academy of Environment Sciences Canadian Forest Service CIBNOR Canadian Wildlife Service CIET/UNESCO Cardiff University City of Santa Barbara Carl Hayden Bee Research Center City University of New York, City College Carleton University Claremont Graduate University Carmabi Marine Biological Station Clark University Carnegie Institution Clemson University Carnegie Mellon University Climate Impacts and Grazing Systems Cary Arboretum **CNMFS** Tiburon Laboratory Case Western Reserve University **Coastal Conservation and Education Foundation** Catalina Island Conservancy **Coastal States Organization** CDC **Coevolution Institute** CEFE - CNRS UPR 9056 Colby College Collaborative Large-scale Engineering Analysis Network Center for Applied Biodiversity Science Center for Applied Biodiversity Science for Environmental Research. College of Charleston College of William and Mary Center for Ecology and Hydrology Center for Limnology Collegium Budapest Center for Natural Lands and Management Colorado State University Center for Sustainable Economy Columbia University Comisi¿n Nacional para el Conocimiento y Uso de la Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Centre de Biologie Alpine Biodiversidad (CONABIO) Centre d'Ecologie Functionnelle et Evolutive, Committee on Health, Education, Labor and Pensions **CEFE-CNRS** Commonwealth Centre d'Etude sur le Polymorphisme des Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Micro-Organismes Organisation

COMPASS Comunidad y Biodiversidad A.C. **Conception Coast Project Congressional Research Office** Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas **Conservation Biology Institute** Conservation Ecology **Conservation International Consortium for Conservation Medicine** Consortium of Universities for the Advancement of Hydrologic Science, Inc. Consultative Biological Diversity Group Contra Costa County Community Development Department Cornell University Corvallis Forestry Sciences Laboratory County of Santa Barbara **CPTEC/INPE** Cranbrook Institute of Science Cranfield University CSIC-Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Cient¿ficasnviroment Australia CSIR CSIRO CSIRO European Laboratory Dagjamningsgatan 1 **Dalhousie University** Dangermond and Associates Dartmouth College Dauphin Island Sea Laboratory Defenders of Wildlife Delft University of Technology Denver Museum of Nature and Science **Denver Water** Denver Zoo at City Park Department of Conservation Department of Agriculture, Chatuchak Department of Conservation Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada **Department of Natural Resources** Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Sciences **Desert Research Institute Discovery Institute DivDat Consulting Division of Coastal Resources Division of Environmental Biology** Don Maruska and Company, Inc. **Dos Pueblos High School Downing College Drexel University** Duke Universitv Earth Economics Earth Systems Institute

East Bay Regional Park District East Carolina University Eastern Cereal and Oilseed Research Centre Ecole Normale Superieure de Lyon **Ecological Research Associates NZ Ecological Society of America** Ecosystem Management Research Institute **Ecuador Conservation Data Center** Edmund Niles Huyck Preserve and Biological Research Station El Colegio de la Frontera Sur (ECOSUR) Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) **Elkhorn Slough Foundation** Elkhorn Slough Reserve **Emory University** Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecu¿ria **Endangered Species Recovery Program Engineering Planning Consultants** ENS labo d'Ecologie Entrix Corporation **Environment and Development Group Environment Canada Environment Protection Authority Environmental Defense Center** Environmental Defense Fund **Environmental Law Institute Environmental Protection Agency Environmental Systems Research Institute** Eurasia Group European Educational Research Association (EERA) **Everglades National Park Evergreen State College** Faculty of Forestry Federal Agency for Nature Conservation Federal Environment Agency **Finnish Environment Institute** FIOCRUZ Oswaldo Cruz Foundation Fisheries and Oceans Canada Fisheries and Wildlife and Environmental Sciences Fisheries Improved for Sustainable Harvest Project Flathead Lake Biological Station Florida Department of Environmental Protection Florida Institute of Oceanography Florida Institute of Technology Florida International University Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary Florida State University Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations

Forest and Landscape, Denmark Forestek/CSIR

Forestry Sciences Laboratory Fogarty International Center, NIH IBW Fort Lewis College Foundations of Success (FOS) **ICLARM** Frankfurt Zoological Society Fraunhofer Institute for Atmospheric Environmental Research Free University Freshwater Institute Friday Harbor Labs Fundacao Andre Tosello Gaylord and Dorothy Donnelley Foundation GCTE Core Project Office Indiana University **GEMI/UMR CNRS-IRD 2724** GenBank Gene Conservation Laboratory Geofolio Geologisk Museum Georgia Institute of Technology Georgia State University Gesellschaft f¿r Organisation und Entscheidung m.b.Institute for Environment Studies (GOE) **Global Reef Project** Goethe Univserity of Frankfurt Goleta Valley Middle School Goleta Valley Voice Gothenburg University Institute Horsholm Government of Western Australia Institute of Botany Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority **Grinnell** College Gulf of Maine Research Institute H.T. Harvey and Associates Ecological Consultants Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research Institute of Zoology Hampshire College Hancock Biological Station ¿ridas Harvard Medical School Harvard University Harvard University Heal the Bav Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research - UFZ Instituto Mediterraneo de Estudios Avanzados Heriot Watt University High Desert Ecological Research Institute Hokkaido Fish Hatchery Hokkaido University Hood College Hope College Humboldt State University Humboldt-University Berlin Hunter College, CUNY Inc.

Hutton Foundation Ichauway Incorporated Idaho Department of Fish and Game Idaho State University Illinois Natural History Survey Illinois State Water Survey Imperial College, London Imperial College, Silwood Park Indian Institute of Science Indiana State University Indigo Systems Corporation Information International Associates, Inc. Institut fuer Landnutzungssysteme und Landschaftftsoekologie Institut fur Palaeontologie Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA) Institute for Coastal Research Institute for Forestry and Nature Institute for Horticultural Development Institute for International Studies Institute for Social Research Institute for Wildlife Studies Institute of Ecosystem Studies Institute of Marine Sciences Institute of Pacific Island Forestery Institute of Plant Sciences Institute of Soil Science Institute of Terrestrial Ecology Institute of Tropical Forestry, Puerto Rico Instituto Argentino de Investigaciones de las Zonas Instituto de Biologia, UNAM Instituto de Ecologia, UNAM Instituto de Matematica - UFRJ Instituto de Zoologia Tropical Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Amazonas Instituto Tecnol¿gico de Cd. Victoria Instituto Tecnologico de Costa Rica Instituto Trentino Di Cultura Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas (IVIC) InterAmerican Tropical Tuna Commission Intercultural Center for the Study of Deserts and Oceans,
Inter-Fluve Inc. International Council for Science (ICSU) International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis International Institute for Strategic Studies International Livestock Research Institute International Pacific Halibut Commission International Paper International Paper Company International School of Advanced Studies (ISAS) Iowa State University **IRIS** Consortium Irkutsk State University ISERA Group, Inc. Island Press Israel Oceanographic and Limnological Research Istituto di Ecologia Applicata ITESM-Campus Monterrey **IUCN IUCN/Species Survival Commission** James Cook University James San Jacinto Mountains Reserve Jatun Sacha US Jawaharlal Nehru University Johns Hopkins University Joseph W. Jones Ecological Research Center Jozef Stefan Institute K and AES. Inc. Kansas Biological Survey Kansas State University Katholieke Universiteit Leuven Keck Geology Consortium Kellogg Biological Station Kenya Wildlife Service Kern River Research Center **KEYT** Television Station Kiel University King's College London Kirstenbosch Research Centre Klamath Center for Conservation Research Komarov Botanical Institute Kyoto University Kyushu University L.C. Lee and Associates. Inc. La Trobe University Laboratoire Ecosystemes et Changements Environmentaux Landcare Research Landfills and Inc. Laval University Lawrence J. MacDonnell, P.C. LIEY

Life Works Lincoln Park Zoo Lincoln University London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine Los Alamos National Laboratory Lotusland Foundation Louisiana State University LTER Ludwig-Maximilians-Universitat Lund University Lynchburg College Maastricht University Macalester College Macaulay Land Use Research Institute Macquarie University Manaaki Whenua Landcare Research Marine Biological Laboratory Marine Conservation Biology Institute Marine Conservation Biology Institute Marine Mammal Center Maryland Department of Natural Resources Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries Massachusetts Environmental Trust Massachusetts Institute of Technology Massey University Matopos Research Station Max-Planck Institute for Biogeochemisty Max-Planck Institute for Meteorology McGill University McMaster University Medical College of Wisconsin Memorial University of Newfoundland Merlewood Research Station Merrimack Consultants, LLC Met Office Miami University Michigan Department of Natural Resources Michigan State University Michigan Technological University Middlebury College Milone and MacBroom Ministry of Resources and Development Minnesota Department of National Resources **Mission Research Corporation** Missouri Botanical Garden Missouri State University Monash University Monash University Monsanto Company Montana State University Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute

Montwood High School Morro Bay National Estuary Program Moss Landing Marine Laboratory Motu Economic and Public Policy Research Trust Mount Holyoke College Mount Hood National Forest Mountain Studies Institute MPI f¿r Biogeochemie Murray State University Mus¿um National d'Histoire Naturelle Napier University NASA Ames Research Center National Aeronautics and Space Administration National Association of Communication Systems Engineers (NACSE) National Audubon Society National Botanical Institute, Kirstenbosch National Botanical Services National Cancer Institute National Center for Atmospheric Research National Center for Environmental **Decision-Making Research** National Center for Supercomputing Applications National Climatic Data Center National Council for Air and Stream Improvement, Inc. National Environmental Research Institute National Evolutionary Synthesis Center National Fish and Wildlife Foundation National Institute for Public Health and the Environment National Institute of Health National Institute of Oceanography (NIO) National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research National Marine Fisheries Service National Marine Mammal Laboratory National Ocean Service National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Park Foundation National Park Service National Public Radio National Research Council National Resources Conservation Service National Science Foundation National Tsing Hua University National University of Mexico National University of Singapore National Water Research Institute National Wetlands Research Center National Wildlife Federation

National Zoological Park Natural Environment Research Council Natural History Museum Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County Natural Resources Canada Natural Resources Defense Council Natural Resources Research Institute NatureServe Navajo Nation Naval Postgraduate School Nebraska Wesleyan University Nelson Resources Consulting, Incorporated NERC Centre for Ecology and Hydrology NERC Centre for Population Biology NERC Institute of Terrestrial Ecology Netherlands Institute of Ecology Nevada Wilderness Project New Jersey Marine Sciences Consortium New Mexico Department of Game and Fish New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology New Mexico State University New South Wales National Parks and Wildlife Service New York Botanical Garden New York College of Osteopathic Medicine New York Institute of Technology New York State Department of Environmental Conservation New Zealand Pastoral Agricultural Research Institute NFSNC Supervisor's Office Ngorongoro Conservation Authority

NOAA Fisheries Laboratory NOAA National Marine Fisheries Center NOAA, Center for MPA Science NOAA, National Marine Fisheries Service NOAA, National Marine Sanctuary Program NOAA/Alaska Fisheries Science Center North Carolina Ecosystem Enhancement Program North Carolina Natural Heritage Program North Carolina State University Northeastern University Northern Arizona University Northern Forestry Center Northern Global Change Program Northern Territory University Northwest Fisheries Science Center Northwest Indian College Northwestern University Norwegian Institute for Water Research Nossaman Gunther Knox & Elliott LLP NTU, School of Forestry and Resource Conservation Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Ocean Studies Board, HA-470 Ocean Visions Oceana Odion Consulting Office of Governor Ohio State University Ohio University **Okayama University Oklahoma State University** Old Dominion University **Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources** Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Energy Open University **OPeNDAP** Oracle Industries, Europe, Middle-East and Africa **Oregon Health and Sciences University** Oregon Natural Heritage Program **Oregon State University** Organización de Palangeros Guardeses (ORPAGU Risoe National Laboratory **Organization for Tropical Studies** Orstom Orta Dogu Teknik Universitesi Pacific Ecoinformatics and Computational Ecology Lab Pacific Fisheries Environmental Laboratory Pacific Gas and Electric Company Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Pacific Northwest Research Station Pacifica Graduate Institute Padova Universitv Palau Conservation Society Pangaea Environmental, LLC Pearland High School Pennsylvania State University Philip Williams And Associates, Ltd. Pima County Department of Transportation and Floosage Publications, Incorporated **Control District Pima County Development Services** Pima County Flood Control District **Plymouth Marine Laboratory** Point Reyes Bird Observatory Polish Academy of Sciences Pondicherry University Pontificia Universidad Catolica de Chile Pontificia Universidad Catolica Del Ecuador Portland State University Potomac Electric Power Company Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research Premier Tech Biotechnologies Prescott College **Princeton University**

Program for the Advancement of **Geoscience Education** Puente Hills Landfill Native Habitat Preservation Authority Purdue University Pure Fishing Queen's University Radford University Range and Forage Institute **RECON Environmental, Inc.** Reef Check California **Reef Environmental Education Foundation** (REEF) Resources for the Future Resources Law Group, LLP **Resources Legacy Fund** Rhode Island Natural History Survey **Rice University** RMIT University **Rockefeller University** Rocky Mountain Biological Laboratory **Rocky Mountain Experiment Station Rocky Mountain Research Station** Roger Williams University **Romberg Tiburon Center Rothamsted Experimental Station Rothamsted Research** Royal Botanic Gardens Sydney Royal Danish School of Pharmacy Royal Holloway, University of London Royal Swedish Academy of Science Royal Veterinary and Agricultural University **Russian Academy of Sciences** Rutgers, State University of New Jersey Saint Louis University Saint Louis Zoo Sakhalin Research Institute of Fisheries and Oceanography SalvaNATURA San Diego Natural History Museum San Diego State University San Diego Supercomputer Center San Francisco Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve San Francisco Estuary Institute San Francisco State University San Gabriel and Lower Los Angeles Rivers and Mountains Conservancy San Marco High School

Santa Barbara Botanic Garden

Santa Barbara County Planning and Development Santa Barbara Independent Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History Santa Barbara News-Press Santa Barbara Sea Center Santa Barbara Zoological Gardens Santa Clara University Santa Fe Institute Savannah River Ecology Laboratory Save the Elephants Science International Science Magazine Scientific American Scripps Institution of Oceanography Seamair Farms SeaWeb SeaWeb/COMPASS Secretary for Environmental Protection Seoul National University Sheffield Center for Arctic Ecology Shingwedzi Camp Siemon, Larsen & Marsh Simon Fraser University Simpson Investment Company Sir Wilfred Grenfell College, Memorial University of Newfoundland Smithsonian Environmental Research Center Smithsonian Institution Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute Social Science Research Council Sokoine University of Agriculture Solimar Research Group Sonoma State University South African National Biodiversity Institute South African National Parks South Dakota State University South Florida Management District South Florida Water Management Southampton Oceanography Center Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center Southeast Fisheries Science Center Southeastern Louisiana University Southern Illinois University Southern Nevada Water Authority Southern Oregon University Southwest Fisheries Science Center Southwest Wetlands Interpretive Association **Spitfire Strategies** St. Francis Xavier University Stanford University State Hydrological Institute

State of Nevada State of New Mexico State University of New York (SUNY) State University of New York, ESF Stockholm University Stony Brook University, State University of New York Stratacon Incorporated Stratus Consulting Incorporated SUNY Binghamton Sustainable Ecosystems Institute Swedish Environmental Research Institute Swedish Museum of Natural History Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences Swiss Federal Institute for Environmental Science and Technology (ETH) Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Landscape and Snow Research Syracuse University Taiwan Forestry Research Institute **Tanzania National Parks** Tanzania Wildlife Research Institute Technion - Israel Institute of Technology Tel Aviv University Tetra Tech EM Inc. (EMI) Texas A and M University **Texas Melittological Institute Texas Tech University** The American Zoo and Aquarium Association The Antaeus Group The Boeing Company The David and Lucile Packard Foundation The Ecosystems Center The Field Museum The Getty Museum The Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation The Horniman Museum The Hybrid Vigor Insitute The Irvine Company The John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation The Nature Conservancy The Ocean Channel, Inc. The Ocean Conservancy The Pacific Institute The Research Group The Southern Company The Urban Wildlands Group The Wetlands Initiative The Wilderness Society The Wildfowl and Wetlands Trust **Thomas Reid Associates** Tijuana River National Estuarine Research Reserve

TMC Communities TOVA Applied Science and Technology Trent University Trinity University Tropical Agricultural Centre for Research and Higher Université de Poitiers Education (CATIE) **Tropical Science Center Trout Lake Station Trout Unlimited** TRW Space and Electronics Group Tufts - New England Medical Center u.Waldernarung UC Cooperative Extension UCLA Institute of the Environment Umea University **UNAM Campus Morelia** UNESCO UNICAMP United Nations Educational. Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) United States Department of Agriculture United States Environmental Protection Agency United States Geological Survey Universidad Autonoma Nacional de Mexico Universidad de Buenos Aires Universidad de Chile Universidad de Concepci¿n Universidad del Mar Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico Universidad Nacional de Colombia Universidad Nacional de Cordoba - CONICET Universidad Nacional del Comahue Universidad National de Costa Rica Universidad Simon Bolivar Universidade de Bras¿lia Universidade de S¿o Paulo Universidade Estadual do Norte Fluminense Universidade Federal Fluminense Universit¿ de Bourgogne Universit¿ de Montpellier II Universit¿ de Poitiers Universit¿ Paul Sabatier Universit¿ Pierre et Marie Curie Universit¿t Potsdam Universita degli Studi di Parma Universita' di Pisa Universitaet am Mainz Universitat Basel Universitat de Barcelona Universitat de les Illes Balears Universitat Politecnica de Catalunya Universitat Wurzburg, Germany

Universite Claude Bernard Universite de Montpellier II Universite de Montreal Universite de Paris Universite de Rennes I Universite de Sherbrooke Universite du Quebec, Montreal Universite Lyon I **Universite Paris 6** Universities Space Research Association University at Albany, State University of New York University at Buffalo, State University of New York University College Cork University College London University College, University of New South Wales University Corporation for Atmospheric Research University J. Fourier, Grenoble I University Libre de Bruxelles University of Aberdeen University of Adelaide University of Adelaide, Roseworthy University of Adelaide, Waite Campus University of Akron University of Alabama University of Alaska University of Alaska Southeast University of Alaska, Anchorage University of Alaska, Fairbanks University of Alberta University of Amsterdam University of Arizona University of Arkansas University of Auckland University of Barcelona University of Basel University of Bath University of Bayreuth University of Bergen University of Bern University of Birmingham University of Bras¿lia University of Bristol University of British Columbia University of Buenos Aires University of Calgary University of California Sea Grant Extension Program University of California, Berkeley University of California, Davis University of California, Davis Extension University of California, Irvine University of California, Los Angeles

University of California, Merced University of California, Office of the President University of California, Riverside University of California, San Diego University of California, San Francisco University of California, Santa Barbara University of California, Santa Cruz University of Cambridge University of Canterbury University of Cape Town University of Central Florida University of Central Oklahoma University of Chicago University of Chicago Press University of Cincinnati University of Cologne University of Colorado University of Colorado Museum University of Colorado, Boulder University of Connecticut University of Copenhagen University of Dar-es-Salaam University of Delaware University of Dundee University of Edinburgh University of Exeter, Cornwall University of Florida University of Florida University of Fort Hare University of G¿ttingen University of Georgia University of Goteborg University of Gothenburg University of Groningen University of Guelph University of Hawaii University of Hawaii, Manoa University of Helsinki University of Houston University of Hull University of Idaho University of Illinois, Chicago University of Illinois, Urbana Champaign University of Iowa University of Kansas, Lawrence University of Kentucky University of Lausanne University of Leeds University of Leicester University of Leiden University of Leipzig

University of Liverpool University of London University of Louisiana, Lafayette University of Maine University of Maryland Center for **Environmental Science** University of Maryland School of Medicine University of Maryland, Baltimore University of Massachusetts, Amherst University of Melbourne University of Miami University of Michigan, Ann Arbor University of Minnesota, St. Paul University of Minnesota, Twin Cities University of Mississippi University of Missouri, Columbia University of Missouri, St. Louis University of Montana University of Munich University of Natal University of Nebraska University of Nebraska, Lincoln University of Nebraska, Omaha University of Nevada, Las Vegas University of Nevada, Reno University of New Brunswick, Saint John University of New England University of New Hampshire University of New Mexico University of New Orleans University of New South Wales University of New York University of Newcastle University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill University of North Texas University of Northern Colorado University of Notre Dame University of Nottingham University of Oklahoma University of Oregon University of Oslo University of Otago University of Ottawa University of Oulu University of Oxford University of Pennsylvania University of Pisa, Italy University of Pittsburgh University of Port Elizabeth University of Professional Education Larenstein University of Puerto Rico University of Queensland

University of Reading University of Regina University of Rhode Island University of Rhode Island, Narragansett University of Rochester University of Rome University of San Francisco University of Sao Paulo University of Saskatchewan University of Sheffield University of South Alabama University of South Carolina, Aiken University of South Florida University of Southampton University of Southern Alabama University of Southern California University of Southwest Louisiana University of St. Andrews University of Stirling University of Sussex University of Sydney University of Tampere University of Tennessee University of Tennessee, Knoxville University of Texas University of Texas at Austin University of Texas, Arlington University of Texas, Austin University of the Philippines in the Visayas University of the West Indies University of the Witwatersrand University of Tokyo University of Toledo University of Toronto University of Tromso University of Tulsa University of Turku University of Utah University of Vermont University of Victoria University of Virginia University of Wales University of Washington University of Waterloo University of Western Australia University of Windsor University of Wisconsin, Eau Claire University of Wisconsin, Madison University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee University of Wisconsin, Stevens Point University of Wyoming University of York

University of Zurich UPMC Uppsala University **Urban Streams Restoration Program** US Army Cold Regions Research and **Engineering Laboratory** US Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory US Army Corps of Engineers US Army Engineer Research and Development Center **US Bureau of Reclamation US** Department of Agriculture US Department of Agriculture **US** Department of Agriculture US Department of Agriculture US Department of Agriculture **US** Department of Agriculture US Department of Energy US Department of the Interior **US Environmental Protection Agency** US Environmental Protection Agency **US Environmental Protection Agency** US Environmental Protection Agency US Fish and Wildlife Service **US Forest Service** US Geological Survey **US National Biological Service US National Park Service USDA Forest Service** USDA, APHIS USDA, APHIS, PPQ **USDA-ARS** USGS Patuxent Wildlife Research Center Utah State University Utah Water Research Laboratory Utrecht University Vanderbilt University Virginia Division of Natural Heritage Virginia Institute of Marine Science Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University Volcani Center Vriie Universiteit Vulcan, Inc. W.K. Kellogg Biological Station Wageningen Agricultural University Wageningen University Wake Forest University Wallis Foundation Washington and Lee University Washington Department of Natural Resources Washington State University

Washington State University, Vancouver WIRED NextFest Washington University Wisconsin Department of National Resources Watershed Environmental Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution Wellesley College Woods Hole Research Center West Virginia Geology and Economic Survey World Conservation Monitoring Center West Virginia Natural Heritage Program World Federation for Culture Collections West Virginia University (WFCC-MIRCEN) Western Australian Herbarium World Resources Institute Western Washington University World Trade Center Wetlands International World Wildlife Fund White House Office of Science and Technology PolicWyoming State Engineers Office Wilburforce Foundation Yale University Wild Salmon Center Yellowstone National Park Wildlife Conservation Society York University Zentralinstitut der Humboldt-Universitaet zu Berlin Wildlife Conservation Society Canada Wilfrid Laurier University Zentralstelle fur Agrardokumentation (ZADI) Williams College Zoological Society of London Winrock International

Table 4. Scientific Societies to which NCEAS participants belong

NCEAS participants self-report the scientific societies to which they belong.

Academy of Management Academy of Royal Sciences Acoustical Society of America African Mountains Association African Studies Association Alaska Anthropological Association American Academy of Arts and Sciences American Academy of Microbiology American Academy of Underwater Sciences American Agricultural Economics Association American Alpine Club American Anthropological Association American Association for the Advancement of Science American Association for the History of Medicine American Association of Advanced Sciences American Association of Environmental Engineers American Association of Geographers American Association of Geologists American Association of State Climatologists American Avalanche Association American Avalanche Association American Botanical Society American Chemical Society American Congress on Surveying and Mapping American Economic Association American Ethnohistory Society American Evaluation Association American Fisheries Society American Genetic Association American Geophysical Union American Institute of Biological Sciences American Institute of Fishery Research **Biologists** American Institute of Physics American Malacological Society American Mathematical Society

American Meteorological Society American Microscopical Society American Naturalist Society American Ornithologists' Union American Philosophical Association American Physiological Society American Phytopathological Society American Planning Association American Political Science Association American Psychological Association American Public Health Association American Quaternary Association American Society for Engineering Education American Society for Microbiology American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing American Society for The Study of Evolution American Society for Virology American Society of Agricultrual and Biological Engineers American Society of Agricultural Engineering American Society of Agronomy American Society of Botanists American Society of Civil Engineers American Society of Human Genetics American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists American Society of International Law American Society of Limnology and Oceanography American Society of Mammalologists American Society of Naturalists American Society of Nephrology American Society of Parasiologists American Society of Plant Taxonomists American Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene American Sociological Association American Statistical Association American Water Resources Association American Women in Science

American Zoo and Aquarium Association Amnesty International Animal Behavior Society Antelope Specialist Group Argentine Ecological Society Argentine Society of Botany Arizona Riparian Council Asociación Cultural Universitaria Palentina Asociacion Espanolade Ecologia Terrestre Association of Marine Laboratories of the Caribbean Association for Computing Machinery Association for Evolutionary Economics Association for International Agriculture and Rural Development Association for Mathematics Education of South Africa Association for The Advancement of Artificial Intelligence Association for The Study of Animal Behavior Association for Theoretical Biology Association for Tropical Biology and Conservation Association for Women in Science Association of American Physicians Association of Environmental and Resource Economists Association of Environmental Engineers Association of North American Geographers Association of Psychological Science Association of Southeastern Biologists Association of Teachers of Technical Writing Audobon Society Australasian Society for Computers in Learning in Tertiary Education Australian Academy of Science Australian Academy of Technological Sciences and Engineering Australian and New Zealand Industrial and **Applied Mathematics** Australian Association of Logic Australian Association of Philosophy Australian Conservation Foundation

Australian Coral Reef Society Australian Council for Computers in Education Australian Psychological Society Australian Rangeland Society Australian Society for Fish Biology Australian Society for Limnology Australian Society for the Study of Animal Behaviour Australian Society of Herpetologists Australian Systematic Botany Society Australina Evolutionary Society Aves Argentinas/Asociación Ornitológica del Plata Bernoulli Society **Biogeography Society Birds** Australia Bodega marine Sciences Association **Botanical Society of America British Biological Society British Computer Society British Deer Society British Ecological Society** British Micropalaeontological Society British Mycological Society British Ornithologists' Club British Ornithologists' Union British Society for Parasitology British Society of Soil Science Califonia Botanical Society California Abalone Association California Botanical Society California Estuarine Research Society California Fisheris Coalition California Forest Soils Council California Invasive Plant Council California National Association of Environmental Professionals California Native Grasslands Association California Native Plant Society Canadian Biosphere Reserve Association Canadian Institute of Advanced Research **Canadian Rivers Institute** Canadian Science Writers' Association Canadian Society for Ecology and Evolution

Canadian Society of Zoologists Canidian Geophysical Union Center for Applied Biodiversity Science Center for Tropical Forest Science Chilean Ecological Society China Association of Environmental Law China National Committee of International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme **Coastal Society** Colegio de Agronomos de Costa Rica Community of Science Conchologists of America Cooper Ornithological Society Corallus û Soc. Brasileira para Estudos de Recifes de Coral Crustacean Society Cushman Foundation for Foraminiferal Research D.C. Bar Association **Decision Analysis Soceity Desert Fishes Council** Dragonfly Society of America East Africa Natural History Society East African Wildlife Society East-West Center Ecological Society of America Ecological Society of Australia Ecological Society of Austria Ecological Society of Chile (Sociedad de Ecologia de Chile) Ecological Society of China Ecological Society of Germany Ecological Society of Switzerland Entomological Society of America Environmental and Engineering Geophysical Society Environmental Long-Term Observatories of Southern Africa **Estuarine Research Federation** European Geophysical Union European Society for Ecological Economist European Society for Evolutionary Biology European Society for Mathematical and Theoretical Biology European Society of Nematologists

Fauna and Flora International Federal European Microbial Society Federation of European Microbiological **Societies Finish Scientific Societies** Fisheries Society of the British Isles Florida Academy of Sciences Florida Exotic Pest Plant Council Florida Native Plant Society French Geological Society French Palaeontological Association Freshwater Mollusk Conservation Society Fundacion para el Desarrollo Ecologico Nacional y de la Amazonia Gamma Sigma Delta (Agricultral Honor Society) Genetics Society of America Genetics Society of Australia Geological Society of America George Wright Society German Society of Plant Nutrition German Soil Science Society German Zoological Society Global Health Council Helmintholgical Society Herpetologists' League Herptological Society History of Science Society Human Behavior and Evolution Society Human Biology Council **IEEE Computer Society** Indian Botanical Society Indian Sciene Congress Association **INFORMS** Institute of Civil Engineers Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Institute of Foresters of Australia Institute of Mathematical Statistics Institute of Medicine Integrated Bar of the Philippines International Association for Ecology International Association for Great Lakes Research International Association for Hydraulic Engineering and Research

International Association for Hydrological Sciences International Association for Landscape Ecology International Association for Plant Taxonomy International Association for Society and Resource Management International Association for the Study of Common Property International Association for Vegetation Science International Association of Meiobenthologists International Association of Paleontology International Association of Radiolarian Palentologists International Association of Sedimentologists International Association of Theoretical and Applied Limnology International Association of Vegetation Science International Association of Wildland Fire International Association of Wood Anatomists International Biometric Society International Cannabinoid Research Society International Coral Reef Society International Council for the Exploration of the Sea International Environmetrics Society International Globec SSC International Humic Substance Society International Organization for Biological Control International Paleontological Association International Paleontological Union International Palm Society International Phycological Society International Society for Bayesian Analysis International Society for Artificial Intelligence in Education International Society for Behavioural Ecology International Society for Conservation Biology International Society for Diatom Research International Society for Ecological Economics International Society for Ecological Modelling International Society for Ecosystem Health International Society for History, Philosophy, and Social Studies of Biology International Society for Landscape Ecology

International Society for Microbial Ecology International Society for Reef Studies International Society for the Study of Evolution International Society for the Study of Harmful Algae International Society for Tropical Forestry International Society of Biogeography International Society of Chemical Ecology International Society of Environmental Medicine International Society of Hymenopteristis International Society of Limnology International Society of Microbial Ecology International Society of Soil Science International Society of Theoretical and Applied Limnology International Society of Tropical Ecology International Society of Tropical Foresters International Statistical Institute International Studies Association International Symposium on Microbial Ecology International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resource / World Commission on Protected Areas International Union for the Scientific Study in Population International Union for the Study of Social Insects International Union of Soil Sciences International Water Academy Italian Society of Ecology Italian Society of Ecopathology Japanese Geomorphological Union Japanese Society of Fisheries Science Latin American Studies Association Lepidopterists' Society Linnean Society LTER Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom Marine Interests Group of San Luis Obispo County Mesoamerican Society for Biology and Conservation Mexican Society of Botany Mexican Society of Mammalogists Mexican Society of Phytogenic Resources Micropalaeontological Society

Modelling and Simulation Society of Australia and New Zealand Modern Language Associaton Mycological Society of America National Academy of Sciences National Association of Biology Teachers National Association of Geoscience Teachers National Association of Science Writers National Biodiversity Council (Australia) National Geographic Society National Ground Water Association National Institute of Ecology National Science Teachers Association National Shellfisheries Association National Speleological Society National Water Resources Association National Wildlife Rehabilitators Association Natonal Association of Geoscience Teachers Natural Areas Association Natural Resource Modelling Association Nature Conservation Society of South Australia Netherlands-Flemish Ecological Society New World Ecoloy and Agriculture Group New York Academy of Sciences New Zealand Association of Economists New Zealand Ecological Society New Zealand Marine Sciences Society New Zealand Statistical Association New Zeland Association of Economists New Zeland Ecological Society New Zeland Freshwater Sciences Society Noorwegian Academy of Science and Letters Nordic Benthological Society Nordic Ecological Society North American Benthological Society North American Benthological Society North American Butterfly Association North American Lake Management Society North American Management Society Northeastern Ecosystem Regional Cooperative Oikos Organization for Tropical Studies

Organization of Biological Field Stations Organization of Tropical American Nematologists Orion Pacific Coast Shellfish Growers Association Pacific Estuarine Research Society Pacific Seabird Group Palaeontological Association Paleontological Research Institution Paleontological Society Pennsylvania Academy of Sciences Phi Beta Kappa Phi Kappa Phi Philosophy of Science Association Phycological Society of America Plant Society of China Population Association of America Primate Society of Great Britian Psychologists for the Ethical Treatment of Animals **Remote Sensing Society** Renaissance Society of America **Resilience** Network **Resource Modeling Association** Rhode Island Natural History Survey Royal Anthropological Institute Royal Danish Academy of Sciences and Letters Royal Entomological Society Royal Physiographic Society Royal Society of Canada Royal Society of Chemistry Royal Society of London Royal Society of New Zealand Royal Society of Sciences in Uppsala Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene **Royal Statistical Society** Royal Sweedish Academy of Agriculture and Forestry Sailors for Seas Scandinavian Society of Plant Physiology Scientific Exploration Society Scientific Research Society of North America Sigma Delta Epsilon Sigma Xi, The Scientific Research Society

Singapore Institute of Biology Sociedad Botanica de Mexico Sociedade Brasileira de Ornitologia Society for Applied Anthropology Society for Behavioural Ecology Society for Comparative and Integrative Biology Society for Conservation Biology Society for Conservation GIS Society for Ecological Restoration Society for Engineering in Agriculture Society for Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry Society for Human Ecology Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics Society for Integrative and Comparative Biology Society for Judgement and Decision Making Society for Literature and Science Society for Marine Mammalogy Society for Mathematical Biology Society for Molecular Biology and Evolution Society for Natural Resource Modeling Society for Population Ecology Society for Range Management Society For Research in Synthesis and Methodology Society for Restoration Ecology Society for Risk Analysis Society for Scientific Exploration Society for Sedimentation Geology Society for Social Studies of Science Society for the Advancement of Chicanos and Native Americans in Science Society for the Conservation and Study of Caribbean Birds Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles Society For The Study of Evolution Society For The Study of Evolution Society for the Study of Mammalian Evolution Society for Vector Ecology Society for Vertebrate Paleontology Society of American Foresters Society of American Naturalists

Society of Applied & Theoretical Limnology Society of Australian Biologists Society of Australian Biologists Society of Canadian Limnologists Society of Ecological Restoration Society of Mathematical Biology Society of Molecular Biology and Evolution Society of Nematologists Society of Systematic Biologists Society of Vertebrate Paleontology Society of Wetland Scientists Soil and Water Conservation Society Soil Science Society of America Soil Science Society of China Soil Water Conservation Society South African Association of Botanists Southern Appalachian Botanical Society Southern California Academy of Sciences Southwestern Association of Naturalists Southwestern Entomological Society Statistical Society of Canada Surfrider Foundation Swedish Ecological Society Swedish Society of Soil Science Tanzania Association for Forestry Tanzania Wildlife Conservation Society Texas Rivers and Reservoirs Management Society Texas Society of Mammalogists The Dragonfly Society of The Americas The Explores Club The Mammal Society The Nature Conervancy The Oceanography Society The Paleontological Association The Paleontological Society The Renaissance Society of America The Society for Marine Mammalogy The Society for Molecular Biology and Evolution The Soil Ecology Society The Wildlife Society **Torrey Botanical Society Tropical Biology Association Tropical Science Center**

U.S. Committee for the Israel Environment Union of Concerned Scientists United Nations Educational, Scientific and **Cultural Organization** United States Permafrost Association United States Regional Association of the International Association for Landscape Ecology United States Society for Ecological Economics Utah Academy of Sciences Arts and Letters Washington Ornothological Society Western Agricultural Economics Association Western Society of Malacologists Western Society of Naturalists Wetland Society Wildlife Conservation Society of Tanzania

Wildlife Conservation Society of the Philippines Wildlife Disease Association (WDA) Wildlife Society Will Henning Society Wilson Ornithological Society Wisconsin Arborist Association Women in Science and Engineering

World Association of Copepodologists World Seagrass Association World Sturgeon Conservation Society World Wildlife Fund Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation Xi Sigma Pi Zoological Society of London

Table 5. International Participation since 1995.

Country of residence for participants at NCEAS since the establishment of the Center in 1995.

Geographic Representation by Participants by Country, 1995-2007

COUNTRY	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
مراجع مر	10 0	 วว	10	0.02
ARGENIINA	10 0.	23	104	2.40
AUSTRALIA	2 0	17	104	2.40
AUSIRIA	2 0.	05	100	2.45
BARBADOS	1 0.	02	107	2.4/
BELGIUM	3 0.	07	110	2.54
BERMUDA	1 0.	02	111	2.56
BOLIVIA	2 0.	05	113	2.61
BRAZIL	15 0.	35	128	2.96
BRITISH VIRGIN ISLANDS	1 0.	02	129	2.98
CANADA	.58 3.	65	287	6.63
CHILE	8 0.	18	295	6.81
CHINA	14 0.	32	309	7.14
COLOMBIA	2 0.	04	311	7.18
COSTA RICA	11 0.	25	322	7.44
CZECH REPUBLIC	1 0.	02	323	7.46
DENMARK	11 0.	25	334	7.71
ECUADOR	3 0.	07	337	7.78
EL SALVADOR	1 0.	02	338	7.81
FIJI	2 0.	04	340	7.85
FINLAND	13 0.	30	353	8.15
FRANCE	36 0.	83	389	8.98
GERMANY	47 1.	09	436	10.07
HINGARY	1 0	02	437	10 09
τηστα	4 0	09	441	10 18
INDIA	- 0.	14	447	10.32
TREI AND	2 0.	07	450	10.32
TCDAFI	10 0.	22	450	10.59
ISRAEL	10 0.	23	400	10.62
LIALY	10 0.	23	470	10.85
JAPAN	9 0.	21	4/9	11.06
KENYA	8 0.	18	487	11.25
MEXICO	28 0.	65	515	11.89
NETHERLANDS	39 0.	90	554	12.79
NETHERLANDS ANTILLES	1 0.	02	555	12.82
NEW ZEALAND	16 0.	37	571	13.19
NICARAGUA	1 0.	02	572	13.21
NORWAY	8 0.	18	580	13.39
PANAMA	2 0.	05	582	13.44
PHILIPPINES	5 0.	12	587	13.56
POLAND	2 0.	05	589	13.60
PALAU	3 0.	07	592	13.67
RUSSIA	12 0.	28	604	13.95
SCOTLAND	9 0.	21	613	14.16
SINGAPORE	1 0.	02	614	14.18
SLOVENIA	1 0.	02	615	14.20
SOUTH AFRICA	28 0.	65	643	14.85
SOUTH KOREA	1 0.	02	644	14.87
SPAIN	9 0.	21	653	15.08
SWEDEN	30 0	69	683	15 77
SWITZERLAND	14 0	32	697	16 10
TATWAN	5 0	12	702	16 21
TANZANIA	7 0	16	709	16 37
	1 0.	10	709	16 40
	1 0.	02	711	16 42
INTER KINCOM		04	/ 1 1	10.20
UNITED KINGDOM	20 Z.	20 50	039	19.30
	±0/ XU.	55	4320	99.91 99.91
V LINE 2 ULLA	3 U.	07	4329	39.90
2 TMBABME	т O.	∪∠	4330	100.00

TOTAL PARTICIPANTS ALL COUNTRIES: 4330 TOTAL USA: 3487 PERCENT FOREIGN: 19.45%

Table 6. International Participation 2001-2007.

Country of residence for participants at NCEAS for the reporting period 2001 - 2007.

COUNTRY Frequency Percent Frequency Percent ARCENTINA 6 0.23 6 0.23 AUSTRALIA 2 0.08 74 2.80 BARBADOS 1 0.04 75 2.92 BOLIVIA 2 0.08 77 2.92 BOLIVIA 2 0.08 77 2.92 BRAZIL 11 0.42 90 3.41 CANNDA 105 3.98 195 7.88 CHILE 4 0.15 199 7.54 CHINA 7 0.27 206 7.80 COSTA RICA 1 0.04 219 8.25 CZECH REPUBLIC 1 0.04 226 8.52 ECUADOR 1 0.04 226 8.52 ECUADOR 1 0.04 226 8.52 ECUADOR 1 0.04 286 10.09 INDIA 2 0.08 288				Cumulative	Cumulative
ARGENTINA 6 0.23 6 0.23 AUSTRALIA 66 2.50 7.2 2.73 AUSTRIA 2 0.08 74 2.80 BARBADOS 1 0.04 75 2.84 BELGIUM 2 0.08 77 2.92 BOLIVIA 2 0.08 77 2.92 CANDA 105 3.98 195 7.38 CHILE 4 0.15 199 7.84 COSTA RICA 11 0.42 218 8.25 DEMARK 6 0.23 225 8.52 CZECH REPUBLIC 1 0.44 227 8.60 FJUI 2 0.68 29 8.67 FUNCE 2 0.83 255 9.77 <th>COUNTRY</th> <th>Frequency</th> <th>Percent</th> <th>Frequency</th> <th>Percent</th>	COUNTRY	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
AUSTERALIA 66 2.50 72 2.73 MUSTERIA 2 0.08 74 2.80 BARBADOS 1 0.04 75 2.84 BELGIUM 2 0.08 77 2.92 BCATUIA 11 0.42 30 3.41 CANADA 105 3.99 1.55 7.38 CHILE 4 0.15 1.99 7.54 COLOMBIA 1 0.04 207 7.84 COSTA RICA 11 0.04 226 8.52 CZECH REPUBLIC 1 0.04 226 8.52 CZECH REPUBLIC 1 0.04 226 8.52 ECUADOR 1 0.04 226 8.56 FINIAMD 7 0.27 236 8.94 FINIAN 2 0.08 239 8.67 FINIAN 2 0.08 239 8.67 FINIAN 7 0.27 236 8.94 INDIA 2 0.08 288 10.07	ARGENTINA	6	0.23	6	0.23
AUSTRIA 2 0.08 74 2.80 BARBADOS 1 0.04 75 2.84 BELGTUM 2 0.08 77 2.92 BRAZIL 11 0.42 90 3.41 CANADA 105 3.98 195 7.38 CHILE 4 0.15 199 7.54 CHINA 7 0.27 206 7.80 COLOMBIA 1 0.42 218 8.25 COLOMBIA 1 0.42 226 8.56 ECUADOR 1 0.44 226 8.56 EL SALVADOR 1 0.04 227 8.60 FILI 2 0.04 227 8.60 FILAND 7 0.27 236 8.94 FRANCE 2 0.83 258 9.77 GRMANY 27 1.02 285 10.79 HUNGARY 1 0.04 286 10.80 INDOMESIA 6 0.23 294 11.13 ISRARAL </td <td>AUSTRALIA</td> <td>66</td> <td>2.50</td> <td>72</td> <td>2.73</td>	AUSTRALIA	66	2.50	72	2.73
BARBADOS 1 0.04 75 2.84 BELGIUM 2 0.08 77 2.92 BOLIVIA 2 0.08 79 2.92 BCAIL 1 0.42 90 3.41 CANADA 105 3.98 195 7.38 CHILE 4 0.15 199 7.54 COLOMBIA 1 0.04 207 7.84 COSTA RICA 1 0.04 219 8.25 CZECH REPUBLIC 1 0.04 226 8.56 ECUADOR 1 0.04 226 8.60 FJJI 2 0.06 229 8.67 FINLAND 7 0.27 236 8.94 FINIAN 7 0.22 8.8 10.03 INDA 2 0.08 288 10.07 INDA 2 0.08 284 10.13 INDA 2 0.08 381 14.18	AUSTRIA	2	0.08	74	2.80
BELGIUM 2 0.08 77 2.92 BCAZIL 11 0.42 90 3.41 CANADA 105 3.98 195 7.38 CHILE 4 0.15 199 7.54 CHINA 7 0.27 206 7.80 COLOMBIA 1 0.42 218 8.25 COLOMEIA 1 0.44 219 8.29 DERMARK 6 0.23 225 8.52 ECUADOR 1 0.04 227 8.60 FIJI 2 0.08 229 8.67 FINLAND 7 0.27 236 8.94 FRANCE 22 0.83 258 9.77 GERMANY 1 0.04 286 10.83 INDIA 2 0.08 288 10.90 INDIA 3 0.11 297 1.25 INDIA 3 0.11 318 12.04 <	BARBADOS	1	0.04	75	2.84
BOLIVIA 2 0.08 79 2.99 BRAZIL 11 0.42 90 3.41 CANDA 105 3.98 195 7.38 CHILE 4 0.15 199 7.54 COLOMBIA 1 0.04 207 7.64 COSTA RICA 11 0.04 219 8.25 CZECH REPUBLIC 1 0.04 226 8.52 CZECH REPUBLIC 1 0.04 226 8.56 EL SALVADOR 1 0.04 226 8.56 FINIAM 7 0.27 236 8.94 FINIANC 2 0.08 229 8.67 FINIANC 2 0.04 226 8.05 GERMANY 27 1.02 285 10.79 HUNGRAY 1 0.04 286 10.90 INDORESITA 6 0.23 294 11.13 INPAN 4 0.15 318	BELGIUM	2	0.08	77	2.92
BRAZIL 11 0.42 90 3.41 CANADA 105 3.98 195 7.38 CHILE 4 0.15 199 7.54 CHINA 7 0.27 206 7.80 COLOMBIA 1 0.04 207 7.84 COSTA RICA 11 0.42 218 8.25 CZECH REPUBLIC 1 0.04 219 8.29 DENMARK 6 0.23 225 8.52 ECUADOR 1 0.04 227 8.60 FJJI 2 0.08 229 8.67 FRANCE 22 0.83 258 9.77 GERMANY 1 0.04 286 10.83 INDIA 2 0.08 288 10.90 INDONESTA 6 0.23 294 11.13 IRELAND 3 0.11 297 14.25 ITALY 9 0.34 314 11.89 INDAN 3 0.11 3.18 12.04 K	BOLIVIA	2	0.08	79	2.99
CNNDA 105 3.98 195 7.38 CHILE 4 0.15 199 7.54 CHINA 7 0.27 206 7.80 COLOMBIA 1 0.04 207 7.84 COSTA RICA 11 0.04 219 8.25 CZECH REPUBLIC 1 0.04 226 8.56 ECUADOR 1 0.04 227 8.60 FINIAND 7 0.27 236 8.94 FRANCE 2 0.08 229 8.67 FINIAND 7 0.27 236 8.94 FRANCE 2 0.08 288 10.79 HUNGARY 1 0.04 286 10.83 INDIA 2 0.08 288 10.90 INDORSITA 6 0.23 294 11.13 IRELAND 3 0.11 277 1.25 ISRABL 8 0.30 305 11.55 ITALY 9 0.34 314 1.89 J	BRAZIL	11	0.42	90	3.41
CHILE 4 0.15 199 7.54 CHINA 7 0.27 206 7.80 COLOMBIA 1 0.42 218 8.25 CZECH REPUBLIC 1 0.42 218 8.29 DENMARK 6 0.23 225 8.52 ECUADOR 1 0.04 226 8.56 EL SALVADOR 1 0.04 227 8.60 FIJI 2 0.08 229 8.67 FINLAND 7 0.27 236 8.94 FRANCE 22 0.83 258 9.77 GERMANY 1 0.04 286 10.83 INDIA 2 0.08 288 10.90 INDA 3 0.11 297 11.25 ISRAEL 8 0.30 305 11.55 ISRAEL 8 0.66 366 13.86 NETHERLAND 3 0.11 3212 15	CANADA	105	3.98	195	7.38
CHINA 7 0.27 206 7.80 COLOMBIA 1 0.04 207 7.84 COSTA RICA 1 0.04 219 8.29 DENMARK 6 0.23 225 8.52 ECUADOR 1 0.04 226 8.56 EL SALVADOR 1 0.04 226 8.66 FINIAND 7 0.27 236 8.94 FRANCE 22 0.08 228 10.79 HUNGARY 1 0.04 286 10.83 INDOIA 2 0.08 288 10.90 INDONESIA 6 0.23 294 11.13 IRRLAND 3 0.11 297 1.25 INDANESIA 6 0.23 294 11.13 IRRLAND 3 0.11 297 1.25 ISABEL 8 0.30 305 11.55 ITALY 9 0.34 314 1.89	CHILE	4	0.15	199	7.54
COLOMBIA 1 0.04 207 7.84 COSTA RICA 11 0.42 218 8.25 CZECH REPUBLIC 1 0.04 219 8.29 DENMARK 6 0.23 225 8.52 ECUADOR 1 0.04 226 8.56 EL SALVADOR 1 0.04 227 8.60 FIJI 2 0.08 229 8.67 FINLAND 7 0.27 236 8.94 FRANCE 22 0.63 285 9.77 GERMANY 1 0.04 286 10.83 INDIA 2 0.68 286 10.90 INNORSIA 6 0.23 294 11.13 IRSLAND 3 0.11 297 11.25 ITALY 9 0.34 314 11.89 JAPAN 4 0.15 318 12.04 KENYA 3 0.11 321 12.1	CHINA	7	0.27	206	7.80
COSTA RICA 11 0.42 218 8.25 CZECH REPUBLIC 1 0.04 219 8.29 DEMMARK 6 0.23 225 8.52 ECUADOR 1 0.04 226 8.60 FIJI 2 0.08 229 8.67 FINLAND 7 0.27 236 8.94 FRANCE 22 0.83 258 9.77 GERMANY 27 1.02 285 10.79 HUNGARY 1 0.04 286 10.83 INDIA 2 0.08 288 10.90 INDORESIA 6 0.23 294 11.13 IRELAND 3 0.11 297 11.25 ISABLI 8 0.305 11.55 14 JAPAN 4 0.15 318 12.04 KENYA 3 0.11 321 12.15 MEXICO 27 1.02 348 14.6	COLOMBIA	1	0.04	207	7.84
CZECH REPUBLIC 1 0.04 219 8.29 DENMARK 6 0.23 225 8.52 ECUADOR 1 0.04 226 8.56 FL SALVADOR 1 0.04 227 8.60 FIJI 2 0.08 229 8.67 FINLAND 7 0.27 236 8.94 FRANCE 22 0.83 258 9.77 GERMANY 27 1.02 285 10.79 HUNGARY 1 0.04 286 10.83 INDIA 2 0.08 288 10.90 INDONESIA 6 0.23 294 11.13 IRELAND 3 0.11 297 11.25 ISTAL 8 0.30 305 11.55 ITALY 9 0.34 314 11.89 JAPAN 4 0.15 318 12.04 MEXICO 27 1.02 348 13.18	COSTA RICA	11	0.42	218	8.25
DENMARK60.232258.52ECUADOR10.042268.56EL SALVADOR10.042278.60FIJI20.082298.67FINLAND70.272368.94FRANCE220.0832589.77GERMANY271.0228510.79HUNCARY10.0428610.83INDIA20.0828810.90INDONESIA60.2329411.13IRBLAND30.1129711.25ISRAEL80.3030511.55ITALY90.3431411.89JAPAN40.1531812.04KENYA30.1132112.15MEXICO271.0234813.18NETHERLANDS180.6836613.86NEW ZEALAND100.3837614.24NICARAGUA10.0437714.27NORNAY40.1538114.65PHILIPPINES40.1538714.65PHILIPPINES40.1538714.65POLAND70.2740715.41SINGAPORE10.0440815.45SOUTH AFRICA271.0243616.51SPAIN90.3444516.65SWEDEN110.2445617.27SWITZERLAND <t< td=""><td>CZECH REPUBLIC</td><td>1</td><td>0.04</td><td>219</td><td>8.29</td></t<>	CZECH REPUBLIC	1	0.04	219	8.29
ECUADOR 1 0.04 226 8.56 EL SALVADOR 1 0.04 227 8.60 FIJI 2 0.08 229 8.67 FINLAND 7 0.27 236 8.94 FRANCE 22 0.83 258 9.77 GERMANY 27 1.02 285 10.79 HUNGARY 1 0.04 286 10.83 INDIA 2 0.08 288 10.90 INNORSIA 6 0.23 294 11.13 IRELAND 3 0.11 297 11.25 ITALY 9 0.34 314 11.89 JAPAN 4 0.15 318 12.04 KENYA 3 0.11 321 12.15 MEXICO 27 1.02 348 13.18 NICARAGUA 1 0.04 377 14.27 NORWAY 4 0.15 381 14.43	DENMARK	6	0.23	225	8.52
EL SALVADOR 1 0.04 227 8.60 FIJI 2 0.08 229 8.67 FINLAND 7 0.27 236 8.94 FRANCE 22 0.83 258 9.77 GERMANY 27 1.02 285 10.79 HUNCARY 1 0.04 286 10.83 INDIA 2 0.08 288 10.90 INDOMESIA 6 0.23 294 11.13 IRELAND 3 0.11 297 11.25 ISRAEL 8 0.30 305 11.55 ITALY 9 0.34 314 11.89 JAPAN 4 0.15 318 12.04 KENYA 3 0.11 321 12.15 MEXICO 27 1.02 348 13.18 NETHERLANDS 18 0.68 366 13.86 NICARAGUA 1 0.04 377 14.27 NORMAY 4 0.15 381 14.43	ECUADOR	1	0.04	226	8.56
FIJI20.082298.67FINLAND70.272368.94FRANCE220.832589.77GERMANY271.0228510.79HUNGARY10.0428610.83INDIA20.0828810.90INDONESIA60.2329411.13IRELAND30.1129711.25ISRAEL80.3030511.55ITALY90.3431411.89JAPAN40.1531812.04KENYA30.1132112.15MEXICO271.0234813.18NETHERLANDS180.6836613.86NEW ZEALAND100.3837614.24NICARAGUA10.0437714.27NORNAY40.1538114.43PANMA20.0838314.50PHALU30.1239114.80RUSJA90.3440015.15SCOTLAND70.2740715.41SINDAPORE10.0440815.45SUCVENIA10.0440815.45SUCVENIA10.0444516.85SWEDEN110.4245617.27SWITZERLAND80.3046417.57TAIXAN50.1946917.76TAIXANIA70.27	EL SALVADOR	1	0.04	227	8.60
FINLAND70.272368.94FRANCE220.832589.77GERMANY271.0228510.79HUNGARY10.0428610.83INDIA20.0828810.90INDONESIA60.2329411.13IRELAND30.1129711.25ISRAEL80.3030511.55ITALY90.3431411.89JAPAN40.1531812.04KENYA30.1132112.15MEXICO271.0234813.18NETHERLANDS180.6836613.86NEW ZEALAND100.3837614.24NICARAGUA10.0437714.27NORWAY40.1538114.43PANMA20.0838314.65POLAND10.0438814.69PALAU30.1239114.80RUSSIA90.3440015.15SCOTLAND70.2740715.41SINCAPORE10.0440815.45SLOVENIA10.0440915.49SOUTH AFRICA271.0243616.51SPAIN90.3444516.85SWEDEN110.4245617.77SWITZERLAND80.3046417.57TANZANIA7	FIJI	2	0.08	229	8.67
FRANCE220.832589.77GERMANY271.0228510.79HUNGARY10.0428610.83INDIA20.0828810.90INDONESIA60.2329411.13IRELAND30.1129711.25ISRAEL80.3030511.55ITALY90.3431411.89JAPAN40.1531812.04KENYA30.1132112.15MEXICO271.0234813.18NETHERLANDS180.6836613.86NEW ZEALAND100.3837614.24NICARAGUA10.0437714.27NORWAY40.1538114.43PALAU30.1239114.80PULIPPINES40.1538714.65POLAND70.2740715.41SINGAPORE10.0440815.45SLOVENIA90.3444516.85SWEDEN110.4245617.27SWITZERLAND80.3046417.57TANZANIA70.2747618.02TURKEY10.0447718.06UNITED KINGDOM853.2256221.28UNA207978.722641100.00	FINLAND	7	0.27	236	8.94
GERMANY271.0228510.79HUNGARY10.0428610.83INDIA20.0628810.90INDONESIA60.2329411.13IRELAND30.1129711.25ISRAEL80.3030511.55ITALY90.3431411.89JAPAN40.1531812.04KENYA30.1132112.15MEXICO271.0234813.18NETHERLANDS180.6836613.86NEW ZEALAND100.3837614.24NICARAGUA10.0437714.27NORMAY40.1538114.65PALAU30.1239114.65PALAU30.1239114.65POLAND10.0440815.45SCOTLAND70.2740715.41SINGAPORE10.0440815.45SOUTH AFRICA271.0243616.51SPAIN90.3444516.85SPAIN90.3444516.85SWEDEN110.4245617.27SWITZERLAND80.3046417.57TANZANIA70.2747618.02TURKEY10.0447718.06UNITED KINGDOM853.2256221.28USA207	FRANCE	22	0.83	258	9.77
HUNGARY10.0428610.83INDIA20.0828810.90INDONESIA60.2329411.13IRELAND30.1129711.25ISRAEL80.3030511.55ITALY90.3431411.89JAPAN40.1531812.04KENVA30.1132112.15MEXICO271.0234813.86NETHERLANDS180.6636613.86NEW ZEALAND100.3837614.24NICARAGUA10.0437714.27NORNAY40.1538114.43PANAMA20.0838314.50PHILIPPINES40.1538714.65POLAND10.0438814.69PALAU30.1239114.80RUSSIA90.3440015.15SCOTLAND70.2740715.41SINGAPORE10.0440915.49SOUTH AFRICA271.0243616.51SPAIN90.3444516.85SWEDEN110.4245617.27SWITZERLAND80.3046417.57TANZANIA70.2747618.02TURKEY10.0447718.06UNITED KINGDOM853.2256221.28USA <t< td=""><td>GERMANY</td><td>27</td><td>1.02</td><td>285</td><td>10.79</td></t<>	GERMANY	27	1.02	285	10.79
INDIA20.0828810.90INDONESIA60.2329411.13IRELAND30.1129711.25ISRAEL80.3030511.55ITALY90.3431411.89JAPAN40.1531812.04KENYA30.1132112.15MEXICO271.0234813.18NETHERLANDS180.6836613.86NEW ZEALAND100.3837614.24NICARAGUA10.0437714.27NORWAY40.1538114.43PANAMA20.0838314.50PHILIPPINES40.1538114.65POLAND10.0438814.69PALAU30.1239114.80RUSSIA90.3440015.15SCOTLAND70.2740715.41SINGAPORE10.0440815.45SLOVENIA10.0440816.51SPAIN90.3444516.85SWEDEN110.4245617.27SWITZERLAND80.3046417.57TAIWAN50.1946917.76TANZANIA70.2747618.02UINTED KINGDOM853.2256221.28USA207978.72261100.00	HUNGARY	1	0.04	286	10.83
INDONESIA 6 0.23 294 11.13 IRELAND 3 0.11 297 11.25 ISRAEL 8 0.30 305 11.55 ITALY 9 0.34 314 11.89 JAPAN 4 0.15 318 12.04 KENYA 3 0.11 321 12.15 MEXICO 27 1.02 348 13.18 NETHERLANDS 18 0.68 366 13.86 NEW ZEALAND 10 0.38 376 14.24 NICARAGUA 1 0.04 377 14.27 NORWAY 4 0.15 381 14.43 PANAMA 2 0.08 383 14.65 POLAND 1 0.04 388 14.69 PALAU 3 0.12 391 14.80 RUSSIA 9 0.34 400 15.15 SIOCAND 7 0.27 407	INDIA	2	0.08	288	10.90
IRELAND 3 0.11 297 11.25 ISRAEL 8 0.30 305 11.55 ITALY 9 0.34 314 11.89 JAPAN 4 0.15 318 12.04 KENYA 3 0.11 321 12.15 MEXICO 27 1.02 348 13.18 NETHERLANDS 18 0.68 366 13.86 NEW ZEALAND 10 0.38 376 14.24 NICARAGUA 1 0.04 377 14.27 NORWAY 4 0.15 381 14.43 PANAMA 2 0.08 383 14.50 PHILIPPINES 4 0.15 387 14.65 POLAND 1 0.04 388 14.69 PALAU 3 0.12 391 14.80 RUSSIA 9 0.34 400 15.15 SCOTLAND 7 0.27 407 15.41 SINGAPORE 1 0.04 408 15.45 <	INDONESIA	6	0.23	294	11.13
ISRAEL80.3030511.55ITALY90.3431411.89JAPAN40.1531812.04KENYA30.1132112.15MEXICO271.0234813.18NETHERLANDS180.6836613.86NEW ZEALAND100.3837614.24NICARAGUA10.0437714.27NORWAY40.1538114.43PANAMA20.0838314.50PHILIPPINES40.1538714.65POLAND10.0438814.69PALAU30.1239114.80RUSSIA90.3440015.15SCOTLAND70.2740715.41SINGAPORE10.0440815.45SLOVENIA10.0440915.49SWOTT AFRICA271.0243616.51SPAIN90.3444516.85SWEDEN110.4245617.27SWITZERLAND80.3046417.57TAIWAN50.1946917.76TANZANIA70.2747618.02UNITED KINGDOM853.2256221.28USA207978.722641100.00	IRELAND	3	0.11	297	11.25
ITALY90.3431411.89JAPAN40.1531812.04KENYA30.1132112.15MEXICO271.0234813.18NETHERLANDS180.6836613.86NEW ZEALAND100.3837614.24NICARAGUA10.0437714.27NORWAY40.1538114.43PANAMA20.0838314.50PHILIPPINES40.1538714.65POLAND10.0438814.69PALAU30.1239114.80RUSSIA90.3440015.15SCOTLAND70.2740715.41SINGAPORE10.0440815.45SUEVENIA10.0440915.49SOUTH AFRICA271.0243616.51SPAIN90.3444516.85SWEDEN110.4245617.27SWITZERLAND80.3046417.57TAIWAN50.1946917.76TANZANIA70.2747618.02UNITED KINGDOM853.2256221.28USA207978.722641100.00	ISRAEL	8	0.30	305	11.55
JAPAN40.1531812.04KENYA30.1132112.15MEXICO271.0234813.18NETHERLANDS180.6836613.86NEW ZEALAND100.3837614.24NICARAGUA10.0437714.27NORWAY40.1538114.43PANAMA20.0838314.50PHILIPPINES40.1538714.65POLAND10.0438814.69PALAU30.1239114.80RUSSIA90.3440015.15SCOTLAND70.2740715.41SINGAPORE10.0440815.45SLOVENIA10.0440915.49SWITZERLAND80.3046417.57TAIWAN50.1946917.76TANZANIA70.2747618.02UNITED KINGDOM853.2256221.28USA207978.722641100.00	ITALY	9	0.34	314	11.89
KENYA30.1132112.15MEXICO271.0234813.18NETHERLANDS180.6836613.86NEW ZEALAND100.3837614.24NICARAGUA10.0437714.27NORWAY40.1538114.43PANAMA20.0838314.50PHILIPPINES40.1538714.65POLAND10.0438814.69PALAU30.1239114.80RUSSIA90.3440015.15SCOTLAND70.2740715.41SINGAPORE10.0440915.45SLOVENIA271.0243616.51SPAIN90.3444516.85SWEDEN110.4245617.27SWITZERLAND80.3046417.57TAIWAN50.1946917.76TANZANIA70.2747618.02TURKEY10.0447718.06UNITED KINGDOM853.2256221.28USA207978.722641100.00	JAPAN	4	0.15	318	12.04
MEXICO271.0234813.18NETHERLANDS180.6836613.86NEW ZEALAND100.3837614.24NICARAGUA10.0437714.27NORWAY40.1538114.43PANAMA20.0838314.50PHILIPPINES40.1538714.65POLAND10.0438814.69PALAU30.1239114.80RUSSIA90.3440015.15SCOTLAND70.2740715.41SINGAPORE10.0440815.45SLOVENIA10.0440815.45SUUTH AFRICA271.0243616.51SWEDEN110.4245617.27SWITZERLAND80.3046417.57TAIWAN50.1946917.76TANZANIA70.2747618.02UNITED KINGDOM853.2256221.28USA207978.722641100.00	KENYA	3	0.11	321	12.15
NETHERLANDS180.6836613.86NEW ZEALAND100.3837614.24NICARAGUA10.0437714.27NORWAY40.1538114.43PANAMA20.0838314.50PHILIPPINES40.1538714.65POLAND10.0438814.69PALAU30.1239114.80RUSSIA90.3440015.15SCOTLAND70.2740715.41SINGAPORE10.0440815.45SLOVENIA10.0440915.49SOUTH AFRICA271.0243616.51SWEDEN110.4245617.27SWITZERLAND80.3046417.57TAIWAN50.1946917.76TANZANIA70.2747618.02UNITED KINGDOM853.2256221.28USA207978.722641100.00	MEXICO	27	1.02	348	13.18
NEW ZEALAND100.3837614.24NICARAGUA10.0437714.27NORWAY40.1538114.43PANAMA20.0838314.50PHILIPPINES40.1538714.65POLAND10.0438814.69PALAU30.1239114.80RUSSIA90.3440015.15SCOTLAND70.2740715.41SINGAPORE10.0440815.45SLOVENIA10.0440915.49SOUTH AFRICA271.0243616.51SPAIN90.3444516.85SWEDEN110.4245617.27SWITZERLAND80.3046417.57TAIWAN50.1946917.76TANZANIA70.2747618.02UNITED KINGDOM853.2256221.28USA207978.722641100.00	NETHERLANDS	18	0.68	366	13.86
NICARAGUA10.0437714.27NORWAY40.1538114.43PANAMA20.0838314.50PHILIPPINES40.1538714.65POLAND10.0438814.69PALAU30.1239114.80RUSSIA90.3440015.15SCOTLAND70.2740715.41SINGAPORE10.0440815.45SLOVENIA10.0440915.49SUUTH AFRICA271.0243616.51SWEDEN110.4245617.27SWITZERLAND80.3046417.57TAIWAN50.1946917.76TANZANIA70.2747618.02UNITED KINGDOM853.2256221.28USA207978.722641100.00	NEW ZEALAND	10	0.38	376	14.24
NORWAY40.1538114.43PANAMA20.0838314.50PHILIPPINES40.1538714.65POLAND10.0438814.69PALAU30.1239114.80RUSSIA90.3440015.15SCOTLAND70.2740715.41SINGAPORE10.0440815.45SLOVENIA10.0440915.49SOUTH AFRICA271.0243616.51SPAIN90.3444516.85SWEDEN110.4245617.27SWITZERLAND80.3046417.57TAIWAN50.1946917.76TANZANIA70.2747618.02UNITED KINGDOM853.2256221.28USA207978.722641100.00	NICARAGUA	1	0.04	377	14.27
PANAMA20.0838314.50PHILIPPINES40.1538714.65POLAND10.0438814.69PALAU30.1239114.80RUSSIA90.3440015.15SCOTLAND70.2740715.41SINGAPORE10.0440815.45SLOVENIA10.0440915.49SOUTH AFRICA271.0243616.51SPAIN90.3444516.85SWEDEN110.4245617.27SWITZERLAND80.3046417.57TAIWAN50.1946917.76TURKEY10.0447718.06UNITED KINGDOM853.2256221.28USA207978.722641100.00	NORWAY	4	0.15	381	14.43
PHILIPPINES40.1538714.65POLAND10.0438814.69PALAU30.1239114.80RUSSIA90.3440015.15SCOTLAND70.2740715.41SINGAPORE10.0440815.45SLOVENIA10.0440915.49SOUTH AFRICA271.0243616.51SPAIN90.3444516.85SWEDEN110.4245617.27SWITZERLAND80.3046417.57TAIWAN50.1946917.76TURKEY10.0447718.06UNATED KINGDOM853.2256221.28USA207978.722661100.00	PANAMA	2	0.08	383	14.50
POLAND 1 0.04 388 14.69 PALAU 3 0.12 391 14.80 RUSSIA 9 0.34 400 15.15 SCOTLAND 7 0.27 407 15.41 SINGAPORE 1 0.04 408 15.45 SLOVENIA 1 0.04 409 15.49 SOUTH AFRICA 27 1.02 436 16.51 SPAIN 9 0.34 445 16.85 SWEDEN 11 0.42 456 17.27 SWITZERLAND 8 0.30 464 17.57 TAIWAN 5 0.19 469 17.76 TANZANIA 7 0.27 476 18.02 TURKEY 1 0.04 477 18.06 UNITED KINGDOM 85 3.22 562 21.28 USA 2079 78.72 2661 100.00	PHILIPPINES	4	0.15	387	14.65
PALAU 3 0.12 391 14.80 RUSSIA 9 0.34 400 15.15 SCOTLAND 7 0.27 407 15.41 SINGAPORE 1 0.04 408 15.45 SLOVENIA 1 0.04 409 15.49 SOUTH AFRICA 27 1.02 436 16.51 SPAIN 9 0.34 445 16.85 SWEDEN 11 0.42 456 17.27 SWITZERLAND 8 0.30 464 17.57 TAIWAN 5 0.19 469 17.76 TANZANIA 7 0.27 476 18.02 TURKEY 1 0.04 477 18.06 UNITED KINGDOM 85 3.22 562 21.28	POLAND	1	0.04	388	14,69
RUSSIA 9 0.34 400 15.15 SCOTLAND 7 0.27 407 15.41 SINGAPORE 1 0.04 408 15.45 SLOVENIA 1 0.04 409 15.49 SOUTH AFRICA 27 1.02 436 16.51 SPAIN 9 0.34 445 16.85 SWEDEN 11 0.42 456 17.27 SWITZERLAND 8 0.30 464 17.57 TAIWAN 5 0.19 469 17.76 TANZANIA 7 0.27 476 18.02 TURKEY 1 0.04 477 18.06 UNITED KINGDOM 85 3.22 562 21.28 USA 2079 78.72 2641 100.00	PALAU	3	0.12	391	14.80
SCOTLAND 7 0.27 407 15.41 SINGAPORE 1 0.04 408 15.45 SLOVENIA 1 0.04 409 15.49 SOUTH AFRICA 27 1.02 436 16.51 SPAIN 9 0.34 445 16.85 SWEDEN 11 0.42 456 17.27 SWITZERLAND 8 0.30 464 17.57 TAIWAN 5 0.19 469 17.76 TANZANIA 7 0.27 476 18.02 UNITED KINGDOM 85 3.22 562 21.28 USA 2079 78.72 2664 100.00	RUSSIA	9	0.34	400	15.15
SINGAPORE 1 0.04 408 15.45 SLOVENIA 1 0.04 409 15.49 SOUTH AFRICA 27 1.02 436 16.51 SPAIN 9 0.34 445 16.85 SWEDEN 11 0.42 456 17.27 SWITZERLAND 8 0.30 464 17.57 TAIWAN 5 0.19 469 17.76 TANZANIA 7 0.27 476 18.02 UNITED KINGDOM 85 3.22 562 21.28 USA 2079 78.72 2641 100.00	SCOTLAND	7	0.27	407	15.41
SLOVENIA 1 0.01 409 15.49 SOUTH AFRICA 27 1.02 436 16.51 SPAIN 9 0.34 445 16.85 SWEDEN 11 0.42 456 17.27 SWITZERLAND 8 0.30 464 17.57 TAIWAN 5 0.19 469 17.76 TANZANIA 7 0.27 476 18.02 TURKEY 1 0.04 477 18.06 UNITED KINGDOM 85 3.22 562 21.28 USA 2079 78.72 2641 100.00	SINGAPORE	1	0.04	408	15.45
SOUTH AFRICA 27 1.02 436 16.51 SPAIN 9 0.34 445 16.85 SWEDEN 11 0.42 456 17.27 SWITZERLAND 8 0.30 464 17.57 TAIWAN 5 0.19 469 17.76 TANZANIA 7 0.27 476 18.02 TURKEY 1 0.04 477 18.06 UNITED KINGDOM 85 3.22 562 21.28 USA 2079 78.72 2664 100.00	SLOVENIA	1	0.04	409	15.49
SPAIN 9 0.34 445 16.85 SWEDEN 11 0.42 456 17.27 SWITZERLAND 8 0.30 464 17.57 TAIWAN 5 0.19 469 17.76 TANZANIA 7 0.27 476 18.02 TURKEY 1 0.04 477 18.06 UNITED KINGDOM 85 3.22 562 21.28 USA 2079 78.72 2664 100.00	SOUTH AFRICA	27	1.02	436	16.51
SWEDEN 11 0.42 456 17.27 SWITZERLAND 8 0.30 464 17.57 TAIWAN 5 0.19 469 17.76 TANZANIA 7 0.27 476 18.02 TURKEY 1 0.04 477 18.06 UNITED KINGDOM 85 3.22 562 21.28 USA 2079 78.72 2664 100.00	SPAIN	9	0.34	445	16.85
SWITZERLAND 8 0.30 464 17.57 TAIWAN 5 0.19 469 17.76 TANZANIA 7 0.27 476 18.02 TURKEY 1 0.04 477 18.06 UNITED KINGDOM 85 3.22 562 21.28 USA 2079 78.72 2641 100.00	SWEDEN	11	0.42	456	17.27
TAIWAN 5 0.19 469 17.76 TANZANIA 7 0.27 476 18.02 TURKEY 1 0.04 477 18.06 UNITED KINGDOM 85 3.22 562 21.28 USA 2079 78.72 2641 100.00	SWITZERLAND		0.30	464	17.57
TANZANIA 7 0.27 476 18.02 TURKEY 1 0.04 477 18.06 UNITED KINGDOM 85 3.22 562 21.28 USA 2079 78.72 2641 100.00	TAIWAN	5	0.19	469	17.76
TURKEY 1 0.04 477 18.06 UNITED KINGDOM 85 3.22 562 21.28 USA 2079 78.72 2641 100.00	TANZANIA	7	0.27	476	18.02
UNITED KINGDOM 85 3.22 562 21.28 USA 2079 78.72 2641 100.00	TURKEY	1	0.04	477	18,06
USA 2079 78.72 2641 100.00	UNITED KINGDOM	85	3.22	562	21.28
	USA	2079	78.72	2641	100.00

Geographic Representation by Participants by Country May 1, 2001 – July 31, 2007

TOTAL COUNTRIES: 50 TOTAL PARTICIPANTS ALL COUNTRIES: 2,621 TOTAL FOREIGN PARTICIPANTS: 542 TOTAL USA PARTICIPANTS: 2079 PERCENT FOREIGN VISITORS: 20.68%

Table 7. Science Advisory Board Cumulative list of Science Advisory Board members since the establishment of NCEAS. List of Science Advisory Board Members 1995 - 2007

Members	Institutions	Term
Baron, Jill	US Geological Survey	2005-2008
Berenbaum, May	University of Illinois at Urbana	1995
Bronstein, Judith	University of Arizona	2002-2005
Chazdon, Robin	University of Connecticut	2000-2003
Clark, Deborah	University of Missouri, St. Louis	2003-2006
DeFries, Ruth	University of Maryland, College Park	2001-2004
Ewel, Katherine	USDA Forest Service	2000-2003
Goldberg, Deborah	University of Michigan	1999-2002
Graumlich, Lisa	Montana State University	2003-2006
Grimm, Nancy	Arizona State University	1997-1999
Gross, Katherine	Michigan State University	1995-1997
Hacker, Sally	Oregon State University	2006-2009
Harrison, Susan	University of California, Davis	2000-2002
Hobbie, Sarah	University of Minnesota, Twin Cities	2002-2005
Huntly, Nancy	Idaho State University	2002-2005
Kidwell, Susan	University of Chicago	2000-2003
Kinzig, Ann	Arizona State University	2001-2004
Martiny, Jennifer Hughes	University of California, Irvine	2007-2010
Micheli, Fiorenza	Stanford University	2005-2008
Naylor, Roz	Stanford University	1996-2000
Palmer, Margaret	University of Maryland, College Park	2001-2003
Pfister, Cathy	University of Chicago	2007-2010
Power, Alison	Cornell University	1997-2000
Power, Mary	University of California, Berkeley	1996-1999
Pringle, Cathy	University of Georgia	2004-2007
Rathcke, Bev	University of Michigan	2005-2006
Ruckelshaus, Mary	NOAA Fisheries	2003-2004
Shaw, Rebecca	The Nature Conservancy of California	2004-2007

Tank, Jennifer	University of Notre Dame	2007-2010
Turner, Monica	University of Wisconsin	1995-1997
Voytek, Mary	US Geological Survey	2004-2007
Wall, Diana	Colorado State University	1995-1998
Arita, Hector	Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico	2006-2009
Bever, Jim	Indiana University	2007-2010
Brown, James	University of New Mexico	1997-2000
Brown, Joel	University of Illinois at Chicago	2000-2003
Carpenter, Steve	University of Wisconsin, Madison	1995
Carson, Walter	University of Pittsburgh	2004-2007
Case, Ted	University of California, San Diego	1995-1996
Chapin, F. Stuart	University of Alaska, Fairbanks	1995-1998
Clark, James	Duke University	1995-1999
Costanza, Robert	University of Vermont	2001-2004
Crowder, Larry	Duke University	2002-2005
Crowley, Philip	University of Kentucky	1995-1998
Denno, Robert	University of Maryland	2007-2010
Estes, James	University of California, Santa Cruz	1999-2002
Field, Chris	Carnegie Institute of Washington	1999-2002
Folke, Carl	Stockholm University	2000-2003
Godfray, Charles	Imperial College, Silwood Park	2000-2002
Gotelli, Nicholas	University of Vermont	2002-2005
Grenfell, Bryan	Pennsylvania State University	2005-2008
Hanski, Ilkka	University of Helsinki	1997-2000
Harms, Kyle E.	Louisiana State University	2007-2010
Hastings, Alan	University of California, Davis	1996; 2004-2007
Hochberg, Michael	Université de Montpellier II	2004-2007
Holt, Robert	University of Florida	1997-2000
Howarth, Robert	Cornell University	2000-2003
Hungate, Bruce	Northern Arizona University	2006-2009
Ives, Anthony	University of Wisconsin, Madison	2001-2004
Jackson, Jeremy	University of California, San Diego	1997-2000
Jackson, Steve	University of Wyoming	2006-2009
Kareiva, Peter	University of Washington	1995-1998
Kendall, Bruce	University of California, Santa Barbara	2006-2009

Lawton, John	Imperial College, Silwood Park	1996-1997
Leibold, Mathew	University of Texas, Austin	1999-2002
Levin, Phil	National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration	2006-2009
Losos, Jonathan	Washington University	1999-2002
Mangel, Marc	University of California, Santa Cruz	1998-2001
Marquet, Pablo	Pontifica Universidad Cathólica de Chile	2003-2006
Menge, Bruce	Oregon State University	2003-2006
Morin, Peter	Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey	1998-2001
Morris, Bill	Duke University	2005-2008
Murdoch, William	University of California, Santa Barbara	1997-2000
Neff, Jason	University of Colorado	2002-2005
Nisbet, Roger	University of California, Santa Barbara	2003-2006
Pace, Michael	Institute of Ecosystem Studies	1998-2000
Pastor, John	University of Minnesota, St. Paul	1998-1999
Peterson, Charles	University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill	1995-1997
Pfaff, Alex	Columbia University	2004-2007
Pickett, Steward	Institute of Ecosystem Studies	1995-1997
Pulliam, Ronald	University of Georgia	1998-2000
Running, Steven	University of Montana	1996-1998
Schimel, David	National Center for Atmospheric Research	1996-1999
Simberloff, Daniel	University of Tennessee, Knoxville	1998-2000
Strong, Donald	University of California, Davis	1995-1999
Thrall, Peter H.	CSIRO Agricultural Sustainability Initiative	2007-2010
Townsend, Alan	University of Colorado, Boulder	1999-2002
Travis, Joseph	Florida State University	1999-2001
Turchin, Peter	University of Connecticut	1995-1997
Vitousek, Peter	Stanford University	1995
Warner, Robert	University of California, Santa Barbara	2000-2003
Werner, Earl	University of Michigan	1996-1997
Westoby, Mark	Macquarie University	2001-2004